# **University of Toronto Department of Computer Science**

CSC340S - Information Systems Analysis and Design

March 14, 2003 John Mylopoulos

#### **Assignment 3: Detailed Design for an Information System**

Due Date: 12:00midnight Friday April 11, 2003

This assignment counts for 15% of the final grade

This assignment is to be undertaken by students working in teams of three. Teams of two will be allowed when no third member is available.

### The Assignment

The objective of the assignment is to give you practice in doing a detailed design for the information system you have been working on for assignments 1 and 2. The detailed design should include selecting hardware, networking and software for the new system, designing a global architecture, proposing a detailed software architecture, also defining a relational database schema and suitable I/O procedures and interfaces.

#### Directions: This assignment consists of eight steps:

- 1. Adopt the requirements specification of assignment 2. You may want to revise it on the basis of the comments you received from the marker.
- 2. Define a global system architecture, consisting of computer networks (existing or new), hardware (existing or new) and software platform (operating system, other commercial software you will be using for your system).
- 3. Select hardware, software and networking to be purchased for the new system. Specify clearly the criteria and alternatives you are using for your decision.
- 4. Propose a software architecture for the new system and justify your choice.
- 5. Based on the general design you have proposed, design the database schema for the database component of your system. Take into account workloads in proposing a relational database schema. Use both class diagrams and ER diagrams to describe the contents of your database before you generate a relational schema.
- 6. Design I/O procedures and user interfaces.
- 7. Do a detailed design of the classes that are part of your system using class, interaction and state diagrams.
- 8. Write a report that describes the complete system design. The report should include as an appendix the requirements specification on which your design was based, along with a careful account of how all requirements (functional and non-functional) were addressed in your proposed design.

#### What to Hand In

Please submit your assignment electronically by the assignment deadline by visiting the CDF electronic submission system at <a href="http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/students/submit.html">http://www.cdf.toronto.edu/students/submit.html</a>. Also hand in a hardcopy to the instructor's office on Friday April 11, or on Monday April 14.

There is no limit on the length of the report that you have to hand in. It is suggested however, that you turn in a report of reasonable length, sufficient to convince the marker that you have done a good job, without boring him/her.

## CSC340S Asst3 - Information System Design Detailed Marking Scheme

Marker:	
Team:	
Total Marks:	/101
Marks for this assignment d	epend on the factors listed below.
the hardware, networking an	). Description and justification of d software platform selected for the nd justification of the software
Value 20	marks:
Specification of the comp	outer network (existing or new)
( ) insufficient ( )	partially sufficient ( ) adequate
Specification of the hard	dware (existing or new)
( ) insufficient ( ) ]	partially sufficient ( ) adequate
	tware platform (operating system and ou will be using for your system)
( ) insufficient ( ) ]	partially sufficient ( ) adequate
Specification of the soft client-server, MVC, layered	tware architecture, for example,
( ) insufficient ( ) ]	partially sufficient ( ) adequate
Identification of sub-sy	ystems and major components
( ) insufficient ( ) ]	partially sufficient ( ) adequate
Justification that the o	verall design meets all requirements
( ) insufficient ( ) p	artially sufficient ( ) adequate
	A description of the detailed omponent of the system, given in nd state diagrams.
Value: 21	manka.

	B1. Class Diagrams (7%)						
	Value: 7 marks:						
•	(Informal) Description of class diagrams, including a data dictionary.						
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate						
•	Quality of the diagrams						
	( ) Little understanding of class diagrams.						
	( ) Some understanding, but there are serious flaws on omissions.						
	() Reasonable diagrams, but not enough to capture the design of the application and/or there is missing information from some diagrams, e.g., attributes, operations multiplicities						
	( ) Good and complete diagrams, cover pretty well the design						
	( ) Excellent work						
•	Justification that the design meets relevant requirements						
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate						
	B2. Sequence Diagrams (7%)						
	<b>Value:</b> 7 marks:						
•	(Informal) Description of sequence diagrams.						
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate						
•	A description derived from the data dictionary was included.						
	( ) yes ( ) no						
•	Quality of the diagrams						
	( ) Little understanding of sequence diagrams.						
	( ) Some understanding, but there are serious flaws or omissions.						
	( ) Reasonable diagrams, but not enough to capture the design of the application and/or there is missing information from some diagrams, e.g., conditional branching or terminations						
	( ) Good and complete diagrams, cover pretty well the design						
	( ) Excellent work						
•	Justification that the design meets relevant requirements						
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate						

B3. Statechart Diagrams (7%)

	<b>Value:</b> 7 marks:
•	(Informal) Description of statechart diagrams.
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate
•	A description derived from the data dictionary was included.
	( ) yes ( ) no
•	Quality of the diagrams
	( ) Little understanding of statechart diagrams.
	( ) Some understanding, but there are serious flaws or omissions.
	( ) Reasonable diagrams, but not enough to capture the design of the application and/or there is missing information from some diagrams, e.g., events, conditions and actions for various transitions
	( ) Good and complete diagrams, cover pretty well the design
	( ) Excellent work
•	Justification that the design meets relevant requirements
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate
	C. Database Diagrams (20%)
	<b>Value:</b> 20 marks:
•	Class and ER diagrams describing all data to be stored in the database, along with identifiers and other constraints
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate
•	Workload data (expected number of instances for different classes, frequency of most important operations)
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate
•	Restructuring of the class diagram
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate
•	Generation of the relational schema
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate
•	Normalization of the schema
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate
•	Justification that the design meets relevant requirements
	( ) insufficient ( ) partially sufficient ( ) adequate

interfaces to be supported by your system.							
Value:	20%		marks:		<u></u>		
• Clear	description of	the differen	t user grou	ps			
( )	nsufficient (	) partially	sufficient	( )	adequate		
• State interfac	diagrams descr e	ibing the dia	logues supp	orted	by the		
( )	nsufficient (	) partially	sufficient	( )	adequate		
<ul><li>Mockup</li></ul>	s of windows						
( )	nsufficient (	) partially	sufficient	( )	adequate		
• Websit	te design (if r	elevant)					
( )	nsufficient (	) partially	sufficient	( )	adequate		
• Input	Output design						
( )	nsufficient (	) partially	sufficient	( )	adequate		
<ul><li>Justif requirem</li></ul>	ication that the	he interface	design meet	s rele	evant		
( )	nsufficient (	) partially	sufficient	( )	adequate		
the sele (eg, pr with yo	E. Supporting Documentation (10%). Supporting documentation for the selections you made for hardware, software and networking (eg, prices, configurations, vendors considered,), meetings with your customer (if any), meeting among team members, supporting evidence for some of your design decisions,						
Value:	10		marks:				
	F. Presentation (10%): The style of your presentation, including language, grammar, clarity, organization of appendices, etc.						
Value:	10		marks:				
_	uage: Deduction cal error.	of marks for	each spell	ling o	r		
Value:	5		ma	arks:			
figure o	e and clarity: r point of conf able of content tion, conclusion	fusion, or mis	ssing style	requi	rement		
Value:	5		ma	arks:			

D. User Interface Design (20%). Covers the design of all user