University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

CSC340S - Information Systems Analysis and Design

March 15, 2004 John Mylopoulos

Assignment 3: Detailed Design for an Information System

Due Date: 5:00pm Thursday April 8, 2004

This assignment counts for 20% of the final grade

This assignment is to be undertaken by students working in teams of three. Teams of two will be allowed when no third member is available.

The Assignment

The objective of the assignment is to give you practice in doing a detailed design for the information system you have been working on for assignments 1 and 2. The detailed design should include selecting hardware, networking and software for the new system, designing a system and a general software architecture, proposing a detailed software architecture, also defining a relational database schema and suitable I/O procedures and interfaces.

Directions: This assignment consists of eight steps:

- 1. Adopt the requirements specification of assignment 2. You may want to revise it on the basis of the comments you received from the marker.
- 2. Define a system architecture, consisting of computer networks (existing or new), hardware (existing or new) and software platform (operating system, other commercial software you will be using for your system).
- 3. Select hardware, software and networking to be purchased for the new system. Specify clearly the criteria and alternatives you are using for your decision.
- 4. Propose a general software architecture for the new system and justify your choice.
- 5. Based on the general design you have proposed, design the database schema for the database component of your system. Take into account workloads in proposing a relational database schema. Use both class diagrams and ER diagrams to describe the contents of your database before you generate a relational schema.
- 6. Design I/O procedures and user interfaces.
- 7. Do a detailed design of the classes that are part of your system using class, interaction and state diagrams.
- 8. Write a report that describes the complete system design. The report should include as an appendix the requirements specification document on which your design was based, along with a careful account of how all requirements (functional and non-functional) were addressed in your proposed design.

What to Hand In

Please submit your assignment in hardcopy form to the instructor's office on Thursday April 8, by 5pm.

There is no limit on the length of the report that you have to hand in. It is suggested however, that you turn in a report of reasonable length, sufficient to convince the marker that you have done a good job, without boring him/her.

CSC340S Asst3 – Information System Design Detailed Marking Scheme

Marker:	
Team:	
Total Marks:	/101
Marks for this assignmen	nt depend on the factors listed below.
A: System Archi	tecture (20%).
-	on of the hardware, networking and software platform selected for t and justification of the software architecture adopted.
Value 20	marks:
Specification of compu	uter network (existing or new, if any)
() worst in my pile ()	somewhere in the middle () top
Specification of the har	rdware (existing or new)
() worst in my pile ()) somewhere in the middle () top
*	ftware platform (operating system and ware you will be using for your system)
() worst in my pile ()) somewhere in the middle () top
Specification of genera	al software architecture; e.g., client-server, MVC, layered, etc
() worst in my pile ()) somewhere in the middle () top
Identification of sub-s	ystems and major components
() worst in my pile ()) somewhere in the middle () top
Justification that the ov	verall design meets all requirements
() worst in my pile () so	omewhere in the middle () top
B: Program De	esign (21%).
A description of the detailed of class, sequence, and state	ed design of the application component of the system, given in term te diagrams.
Value: 21	marks:

	B1. Class Di	agrams (7%)
	Value: 7	marks:
•	Descripti	on of class diagrams, including a data dictionary.
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
	Quality o	f the diagrams
	() Little	understanding of class diagrams.
	() Some	understanding, but there are flaws or omissions.
	S 2	onable diagrams, but not enough to capture the design of the application and/or there g information from some diagrams, e.g., attributes, operations, multiplicities
	()	Good and complete diagrams, cover well the design
	()	Excellent work
•	Justificat	ion that the design meets relevant requirements
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
	B2. Sequen	ce Diagrams (7%)
	Value: 7	marks:
	(Informa	Description of sequence diagrams.
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
	Quality o	f the diagrams
	() Little	understanding of sequence diagrams.
	() Some	understanding, but there are flaws or omissions.
		nable diagrams, but not enough to capture the design of the application and/or there information from some diagrams, e.g., conditional branching or terminations
	()	Good and complete diagrams, cover well the design
	()	Excellent work
	Justificat	ion that the design meets relevant requirements
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
	B3. State D	iagrams (7%)
	Value: 7	marks:
•	(Informa	Description of state diagrams.
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
)	Quality o	f the diagrams
	() Little	understanding of state diagrams.
	() Some	understanding, but there are flaws or omissions.

	2007	able diagrams, but not enough to capture the design of the application and/or there information from some diagrams, e.g., events, conditions and actions for various
	()	Good and complete diagrams, cover well the design
	()	Excellent work
•	Justification	on that the design meets relevant requirements
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
	C. Datal	base Diagrams (20%)
	Value: 20	marks:
•	Class and and other con-	ER diagrams describing all data to be stored in the database, along with identifiers straints
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
•	Workload important ope	data (expected number of instances for different classes, frequency of most rations)
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
•	Restructur	ring of the ER diagram
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
•	Generation	n of the relational schema
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
•	Normaliza	ation of the schema
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
•	Justification	on that the design meets relevant requirements
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
	D. User 1	Interface Design (20%).
	Covers the de	sign of all user interfaces to be supported by your system.
	Value : 20%	marks:
•	Clear desc	eription of the different user groups
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
•	State diag	rams describing the dialogues supported by the interface
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
•	Mockups	of windows
	() worst	in my pile () somewhere in the middle () top
•	Website d	esign (if relevant)

() worst in my pile ()	somewhere in the middle () top
Input/Output design	
() worst in my pile ()	somewhere in the middle () top
Justification that the int	terface design meets relevant requirements
() worst in my pile ()	somewhere in the middle () top
E. Supporting Do	ocumentation (10%).
(eg, prices, configuration	a for the selections you made for hardware, software and networking is, vendors considered,), meetings with your customer (if any), bers, supporting evidence for some of your design decisions,
Value: 10	marks:
F. Presentation (10%)
The style of your prese appendices, etc.	entation, including language, grammar, clarity, organization of
Value: 10	marks:
F1. Language: Grammar,	spelling,n
() worst in my pile	e () somewhere in the middle () top
Value: 5	marks:
F2. Style and structure : E conclusions, etc.)	E.g., table of contents, proper title page, page numbers, introduction,