### Machine Learning I 80-629A

Apprentissage Automatique I 80-629

#### Supervised Learning Week #3

### Today: Models for supervised learning

- (Mostly) linear models
- Focus on classification
- Non-Probabilistic Models
- 2. Probabilistic Models
  - Naive Bayes

Nearest Neighbor, Support Vector Machines (SVMs)

## Supervised learning

	Nb.bed.	Area
x <sub>o</sub>	1	0
<b>x</b> 1	1	100
<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	3	200
<b>X</b> 3	1	150
X4	2	210

#### Train Data



	Nb.bed.	Area	Neigh.	•	•		Sell-ability
<b>x</b> 0	- 1	0	0	0	0	у <sub>0</sub> [	?
x <sub>1</sub>	2	50	1	.3	.8	Уı	?
<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	1	100	1	.5	1.4	У2	?
<b>X</b> 3	4	170	0	.7	.4	У3	?
X4	1	120	3	.9	.5	У4	?
Xnew						Ynew	







## Supervised learning

	Nb.bed.	Area
x <sub>o</sub> [	1	0
<b>x</b> 1	1	100
<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	3	200
X <sub>3</sub>	1	150
X4	2	210

Train Data



Task	

Test Data

	Nb.bed.	Area	Neigh.		•		Sell-ability
x <sub>0</sub>	- 1	Ο	0	0	0	] у <sub>о</sub> [	?
<b>x</b> 1	2	50	1	.3	.8	<b>y</b> 1	?
<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	1	100	1	.5	1.4	У2	?
<b>X</b> 3	4	170	0	.7	.4	У3	?
X4	1	120	3	.9	.5	У4	?
Xnew						γnew	



## Nearest Neighbor (NN)

- Conceptually simple yet very powerful model
- Non-parametric model
  - No training phase

• Test: Predict according to the neighbors of the instance





















# $i' = \arg\min dist(x_i, x_j)$ $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}'}$ $X_2$ $\bigcirc$



Х

### • 1-NN

Instance classified according to its nearest neighbor



### **X**<sub>2</sub> k = 5 (assumption)

- $i = \arg \operatorname{sort}_i dist(x_i, x_j)$
- $y_j = majority(i_{:5})$



### • K-NN

**Instance classified** according to the majority of its K nearest neighbors





X



#### weighted-NN Instance classified according to all neighbors. The contribution of each neighbor is weighted by its distance.



### Decision boundary



[Using: http://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto\_examples/neighbors/plot\_classification.html]



#### clf = neighbors.KNeighborsClassifier(n\_neighbors, weights='uniform')

### Adding a "noisy" instance



[Using: <u>http://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto\_examples/neighbors/plot\_classification.html]</u>



## NN properties (I)

- Requires storing the whole dataset
  - can be expensive

#### scikit-learn has options for faster (approximate) searches

algorithm : {'auto', 'ball\_tree', 'kd\_tree', 'brute'}, optional Algorithm used to compute the nearest neighbors:

- 'ball\_tree' will use BallTree
- 'kd\_tree' will use **KDTree**
- 'brute' will use a brute-force search.

#### Can also work with non-continuous data

**p** : integer, optional (default = 2)

Power parameter for the Minkowski metric. When p = 1, this is equivalent to using manhattan\_distance (I1), and euclidean\_distance (I2) for p = 2.

For arbitrary p, minkowski\_distance (I\_p) is used.

[http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.neighbors.KNeighborsClassifier.html]

Searching for nearest neighbour of a new datum

• 'auto' will attempt to decide the most appropriate algorithm based on the values passed to **fit** method.

