CSC340S Assignment 2 - Requirements Analysis

Marker:
Team:
-
Total Marks:/100
Marks for this assignment depend on the factors and criteria listed below.
A: Requirements Specification (40%)
Al. Problem identification. How well have you researched the problem, and the organization you are dealing with; how well do you describe the alternative you have selected. Value 10 Marks:
* Synopsis of the problem: () worst description in my pile () average () one of the best
* Description of the proposed alternative: () worst description in my pile () average () one of the best
A2: Requirements Specification. How complete, well-thought out and organized are the requirements for the new system? Value 30 Marks:
* Functional (software) requirements () worst description in my pile () average () one of the best
* Non-functional requirements (performance, platform, interface, etc) () worst description in my pile () average () one of the best
* Are the requirements numbered and well organized? Are they complete, consistent, unambiguous, traceable, etc. () no () partially () yes
* Are data to be managed by the system described? System interfaces with other systems? () worst description in my pile () average () one of the best
B: UML Diagrams (40%)
Figures, diagrams and discussion that lead the reader to believe that the requirements you have specified are complete and appropriate for the proposed system. Value 40

marks:

B1. Use Case Diagrams. Value 10 marks: * (Informal) Description of each Use Case Diagram. () worst description in my pile () average () one of the best * For each use case, there is a relevant entry in the data dictionary. () yes () no * Discussion of the diagrams and how they relate to the requirements. () worst discussion in my pile () average () one of the best * Quality of the diagrams (choose one). A- Poor selection - few if any Use Cases are appropriate. B- Some valid attempt has been made at identifying Use Cases. Perhaps some serious flaws or omissions. C- All high level Use Case description documented. Perhaps some are inappropriate. D- Some relevant expanded version of Use Cases included. () Sensible structuring of Use Cases. Only minor errors. E- Exhaustive treatment of Use Cases. All relevant () relationships have been captured. B2. Class Diagrams. Value 15 marks: * A relevant report derived from the data dictionary has been included. () yes () partially () no * Classes are fully consistent. () yes () partially () no * Adequate use of CL to define class invariants, also operation pre/post-conditions. yes () partially () no * Quality of the class model (choose one). A- Poor selection - few if any classes are appropriate () B- Some valid attempt has been made at identifying classes. Perhaps some serious flaws or omissions. C- Some sensible decisions documented. Perhaps some are inappropriate. D- Nearly all relevant decisions included and suitably () justified. Any errors are minor. () E- Exhaustive treatment of issues, fully consistent & imaginatively justified. * (Informal) Description of Class Diagrams. () worst description in my pile () average () one of the best * Discussion of the diagrams and how they relate to the requirements. () worst discussion in my pile () average () one of the best

 * Quality of the diagrams (choose one). A- Little or no attempt to create them, or to structure them. B- Some valid attempt has been made at structuring. Perhaps some serious flaws or omissions. C- Some sensible structuring. Perhaps some essential structuring is inappropriate or missing. Some use of navigability, multiplicity, roles, etc. D- Nearly all structuring well designed. Navigability fully justified. Includes multiplicities, roles, etc. E- All relationship well structured. Consistent with case study, and imaginatively justified.
B3. Interaction and State Diagrams Value 15 marks:
* (Informal) Description of Interaction Diagrams. () worst description in my pile () average () one of the best
* (Informal) Description of State Diagrams. () worst description in my pile () average () one of the best
* Discussion of these artifacts and how they relate to the requirements () worst discussion in my pile () average () one of the best
 * Quality of the diagrams (choose one). () A- Little or no attempt, or really does not understand the Interaction and State Diagram notation. () B- Some valid attempt made, but only for obvious interactions, etc. Perhaps Use Cases are not well-thought out. () C- Reasonable attempt. Perhaps some interactions/events are inappropriate or inconsistent. Use Case may not have been prepared with enough care. () D- Sound & complete diagrams. Most interactions/events are appropriate and consistent with all other diagrams. () E- Complete & imaginative, fully consistent with case study & justified by imaginative assumptions.
C. Report (10%)
Covers the overall structure of the report. This includes appendices that describe meetings, diagrams, data dictionary and other information on the preparation of the assignment. Value 10 marks:
D. Presentation (10%)
This component of the marking scheme evaluates the style of your presentation, including language, grammar, clarity of the presentation, organization of appendices, etc. Value 10 marks:
() worst presentation in my pile () average () one of the best