Towards a Formal Framework for Normative Requirements Elicitation

Nick Feng, Lina Marsso, Sinem Getir Yaman, Beverley Townsend,

Ana Cavalcanti, Radu Calinescu, Marsha Chechik

September 13, 2023



Normative Requirements



- Capture social, legal, ethical, empathetic, cultural aspects of systems
- Specified by stakeholders with non-technical expertise
 - Designers, regulators, ethicists, etc.
- Hard to get right
 - Stakeholders from different fields, different vocabularies
 - Their views are often conflicting or redundant



DAISY robot from RoboStar University of York, UK



A tool-supported Formal framework for normaTive requirements elicitation for *non-technical* stakeholders



A tool-supported Formal framework for normaTive requirements elicitation for *non-technical* stakeholders

A. Preliminary requirements elicitation

Specification of normative rules for a software system in **SLEEC DSL**



A tool-supported Formal framework for normaTive requirements elicitation for *non-technical* stakeholders



Specification of normative rules for a software system in **SLEEC DSL** Automates: (i) the identification of conflicts, redundancies,



A tool-supported Formal framework for normaTive requirements elicitation for *non-technical* stakeholders





A tool-supported Formal framework for normaTive requirements elicitation for *non-technical* stakeholders





A tool-supported Formal framework for normaTive requirements elicitation for *non-technical* stakeholders





A tool-supported Formal framework for normaTive requirements elicitation for *non-technical* stakeholders





A tool-supported Formal framework for normaTive requirements elicitation for *non-technical* stakeholders





A tool-supported Formal framework for normaTive requirements elicitation for *non-technical* stakeholders



Outline



- I. Background: SLEEC DSL
- II. Requirement sanitization
- III. SLEEC concerns identification
- **IV. Implementation**
- V. Preliminary evaluation
- VI. Future research directions



Rules

Rule1 when DressingStarted then DressingComplete within 2 minutes unless (roomTemperature < 19) then DressingComplete within 60 seconds

Rule2 when CurtainOpenRqst and not UserUnderdressed then CurtainsOpened within 2 minutes unless (roomTemperature < 19)

[GYBJCC23] S. Getir-Yaman, C. Burholt, M. Jones, R. Calinescu, and A. Cavalcanti. "Specification and Validation of Normative Rules for Autonomous Agents", FASE 2023.

Requirements Sanitization

Redundant rule is a logical consequence of other rules Conflicting rule cannot be triggered together with other rules

Checking r5 redundancy in the rule set R via satisfiability: $\neg r5 \cap (R \setminus r5)$

Redundant SLEEC rule: r5 when DressingStarted and (({roomTemperature} < 16) and {userUnderDressed}) then DressingComplete within 1 minutes

r1 when DressingStarted then DressingComplete within 2 minutes unless ({roomTemperature} < 19) then DressingComplete within 90 seconds unless ({roomTemperature} < 17) then DressingComplete within 60 seconds



Redundant SLEEC rule: r5 when DressingStarted and (({roomTemperature} < 16) and {userUnderDressed}) then DressingComplete within 1 minutes

r1 when DressingStarted then DressingComplete within 2 minutes unless ({roomTemperature} < 19) then DressingComplete within 90 seconds unless ({roomTemperature} < 17) then DressingComplete within 60 seconds



Redundant SLEEC rule: r5 when DressingStarted and ({{roomTemperature} < 16} and {userUnderDressed}) then DressingComplete within 1 minutes

r1 when DressingStarted then DressingComplete within 2 minutes unless ({roomTemperature} < 19) then DressingComplete within 90 seconds unless ({roomTemperature} < 17) then DressingComplete within 60 seconds





Redundant SLEEC rule: r5 when DressingStarted and ({{roomTemperature} < 16} and {userUnderDressed}) then DressingComplete within 1 minutes

r1 when DressingStarted then DressingComplete within 2 minutes unless ({roomTemperature} < 19) then DressingComplete within 90 seconds unless ({roomTemperature} < 17) then DressingComplete within 60 seconds

within 1 minutes <==> within 60 seconds

({roomTemperature} < 16) ==> ({roomTemperature} < 17)

SLEEC Concerns Identification

- A SLEEC concern specifies a behavior to avoid (e.g., a failure to protect user privacy)
- Contextualize high-level SLEEC concerns (operating environment and normative capabilities)
- C: when a user open curtains then the user is underdressed
- Checking whether *C* is raised while respecting the rule set R via satisfiability: R ∩ *C*



Implementation

Automated reasoning tool

B. Requirement sanitization

D. SLEEC concerns identification

- Compiles each SLEEC DSL rule into FOL*
- Interprets redundancy, conflict, concerns definitions as FOL* constraints
- Uses LEGOS (the FOL* satisfiability checker [FMSC23]) to identify the problems
- Provides a user-friendly diagnosis

Redundant SLEEC rule: r5 when DressingStarted and (({roomTemperature} < 16) and {userUnderDressed}) then DressingComplete within 1 minutes r1 when DressingStarted then DressingComplete within 2 minutes

unless ({roomTemperature} < 19) then DressingComplete within 90 seconds unless ({roomTemperature} < 17) then DressingComplete within 60 seconds

[FMSC23] N. Feng, L. Marsso, M. Sabetzadeh, and M. Chechik. "Early verification of legal compliance via bounded satisfiability checking", CAV 2023.



Preliminary Evaluation 1/3

Case studies:

- ERA: Elderly robot assistant
- DR: Dressing robot

System	#event	#measures	#rules	#defeaters	#redudancies	#conflicts	#concerns
ERA	7	5	4	6	0	0	1
DR	9	4	12	11	3	1	1

~

Six stakeholders:

- Philosopher Computer Vision expert
- Robotists Sociologist Al expert

Preliminary Evaluation 2/3

RQ1: How effective is our framework in detecting redundancies, conflicts, and concerns compared to manual analysis?

participant	redundancies	conflicts	concerns
ground truth	0	0	1
Roboticist	2	1	0
Computer vision expert	1	0	1
Philosopher	1	0	0
Al expert	1	0	1
Roboticist	0	0	1
Sociologist	1	0	0
FormaTive	0	0	1

RQ2: How effective is the diagnosis produced by our framework in helping the user understand the causes of redundancies, conflicts, and concerns?

29 out of 30 cases (96%) the participants correctly explain the causes given the diagnosis produced by FormaTive



Early results:

Formal analysis provided by FormaTive is usable by non-technical stakeholders and more effective than manual analysis!

Remaining research question:

RQ3: How efficient and effective is the FormaTive framework in eliciting redundant-, conflict-, and concern-free normative requirements?

Future Research Directions



- 1. Conduct an extensive study to assess the efficiency of the overall framework *(evaluating iterations for eliciting requirements and quality)*
- 2. Generate and suggest patches to resolve the identified issues.
- 3. Provide more detailed diagnoses for raised concerns (e.g., information on requirements that partially address concerns)



Automated reasoning tool support for:

- the identification of conflicts, redundancies, and concerns
- the synthesis of feedback helping non-technical stakeholders to understand/resolve problems



Marsha Chechik