## NN properties (II)

- In the limit of N  $\rightarrow\infty$  the error rate is bounded by twice the optimal error (for K=1)
- May not perform well with high-dimensional inputs due to the curse of dimensionality (i.e., may use very far neighbours)

### NN summary

- Non-parametric approach
  - Does not require fitting parameters
  - Hyper-parameter is the number of neighbors
- Also good for regression and density estimation



[Figure 4.3, Pattern Recognition & Machine Learning C. Bishop]

Laurent Charlin — 80-629

- Divide the space into regions
- Different regions correspond to different predictions
- Frontiers between regions are called decision boundaries





$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}$$



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}} \\ \text{Decision} & \begin{aligned} (\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}) > \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{0} \\ (\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}) < \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}} \\ \\ \text{vecision} & (\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}) > \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{0} \\ & (\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}) < \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

- decision boundary:  $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$
- take two points on the boundary:  $x_a, x_b$

then: 
$$\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_{a} + \mathbf{w}_{0} = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_{b} + \mathbf{w}_{0}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \mathbf{w}^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{a}} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathsf{b}}) = \mathbf{0}$$

 $\implies$  w is perpendicular to the decision boundary w represents the orientation of the decision boundary





 $(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}) < \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{0}$ 

Decision

 $w_0$  is a scalar

- you can think of it like an intercept
- take x' as the closest point on the decision boundary to the origin

 $\implies \parallel$ 

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}$$
$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{w}^{\top}(\beta \mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}$$
$$\mathbf{D} = \beta \| \mathbf{w} \|^{2} + \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}$$
$$\beta = \frac{-\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{0}}}{\| \mathbf{w} \|^{2}}$$

Then you know that the distance from the origin to x' is:

$$= \| \beta \mathbf{w} \|$$
$$\mathbf{x}' \| = \beta \| \mathbf{w} \|$$
$$\mathbf{x}' \| = \frac{-\mathbf{w}_0}{\| \mathbf{w} \|^2} \| \mathbf{w} \|$$
$$\mathbf{x}' \| = \frac{-\mathbf{w}_0}{\| \mathbf{w} \|}$$



dataset, how the parameters were initialized).

 In the previous slide the estimated decision boundary may be affected by hyper parameters (e.g., the order of the

- dataset, how the parameters were initialized).
- the margin

 In the previous slide the estimated decision boundary may be affected by hyper parameters (e.g., the order of the

• SVMs aim at finding the decision boundary that maximize

- dataset, how the parameters were initialized).
- the margin
- Popular and powerful approach
  - Comes with theoretical guarantees
  - Results in a convex optimization
  - Ideas extended to structured outputs

 In the previous slide the estimated decision boundary may be affected by hyper parameters (e.g., the order of the

• SVMs aim at finding the decision boundary that maximize









### ۲





 $X_2$ 

Margin



### ۲X

#### The objective is to find the separating boundary that maximizes the margin



۲





[Using: <u>http://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto\_examples/svm/plot\_separating\_hyperplane.html]</u>

### Probabilistic Models for Classification
# **Decision and Inference**

- Classification models provide a class label given a datum
- Probabilistic classification models more clearly divide the problem into two sub-tasks:
  - 1. Inferring
  - 2. Making a decision based on the inference results



Probabilistic Modelling





У



# **Decision Theory (1 slide)**



# Decision Theory (1 slide)



# Extra flexibility

- Separating inference from decision can be useful:
  - Examine (predictive) uncertainty
  - Minimize risk
    - Cost of false pos. differs from cost of false neg.
  - Combine models
  - Compensate for class imbalance

# **Probabilistic models**

# 1. Model the conditional directly:



Laurent Charlin — 80-629

- $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{x})$
- 2. Model the joint (or the prior and the class conditionals):

$$P(\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x})$$
joint
$$P(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k}) \qquad P(\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k})$$

class conditional densities class prior

Laurent Charlin — 80-629

- ground up
- known models

### • In the next few slides we will build models from the

# We will show how simple modeling decisions lead to

### In most cases we will parametrize the distributions, e.g.:



# Assume that x is a vector of dimensionality M

Laurent Charlin — 80-629

$$|\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k}, \theta)$$

class conditional density

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_M \end{bmatrix}$$

# Building a model

## Assume that dimensions of x are independent

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k}) = \prod_{j=1}^{M} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{j} \mid \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k}, \theta_{jk})$$

- (there are M of them)

the problem is then to model each conditional

this model is known as a Naive Bayes classifier

# Building a model

• If x is binary:  $x_i \in \{0, 1\} \forall j$ .

