RECURSIVE BST OPERATIONS
Let’s implement a BST class, avoiding iteration.

This will give us more practice with trees, and with recursion.
Interface for our BST Class

Our BST is a tree, and thus can be implemented in a number of ways. so we make BST an interface.

A BST holds its elements in order, so we use Comparable as the type of those elements. Then we can build BSTs containing any orderable type of object.

interface BST {

    /** Insert k into me, if it’s not already there. */
    public void insert(Comparable k);

    /** Delete k from me, if it’s there. */
    public void delete(Comparable k);

    /** Print the contents of me, in order. */
    public void inorderPrint();

    /** Return whether I contain k. */
    public boolean contains(Comparable k);

}
Implementation Decisions

Data Structure

We use objects and references to represent the nodes and edges of a tree.

Because which node is the root of a tree can change, we make two classes: one for tree nodes, and one to refer to the root. (We used the same approach with linked lists.)

For the nodes, we can use the same BSTNode class as on an earlier slide.

```java
class BSTNode {
    public Comparable key;
    public BSTNode left;
    public BSTNode right;
    public BSTNode(Comparable key) {
        this.key = key;
    }
}
```
Because of our implementation, we call the class that acts as the tree LinkedBST.

**Data Members**

We need only one data member inside our LinkedBST class.

```java
public class LinkedBST implements BST {

    private BSTNode root;

    /** Insert k into me, if it’s not already there. */
    public void insert(Comparable k) { ... }

    /** Delete k from me, if it’s there. */
    public void delete(Comparable k) { ... }

    /** Print the contents of me, in order. */
    public void inorderPrint() { ... }

    /** Return whether I contain k. */
    public boolean contains(Comparable k) { ... }

    ... maybe others ...
}
```
The contains method

What is our “basic strategy” (step 1 from “Writing a Recursive Method”)?

What is the “flow of information”?

The method that searches a subtree must know the root of that subtree. There are (at least) two ways to implement this method:

1. As a static method in LinkedBST, passing the root BSTNode as a parameter.

2. As an instance method in BSTNode, calling it on the root BSTNode.

We take the first approach.

The contains method in BST doesn’t have a node parameter since that’s an implementation detail. So we make a helper method.

Now, develop the code using the remaining steps.
The code

/** Return whether I contain k. */
public boolean contains(Comparable k) {
    return contains(root, k);
}

/** Return whether k is in the tree rooted at t. */
private static boolean contains(BSTNode t,
                                Comparable k) {
    if (t == null) {
        return false;
    } else if (k.compareTo(t.key) == 0) {
        return true;
    } else if (k.compareTo(t.key) < 0) {
        return contains(t.left, k);
    } else { // k.compareTo(t.key) > 0
        return contains(t.right, k);
    }
}

Question: Why did we make contains(BSTNode, Comparable) static, but not contains(Comparable)?

Question: Can this be written elegantly with only iteration?

Exercise: Write contains without using an if statement.
The insert method

Design

We insert an element at the point where we ‘fall off’ the tree looking for it.

To insert $k$ into tree $t$:

- If $t$ is empty, replace $t$ by a tree consisting of a single node with value $k$.
- If $t$ has $k$ at its root, $k$ is already in $t$. Return without modifying $t$.
- If $k$ is less than the value at the root of $t$, insert $k$ into the left subtree of $t$.
- If $k$ is greater than the value at the root of $t$, insert $k$ into the right subtree of $t$.

Inserting a node requires a change to its parent. In our recursion, we’ll pass information back to the parent so it can change itself.
The code

/** Insert k into me, if it’s not already there. */
public void insert(Comparable k) {
    root = insert(root, k);
}

/** Insert k into the tree rooted at t, and
 * return the root of the resulting tree. */
private static BSTNode insert(BSTNode t,
                               Comparable k) {
    if (t == null) {
        t = new BSTNode(k);
    } else if (k.compareTo(t.key) < 0) {
        t.left = insert(t.left, k);
    } else if (k.compareTo(t.key) > 0) {
        t.right = insert(t.right, k);
    } // else equal, don’t do anything to t.

    return t;
}
Questions:

• Why does the statement “t = new BSTNode(k)” have an effect?

• We pass and return the reference t. How often during the recursion does it actually change in-between pass and return?

Exercises:

• Write a non-recursive insertion method for binary search trees.

• Write a recursive version that doesn’t return a BSTNode, but instead looks ahead to see if there’s a child.

• Write a recursive version that doesn’t return a BSTNode, but instead passes information about the parent to the child in the recursive call.
The Delete Operation

Design

- Find the node you wish to delete (if it is there).
- If the node is a leaf, delete it.
- If the node has exactly one child, delete the node by making its parent refer to that child directly.
- If the node has two children, replace the value in the node by the value in its successor and then delete the successor.

Questions

In a binary search tree, where is the successor of a node with a right child?

The successor node has no left child. How do we know?

Must the successor be a leaf?
The code

Our code for delete is slightly shorter than our strategy suggested. Can you see how it differs, and why it still works?

/** Delete k from the tree rooted at t (if there) *
 * and return the root of the resulting tree. */
private static BSTNode delete(BSTNode t, Comparable k) {
    if (t == null) { // k not in tree; do nothing.
        return t;
    } else if (k.compareTo(t.key) < 0) {
        t.left = delete(t.left, k);
    } else if (k.compareTo(t.key) > 0) {
        t.right = delete(t.right, k);
    } else { // Found it; now delete it.
        if (t.right == null) {
            // t has at most one child, on the left.
            t = t.left;
        } else {
            // t has a right child. Replace t’s value
            // with its successor value.
            Comparable successor = min(t.right);
            t.key = successor;
            // Delete that successor.
            t.right = delete(t.right, successor);
        }
    }
    return t;
}
/** Delete k from this BST, if it is there. */
public void delete(Comparable k) {
    root = delete(root, k);
}

/**
 * Return the minimum value in t.
 * Requires: t != null
 */
private static Comparable min(BSTNode t) {
    // To find the min, go left as far as possible.
    if (t.left == null) {
        return t.key;
    } else {
        return min(t.left);
    }
}

**Questions:** What is inefficient about our code in the two-children case? How could it be sped up?