University of Toronto, Department of Computer Science

CSC 363H1 Y

Due: At the beginning of lecture on Tuesday, July 4.

1. [20 marks]

- (a) If $A \leq_m B$ and B is a regular language, does that imply that A is a regular language? Explain why or why not.
- (b) Let L be a finite language over an alphabet Σ . Is L always decidable? Prove your claim.

Assignment #2

(c) For an input string w, we define w^R to be the reverse of w. Use Rice's Theorem to prove that the following language is undecidable:

 $T = \{ \langle M \rangle : M \text{ is a Turing machine that accepts } w^R \text{ if and only if it accepts } w \}.$

2. [15 marks]

Let us now consider the "real-word" problem of protecting our computers from viruses. We would like to build a filter (a virus checker) which will detect programs which are viruses before they are executed. Unfortunately you will show that no virus checker can detect all viruses without itself being a virus. Of course, we must formalize what we mean by these terms.

Consider a modern computer which uses some fixed operating system, under which all programs run. A *program* can be thought of as a function from strings to strings: it takes one string as input and produces another as output. On the other hand, a program itself can be thought of as a string.

By definition, a program P spreads a *virus* on input x if running P with input x causes the operating system to be altered, and it is *safe* on input x if this doesn't happen. A program P is said to be *safe* if it is safe on every input string.

A virus checker is a program, perhaps called **IsSafe**, that when given input $\langle P, x \rangle$, where P is a program and x is a string, produces the output 'YES' if P is safe on input x and 'NO' otherwise.

Prove that if the possibility of a virus exists – i.e., there is a program and an input that would cause the operating system to be altered – then there can be no virus checker that is both safe and correct.

3. [10 marks]

Prove that the following language is undecidable (you may not use Rice's Theorem):

 $L_3 = \{ \langle M, k \rangle : M \text{ is a Turing machine that accepts some string of length } k \}.$

4. [15 marks]

Consider the following language:

 $S = \big\{ \langle M \rangle : M \text{ is a Turing machine and } L(M) = \{ \langle M \rangle \} \big\}.$

Show that neither S nor \overline{S} is Turing-recognizable.

Summer 2006

Worth: 10%