- If x is continuous:
  - $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k}, \theta_{\mathbf{jk}}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \mu_{\mathbf{jk}}, \sigma_{\mathbf{jk}}^{2})$  $\theta_{jk} := \{\mu_{jk}, \sigma_{jk}^2\}$
- If x is "mix" we can use a different distribution for each dimension

- $P(\mathbf{x}_{i} | \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k}, \theta_{ik}) = Bernoulli(\mathbf{x}_{i} | \mathbf{p}_{ik})$  $\theta_{jk} := p_{jk}$

# Estimating the parameters (e.g., $\theta$ )

- What is our performance measure?
  - Turn the estimation problem into an optimization problem
- 1. Maximum likelihood estimate (MLE)
- 2. Maximum a posterior (MAP)
- 3. Full posterior

# Maximum Likelihood (MLE)

- Likelihood:  $P(x, y | \theta)$ 
  - Μ
- $= \prod \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{j} \mid \mathbf{y}, \beta) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\pi})$
- Parametrize both distributions according to the data type
  - E.g., a multinomial for y and a Bernoulli for binary x.
- Solve the following optimization problem:

 $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \mid \theta)$ 

- $= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y}, \beta) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}) \quad \theta = \{\beta, \boldsymbol{\pi}\}$

For a binary X and a categorical Y



### • MLE solutions:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{M} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{j} \mid \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\pi})$$

 $= \log \left( \prod_{j=1}^{M} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \text{Bernoulli}(x_j \mid p_{jk}) \text{Categorical}(y = k \mid \pi_k) \right)$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} P(\mathbf{x}_{j} \mid \mathbf{p}_{jk}) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{k} \log \pi_{k}$$

 $\hat{\pi}_{\mathsf{k}} = rac{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{k}}}{\mathsf{N}}$ 

- $\hat{p}_{jk} = \frac{N_{jk}}{N_k} \qquad N: \text{ total number of instances}$ 
  - $N_k$  : number of instances where y = k
  - $N_{ik}$  : number of instances where y = k and  $x_i = 1$





# Making predictions



=

posterior

Bayes' Theorem

You can then use the MLE estimates for predictions

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{k},\mathbf{x})}_{\mathbf{v}}$$

joint

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k})}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k})} \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k})}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k})}$$

class conditional densities class prior

# Making predictions



Bayes' Theorem

MLE estimates:

Laurent Charlin — 80-629

# You can then use the MLE estimates for predictions



### $\hat{\mathbf{\pi}}$

- - the model
  - It can overfit.

$$k = \frac{N_{jk}}{N_k}$$
 $k = \frac{N_k}{N_k}$ 

## • The MLE estimate relies solely on the training set

## • It provides the best fit to the observed data given

# Maximum a posterior (MAP)

- As a fix we can model the parameters are R.V.
  - This allows us to encode prior knowledge
    - e.g., all classes have some non-zero probability
- Compared to MLE the MAP procedure takes into account this prior
  - $P(\pi) = Dir$

 $\hat{\pi}_{\mathsf{k}} = rac{1}{\mathsf{N}^{-1}}$ 

$$\mathsf{richlet}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_K)$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{N_k} + \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}}{+ \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \mathbf{alpha_{k'}}}$$

>>> import numpy as np >>> X = np.random.randint(2, size=(6, 100))>>> Y = np.array([1, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5]) >>> clf = BernoulliNB() >>> clf.fit(X, Y) BernoulliNB(alpha=1.0, binarize=0.0, class\_prior=None, fit\_prior=True) >>> print(clf.predict(X[2:3])) [3]

http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.naive\_bayes.BernoulliNB.html#sklearn.naive\_bayes.BernoulliNB

```
>>> from sklearn.naive_bayes import BernoulliNB
```

Laurent Charlin — 80-629

# Complete example

Use Naive Bayes to train a document classifier

- A model that predicts a document's topic (class)
- Document will be encoded using Bag-of-Words

