

CSC165, Winter 2015  
Assignment 1  
Due January 30th, 11:59 p.m.

The aim of this assignment is for you to practice using quantifiers, implications, logical connectives and expressions.

- You may work in groups of no more than **two** students, and you should produce a single solution in a PDF file named **a1.pdf**, submitted to **MarkUs**. Submissions must be **typed**.
- Note that you **won't** be able to login to MarkUs and submit the assignment **before Jan 24**.
- **Late submission policy:** Each **group** can benefit from **one** time 24-hour grace period with no penalty.

If you use your grace period for one assignment, then change your group for the next one, and your new group-mate has not use his/her grace period, the new group **can** submit late by 24 hours. However, if both students in the group have used their grace period, the new group **cannot** submit late.

1. Let  $B(x)$  denotes “ $x$  plays this 2-person board game” and  $P(x, y)$  denotes “ $x$  has played this 2-person board game with  $y$ ”, where the domain for variables  $x$  and  $y$  consists of all students in your class.

**Questions:**

- Express each of the following sentences in logical notation.  
Define all set and predicate symbols that you use in the logical expressions.
  - Write the negation of each of the sentences in English and in logical form.  
Simplifying the logical sentences so that only predicates are negated.
- (a) If Carol and Dan play this 2-person board game, then they have played it with each other.  
(**Hint:** note that  $P(x, y)$  only expresses that  $x$  has played with  $y$ ; this does **not** necessarily mean that  $y$  has played with  $x$ ).
  - (b) No one in the class has played this 2-person board game with Bob.
  - (c) Someone in your class does not play this 2-person board game.
  - (d) Everyone in your class who plays this 2-person board game has played the game with at least one other student in your class.
  - (e) A student in your class has played this 2-person board game with everyone in your class.

2. Let  $S$  denotes the set of all students in your class,  $C$  denotes the set of all countries, and  $T(x, y)$  denotes that  $x$  travels to country  $y$ .

Express each of the following statements by a simple English sentence.

Avoid symbols (e.g.  $x$ ) and predicates (e.g.  $T(x, y)$ ) in English sentences.

- (a)  $\neg T(\text{Bob}, y)$ .
  - (b)  $(\exists x \in S, T(x, \text{Denmark})) \wedge (\forall x \in S, T(x, \text{France}))$ .
  - (c)  $\exists y \in C, T(\text{Mona}, y) \vee T(\text{Pete}, y)$ .
  - (d)  $\forall x \in S, \forall z \in S, \exists y \in C, (x \neq z) \Rightarrow \neg(T(x, y) \wedge T(z, y))$ .
  - (e)  $\exists x \in S, \exists z \in S, \forall y \in C, (x \neq z) \wedge (T(x, y) \Leftrightarrow T(z, y))$ .
  - (f)  $\forall x \in S, \forall z \in S, \exists y \in C, (T(x, y) \Leftrightarrow T(z, y))$ .
3. Give the contrapositive and converse of the following statements in English.  
(Hint: it might be helpful if you first write these sentences in logical form)
- (a) If Socrates is a man and all men are mortal, then Socrates is mortal.
  - (b) If it does not rain or it is not foggy, then the sailing race will be held and the lifesaving demonstration will go on.
  - (c) For me, playing hockey is sufficient for being sore the next day.
4. Suppose  $T$  is a set of natural numbers, and we have the following statement about  $T$ .

**S<sub>1</sub>**: 2 is the only prime number that divides elements of  $T$ .

Which of the following statements imply **S<sub>1</sub>**? Which are implied by **S<sub>1</sub>**? For each case, give a brief justification.

- (a) **S<sub>2</sub>**:  $T$  is the set of all natural numbers that satisfy the quadratic equation  $x^2 + x + 1 = 0$ .
  - (b) **S<sub>3</sub>**:  $T = \{16, 8, 528\}$ .
  - (c) **S<sub>4</sub>**: All elements of  $T$  are equal to  $2^n$ , where  $n$  is a natural number.
  - (d) **S<sub>5</sub>**: If  $i, j \in T$ , and  $i < j$ , then  $i$  divides  $j$ .
5. Prove that the following equivalences are tautology. Show your work in detail.

You **may not** use truth tables or Venn diagrams in your proof, but you are allowed to use manipulation rules (presented in Section 2.17 of the course notes).

For each step, you **must** clearly state which rule you apply.

- (a)  $((P \Rightarrow Q_1) \wedge (P \Rightarrow Q_2)) \Leftrightarrow (P \Rightarrow (Q_1 \wedge Q_2))$
- (b)  $((\exists x \in D, P(x) \vee Q(x)) \Rightarrow R(y)) \Leftrightarrow (((\exists x \in D, P(x)) \Rightarrow R(y)) \wedge ((\exists x \in D, Q(x)) \Rightarrow R(y)))$