Term: Spring 2003 Instructor: John Mylopoulos
Assignment 1 Marker:
Team:
Total Marks:/100
I. Problem identification (20%):
How well have you researched the problem, and the organization you are dealing with by talking to people, reading documents, etc.?
Problem description - 10
() poor () acceptable () excellent
[] problem/symptom description
[] description of existing system
[] organization description
Evidence of contact with people in the organization or reading documents - 5
() poor () acceptable () excellent
[] interviews
[] questionnaires
[] sample forms/documents
<pre>How hard is your problem? - 5 (e.g., studying an existing system for a large organization is harder than studying the possibility of a new system for a small store)</pre>

Course: CSC340F

() too small () too large () just right [Note: AS with Goldilocks and the Three Bears]
II. Alternatives and criteria (20%):
Have you considered obvious/interesting alternatives? 5
() too few/obvious () few, some interesting
() adequate number, interesting ones
How well defined are your criteria and how thorough is your evaluation? 10
() insufficient () incomplete mix () adequate mix
[] operational feasibility
[] technical feasibility
economical feasibility
[] cost/benefit analysis
[] evidence presented for the costs and benefits
[] ROI analysis
[] NPV analysis
[] tangible benefits
[] intangible benefits
[] schedule feasibility
[] risk assessment
Are your recommendations backed by appropriate evidence? Are they reasonable? 5
() loosely () somewhat backed up () well backed up

```
Statement of recommendation
[ ] Feasibility Analysis Matrix
III. Supporting evidence (20%):
The supporting evidence you include in terms of figures,
tables, cost/benefit analysis etc. -- 10
( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate
Organization of appendices -- 5
( ) poor ( ) acceptable ( ) good
Usefulness of supporting information. How well does the
evidence support the recommendations? -- 5
( ) not useful ( ) somewhat useful ( ) extremely useful
IV. UML diagrams (20%):
How complete and accurate are your diagrams describing the
alternative you are recommending? -- 10
( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) good
Modeling of other alternatives -- 10
( ) poor ( ) acceptable ( ) adequate
IV. Presentation (20%):
Covers language, grammar, format, clarity, style, etc.
Language, grammar, spelling, format -- 10
( ) poor ( ) acceptable ( ) good
Style and clarity - 10
( ) poor ( ) acceptable ( ) good
```