### Documents are email messages sent to a newsgroup

```
From: bcash@crchh410.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Brian Cash)
Subject: Re: free moral agency
Nntp-Posting-Host: crchh410
Organization: BNR, Inc.
Lines: 17
In article <735295730.25282@minster.york.ac.uk>, cjhs@minster.york.ac.uk writes:
> : Are you saying that their was a physical Adam and Eve, and that all
> : humans are direct decendents of only these two human beings.? Then who
|> : were Cain and Able's wives? Couldn't be their sisters, because A&E
> : didn't have daughters. Were they non-humans?
 >
> Genesis 5:4
> and the days of Adam after he begat Seth were eight hundred years, and
> he begat sons and daughters:
> Felicitations -- Chris Ho-Stuart
Yeah, but these were not the wives. The wives came from Nod, apparently
a land being developed by another set of gods.
Brian /-|-\setminus
```

#### Document 40

Classes correspond to newsgroup topic

### Each document belongs to a single class

```
From: bcash@crchh410.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Brian Cash)
Subject: Re: free moral agency
Nntp-Posting-Host: crchh410
Organization: BNR, Inc.
Lines: 17
In article <735295730.25282@minster.york.ac.uk>, cjhs@minster.york.ac.uk writes:
> : Are you saying that their was a physical Adam and Eve, and that all
> : humans are direct decendents of only these two human beings.? Then who
> : were Cain and Able's wives? Couldn't be their sisters, because A&E
> : didn't have daughters. Were they non-humans?
 >
> Genesis 5:4
> and the days of Adam after he begat Seth were eight hundred years, and
> he begat sons and daughters:
 >
> Felicitations -- Chris Ho-Stuart
Yeah, but these were not the wives. The wives came from Nod, apparently
a land being developed by another set of gods.
Brian /-|-\rangle
```

#### Document 40

20 classes

comp.graphics comp.os.ms-windows.misc comp.sys.ibm.pc.hardware comp.sys.mac.hardware comp.windows.x

> rec.autos rec.motorcycles rec.sport.baseball rec.sport.hockey

sci.crypt sci.electronics sci.med sci.space

misc.forsale

talk.politics.misc talk.politics.guns talk.politics.mideast

talk.religion.misc alt.atheism soc.religion.christian

#### Document 40

```
From: bcash@crchh410.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Brian Cash)
Subject: Re: free moral agency
Nntp-Posting-Host: crchh410
Organization: BNR, Inc.
Lines: 17
In article <735295730.25282@minster.york.ac.uk>, cjhs@minster.york.ac.uk writes:
> : Are you saying that their was a physical Adam and Eve, and that all
> : humans are direct decendents of only these two human beings.? Then who
> : were Cain and Able's wives? Couldn't be their sisters, because A&E
> : didn't have daughters. Were they non-humans?
 >
  Genesis 5:4
 >
 >
> and the days of Adam after he begat Seth were eight hundred years, and
> he begat sons and daughters:
 >
> Felicitations -- Chris Ho-Stuart
Yeah, but these were not the wives. The wives came from Nod, apparently
a land being developed by another set of gods.
Brian /-|-\setminus
```



Vocabulary: 61,168 words

#### Document 40

```
From: bcash@crchh410.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Brian Cash)
Subject: Re: free moral agency
Nntp-Posting-Host: crchh410
Organization: BNR, Inc.
Lines: 17
In article <735295730.25282@minster.york.ac.uk>, cjhs@minster.york.ac.uk writes:
> : Are you saying that their was a physical Adam and Eve, and that all
> : humans are direct decendents of only these two human beings.? Then who
> : were cain and Able's wives? Couldn't be their sisters, because A&E
> : didn't have daughters. Were they non-humans?
 >
  Genesis 5:4
 >
 >
  and the days of Adam after he begat Seth were eight hundred years, and
 >
> he begat sons and daughters:
 >
> Felicitations -- Chris Ho-Stuart
Yeah, but these were not the wives. The wives came from Nod, apparently
a land being developed by another set of gods.
Brian /-|-\setminus
```



