Term: Spring 2004 Instructor: John Mylopoulos
Assignment 1 Marker:
Team:
Total Marks:/100
I. Problem identification (20%):
How well have you researched the problem, and the organization you are dealing with by talking to people, reading documents, etc.?
Problem description - 10
() poor () acceptable () excellent
[] problem/symptom description
[] description of existing system
[] organization description
Evidence of contact with people in the organization or reading documents - 5
() poor () acceptable () excellent
[] interviews
[] questionnaires
[] sample forms/documents
How hard is your problem? - 5 (e.g., studying an existing system for a large organization is harder than studying the possibility of a new system for a small store)
() too small () too large () just right

Course: CSC340S

[Note: As with Goldilocks and the Three Bears]
II. Alternatives and criteria (15%):
Have you considered obvious/interesting alternatives? 5
() too few/obvious () few, some interesting
() adequate number, interesting ones
How well defined are your criteria and how thorough is your evaluation? 5
() insufficient () incomplete mix () adequate mix
[] operational feasibility
[] technical feasibility
economical feasibility
[] cost/benefit analysis
[] tangible benefits
[] intangible benefits
[] schedule feasibility
[] risk assessment
Are your recommendations backed by appropriate evidence? Are they reasonable? 5
() loosely () somewhat backed up () well backed up
[] Statement of recommendation
[] Feasibility Analysis Matrix
III. Supporting evidence (15%):
The supporting evidence you include in terms of figures, tables, cost/benefit analysis etc 10

() insufficient () partially sufficient () adequate
Organization of appendices 5
() poor () acceptable () good
IV. Diagrams (30%):
How complete and accurate are your goal diagrams in justifying the alternatives and the criteria you are proposing? 10
() insufficient () partially sufficient () good
How complete and accurate are your class diagrams describing the information needed for the alternative being recommended? 10
() insufficient () partially sufficient () good
How complete and accurate are your state diagrams describing the business processes that are assumed for the alternative that is being recommended? 10
() insufficient () partially sufficient () good
V. Presentation (20%):
Covers language, grammar, format, clarity, style, etc.
Language, grammar, spelling, format 10
() poor () acceptable () good
Style and clarity - 10
() poor () acceptable () good