CSC340F Assignment 2 - Requirements Analysis Marker: Team:
_
Total Marks:/100
Marks for this assignment depend on the factors and criteria listed below.
A: Requirements Specification (40%)
A1. Problem identification. How well have you researched the problem, and the organization you are dealing with; how well do you describe the alternative you have selected.  Value 10  Marks:
* Synopsis of the problem:     ( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate  * Description of the proposed alternative:     ( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate
A2: Requirements Specification.  How complete and well organized is the presentation of requirements?  Value 30  Marks:
<pre>* Functional (software) requirements   ( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate * Non-functional requirements (performance, platform, interface, etc)   ( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate * Are the requirements numbered and well organized? Are they complete, consistent, unambiguous, traceable, etc.   ( ) no ( ) partially ( ) yes</pre>
B: UML Diagrams (40%)
Figures, diagrams and discussion that lead the reader to believe that the requirements you have specified are complete and appropriate for the proposed system.  Value 40  marks:
B1. Use Case Diagrams.  Value 10  marks:
* (Informal) Description of each Use Case Diagram.  ( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate  * A relevant report derived from the data dictionary was included.  ( ) yes ( ) no
* Discussion of the diagrams and how they relate to the requirements.  ( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate  * Quality of the diagrams.

( ) ( ) ( )	A- Poor selection - few if any Use Cases are appropriate. B- Some valid attempt has been made at identifying Use Cases. Perhaps some serious flaws or omissions. C- All high level Use Case description documented. Perhaps some are inappropriate. D- Some relevant expanded version of Use Cases included. Sensible structuring of Use Cases. Only minor errors. E- Exhaustive treatment of Use Cases. All relevant relationships have been captured.
B2. Class	Diagrams
Value 15	214914
marks:	
( ) yes * Classes a	re fully consistent.
( )	A- Poor selection - few if any classes are appropriate B- Some valid attempt has been made at identifying classes. Perhaps some serious flaws or omissions.
( )	C- Some sensible decisions documented. Perhaps some are inappropriate.  D- Nearly all relevant decisions included and suitably justified. Any errors are minor.
	E- Exhaustive treatment of issues, fully consistent & imaginatively justified. ) Description of Class Diagrams.
* Discussio ( ) insu	<pre>fficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate n of the diagrams and how they relate to the requirements. fficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate f the diagrams:</pre>
( )	A- Little or no attempt to create them, or nounderstanding of structuring.
( )	B- Some valid attempt has been made at structuring. Perhaps some serious flaws or omissions.
( )	C- Some sensible structuring. Perhaps some essential structuring is inappropriate or missing. Some use of navigability, multiplicity, roles, etc.
( )	D- Nearly all structuring well designed. Navigability fully justified. Includes appropriate placement of multiplicity, roles, etc.
( )	E- All relationship well structured. Consistent with case study, and imaginatively justified.
B3. Intera Value 15 marks:	ction and State Diagrams
( ) insu	) Description of Interaction Diagrams. fficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate ) Description of State Diagrams.

( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate		
$^{\star}$ Discussion of these artifacts and how they relate to the requirements		
( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate		
* Quality of the diagrams:		
( ) A- Little or no attempt, or really does not understand the		
Interaction and State Diagram notation.		
( ) B- Some valid attempt made, but only for obvious		
interactions, etc. Perhaps Use Cases are not well-thought		
out.		
( ) C- Reasonable attempt. Perhaps some interactions/events are		
inappropriate or inconsistent. Use Case may not have been		
prepared with enough care.		
( ) D- Sound & complete diagrams. Most interactions/events are		
appropriate and consistent with all other diagrams.		
( ) E- Complete & imaginative, fully consistent with case study		
& justified by imaginative assumptions.		
C. Supporting Evidence (10%)		
···		
This includes appendices that describe meetings, diagrams, data		
dictionary and other information on the preparation of the assignment.		
Value 10		
marks:		
marks.		
D. Presentation (10%)		
D. Flesencacion (10%)		
This component of the marking scheme evaluates the style of your		
This component of the marking scheme evaluates the style of your		
presentation, including language, grammar, clarity of the presentation,		
organization of appendices, etc.		
Value 10		
marks:		
D1. Language		
Spelling and grammar.		
Value 5		
Value 5 marks:		
marks:		
marks: D2. Style and clarity		
marks:  D2. Style and clarity Table of contents, proper title page, page numbers, introduction,		
<pre>marks:  D2. Style and clarity Table of contents, proper title page, page numbers, introduction, conclusions, etc.).</pre>		
<pre>marks:  D2. Style and clarity Table of contents, proper title page, page numbers, introduction, conclusions, etc.). Value 5</pre>		
<pre>marks:  D2. Style and clarity Table of contents, proper title page, page numbers, introduction, conclusions, etc.).</pre>		
<pre>marks:  D2. Style and clarity Table of contents, proper title page, page numbers, introduction, conclusions, etc.). Value 5</pre>		