#### Document 40

```
From: bcash@crchh410.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Brian Cash)
Subject: Re: free moral agency
Nntp-Posting-Host: crchh410
Organization: BNR, Inc.
Lines: 17
In article <735295730.25282@minster.york.ac.uk>, cjhs@minster.york.ac.uk writes:
> : Are you saying that their was a physical Adam and Eve, and that all
> : humans are direct decendents of only these two human beings.? Then who
> : were Cain and Able's wives? Couldn't be their sisters, because A&E
> : didn't have daughters. Were they non-humans?
 >
  Genesis 5:4
 >
 >
> and the days of Adam after he begat Seth were eight hundred years, and
> he begat sons and daughters:
 >
> Felicitations -- Chris Ho-Stuart
Yeah, but these were not the wives. The wives came from Nod, apparently
a land being developed by another set of gods.
Brian /-|-\setminus
```



Vocabulary: 61,168 words

#### Document 40

```
From: bcash@crchh410.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Brian Cash)
Subject: Re: free moral agency
Nntp-Posting-Host: crchh410
Organization: BNR, Inc.
Lines: 17
In article <735295730.25282@minster.york.ac.uk>, cjhs@minster.york.ac.uk writes:
> : Are you saying that their was a physical Adam and Eve, and that all
> : humans are direct decendents of only these two human beings.? Then who
> : were Cain and Able's wives? <u>Couldn't be their sisters</u>, because A&E
> : didn't have daughters. were they non-humans?
 >
  Genesis 5:4
 >
 >
  and the days of Adam after he begat Seth were eight hundred years, and
 >
> he begat sons and daughters:
 >
> Felicitations -- Chris Ho-Stuart
Yeah, but these were not the wives. The wives came from Nod, apparently
a land being developed by another set of gods.
Brian /-|-\setminus
```



#### Document 40

```
From: bcash@crchh410.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Brian Cash)
Subject: Re: free moral agency
Nntp-Posting-Host: crchh410
Organization: BNR, Inc.
Lines: 17
In article <735295730.25282@minster.york.ac.uk>, cjhs@minster.york.ac.uk writes:
> : Are you saying that their was a physical Adam and Eve, and that all
> : humans are direct decendents of only these two human beings.? Then who
> : were Cain and Able's wives? Couldn't be their sisters, because A&E
> : didn't have daughters. Were they non-humans?
 >
  Genesis 5:4
 >
 >
> and the days of Adam after he begat Seth were eight hundred years, and
> he begat sons and daughters:
 >
> Felicitations -- Chris Ho-Stuart
Yeah, but these were not the wives. The wives came from Nod, apparently
a land being developed by another set of gods.
Brian /-|-\setminus
```



Vocabulary: 61,168 words

#### Document 40

```
From: bcash@crchh410.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Brian Cash)
Subject: Re: free moral agency
Nntp-Posting-Host: crchh410
Organization: BNR, Inc.
Lines: 17
In article <735295730.25282@minster.york.ac.uk>, cjhs@minster.york.ac.uk writes:
> : Are you saying that their was a physical Adam and Eve, and that all
> : humans are direct decendents of only these two human beings.? Then who
> : were Cain and Able's wives? Couldn't be their sisters, because A&E
> : didn't have daughters. Were they non-humans?
 >
  Genesis 5:4
 >
 >
> and the days of Adam after he begat Seth were eight hundred years, and
> he begat sons and daughters:
 >
> Felicitations -- Chris Ho-Stuart
Yeah, but these were not the wives. The wives came from Nod, apparently
a land being developed by another set of gods.
Brian /-|-\setminus
```



#### Document 40

```
From: bcash@crchh410.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Brian Cash)
Subject: Re: free moral agency
Nntp-Posting-Host: crchh410
Organization: BNR, Inc.
Lines: 17
In article <735295730.25282@minster.york.ac.uk>, cjhs@minster.york.ac.uk writes:
> : Are you saying that their was a physical Adam and Eve, and that all
> : humans are direct decendents of only these two human beings.? Then who
> : were Cain and Able's wives? Couldn't be their sisters, because A&E
> : didn't have daughters. Were they non-humans?
 >
  Genesis 5:4
 >
 >
> and the days of Adam after he begat Seth were eight hundred years, and
> he begat sons and daughters:
 >
> Felicitations -- Chris Ho-Stuart
Yeah, but these were not the wives. The wives came from Nod, apparently
a land being developed by another set of gods.
Brian /-|-\setminus
```



Vocabulary: 61,168 words

#### Document 40

```
From: bcash@crchh410.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Brian Cash)
Subject: Re: free moral agency
Nntp-Posting-Host: crchh410
Organization: BNR, Inc.
Lines: 17
In article <735295730.25282@minster.york.ac.uk>, cjhs@minster.york.ac.uk writes:
> : Are you saying that their was a physical Adam and Eve, and that all
> : humans are direct decendents of only these two human beings.? Then who
> : were Cain and Able's wives? Couldn't be their sisters, because A&E
> : didn't have daughters. Were they non-humans?
 >
  Genesis 5:4
 >
 >
> and the days of Adam after he begat Seth were eight hundred years, and
> he begat sons and daughters:
 >
> Felicitations -- Chris Ho-Stuart
Yeah, but these were not the wives. The wives came from Nod, apparently
a land being developed by another set of gods.
Brian /-|-\setminus
```



#### Document 40

```
From: bcash@crchh410.NoSubdomain.NoDomain (Brian Cash)
Subject: Re: free moral agency
Nntp-Posting-Host: crchh410
Organization: BNR, Inc.
Lines: 17
In article <735295730.25282@minster.york.ac.uk>, cjhs@minster.york.ac.uk writes:
> : Are you saying that their was a physical Adam and Eve, and that all
> : humans are direct decendents of only these two human beings.? Then who
> : were Cain and Able's wives? Couldn't be their sisters, because A&E
> : didn't have daughters. Were they non-humans?
 >
  Genesis 5:4
 >
 >
> and the days of Adam after he begat Seth were eight hundred years, and
> he begat sons and daughters:
 >
> Felicitations -- Chris Ho-Stuart
Yeah, but these were not the wives. The wives came from Nod, apparently
a land being developed by another set of gods.
Brian /-|-\setminus
```



Vocabulary: 61,168 words

Data

- 20,000 documents
- 20 classes
- 61,168 vocabulary size

### Classes =

Model

- Naive Bayes
- Fit using MAP

 $P(x | y = k, p_k) = Multinomial(x | p_k)$  $P(y) = Categorical(\pi)$  $\boldsymbol{\pi} \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha)$ 



### Code

https://github.com/lcharlin/80-629/blob/master/inClass/ NaiveBayes%2Bexample.ipynb

# Beyond Naive

• The assumption behind NB is that features are independent of one another conditioned on the class

P(x | y =

- Unrealistic. e.g., "nasa" and "space"
- There are alternatives specific to continuous X

$$\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{N}(\theta_{\mathbf{k}}, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})$$

# Quick word on covariance matrices



$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_y^2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & \rho \sigma_x \sigma_y \\ \rho \sigma_x \sigma_y & \sigma_y^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

[https://www.cs.ubc.ca/~murphyk/Teaching/CS340-Fall07/gaussClassif.pdf]

# Gaussian Discriminant Analysis (GDA)



[Figure 4.2, Machine Learning. Kevin P. Murphy]

 $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{N}(\theta_{\mathbf{k}}, \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}})$ 

With diagonal covariance (NB) the ellipses are axis-aligned

# Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)

- GDA has many parameters (MxM per class)
  - More prone to overfit
- An alternative is to model identical class covariance:
  - $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z})$

logistic regression:

 $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{1} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ 

Recall: X is M-dimensional e.g., M=61,168 for 20-newsgroup

$$\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{N}(\theta_{\mathbf{k}}, \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}})$$
$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{k}} = \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}'} \quad \forall \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'$$

In the two class case the posterior over classes is similar to

$$\theta) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))}$$

44



**Linear** Discriminant Analys



[Figure 4.3a & 4.5a, Machine Learning. Kevin P. Murphy]

# **Decision boundaries**

### Gaussian (Quadratic) Discriminant Analys



# Fisher Discriminant Analysis (FLDA)

# obtain a linearly separable problem



Laurent Charlin — 80-629

• Reduce the dimensionality of the data (Wx) to



# Readings

- References
  - Learning (available online)

# Sections 4.1—4.3, 4.5 of <u>The Elements of Statistical</u>

• Sections 3.5 & 4.2 of Machine Learning (K. Murphy)