Let's implement a BST class, avoiding iteration.

This will give us more practice with trees, and with recursion.

**Interface for our BST Class**

Our BST is a tree, and thus can be implemented in a number of ways, so we make BST an interface.

A BST holds its elements in order, so we use Comparable as the type of those elements. Then we can build BSTs containing any orderable type of object.

```java
interface BST {
    /** Insert k into me, if it's not already there. */
    public void insert(Comparable k);

    /** Delete k from me, if it's there. */
    public void delete(Comparable k);

    /** Print the contents of me, in order. */
    public void inorderPrint();

    /** Return whether I contain k. */
    public boolean contains(Comparable k);
}
```

**Implementation Decisions**

**Data Structure**

We use objects and references to represent the nodes and edges of a tree.

Because which node is the root of a tree can change, we make two classes: one for tree nodes, and one to refer to the root. (We used the same approach with linked lists.)

For the nodes, we can use the same BSTNode class as on an earlier slide.

```java
class BSTNode {
    public Comparable key;
    public BSTNode left;
    public BSTNode right;
    public BSTNode(Comparable key) {
        this.key = key;
    }
}
```
Because of our implementation, we call the class that acts as the tree **LinkedBST**.

### Data Members

We need only one data member inside our **LinkedBST** class.

```java
public class LinkedBST implements BST {
    private BSTNode root;

    /** Insert k into me, if it's not already there. */
    public void insert(Comparable k) { ... }

    /** Delete k from me, if it's there. */
    public void delete(Comparable k) { ... }

    /** Print the contents of me, in order. */
    public void inorderPrint() { ... }

    /** Return whether I contain k. */
    public boolean contains(Comparable k) { ... }

    // maybe others ...
}
```

### The `contains` method

What is our “basic strategy” (step 1 from “Writing a Recursive Method”)?

What is the “flow of information”?

The method that searches a **subtree** must know the root of that subtree. There are (at least) two ways to implement this method:

1. As a static method in **LinkedBST**, passing the root **BSTNode** as a parameter.
2. As an instance method in **BSTNode**, calling it on the root **BSTNode**.

We take the first approach.

The `contains` method in **BST** doesn’t have a node parameter since that’s an implementation detail. So we make a helper method.

Now, develop the code using the remaining steps.

### The `insert` method

#### Design

We insert an element at the point where we ‘fall off’ the tree looking for it.

To insert **k** into tree **t**:

- If **t** is empty, replace **t** by a tree consisting of a single node with value **k**.
- If **t** has **k** at its root, **k** is already in **t**. Return without modifying **t**.
- If **k** is less than the value at the root of **t**, insert **k** into the left subtree of **t**.
- If **k** is greater than the value at the root of **t**, insert **k** into the right subtree of **t**.

Inserting a node requires a change to its parent. In our recursion, we’ll pass information back to the parent so it can change itself.

---

**Question**: Why did we make `contains(BSTNode, Comparable) static, but not contains(Comparable)?**

**Question**: Can this be written elegantly with only iteration?

**Exercise**: Write `contains` without using an if statement.
The code

```java
/** Insert k into the tree rooted at t, and return the root of the resulting tree. */
private static BSTNode insert(BSTNode t, Comparable k) {
    if (t == null) {
        t = new BSTNode(k);
    } else if (k.compareTo(t.key) < 0) {
        t.left = insert(t.left, k);
    } else if (k.compareTo(t.key) > 0) {
        t.right = insert(t.right, k);
    } // else equal, don't do anything to t.
    return t;
}
```

Questions:
- Why does the statement "t = new BSTNode(k)" have an effect?
- We pass and return the reference t. How often during the recursion does it actually change in-between pass and return?

Exercises:
- Write a non-recursive insertion method for binary search trees.
- Write a recursive version that doesn't return a BSTNode, but instead looks ahead to see if there's a child.
- Write a recursive version that doesn't return a BSTNode, but instead passes information about the parent to the child in the recursive call.

The Delete Operation

Design
- Find the node you wish to delete (if it is there).
- If the node is a leaf, delete it.
- If the node has exactly one child, delete the node by making its parent refer to that child directly.
- If the node has two children, replace the value in the node by the value in its successor and then delete the successor.

Questions
In a binary search tree, where is the successor of a node with a right child?

The successor node has no left child. How do we know?

Must the successor be a leaf?

The code

```java
/** Delete k from the tree rooted at t (if there) */
private static BSTNode delete(BSTNode t, Comparable k) {
    if (t == null) { // k not in tree; do nothing.
        return null;
    } else if (k.compareTo(t.key) < 0) {
        t.left = delete(t.left, k);
    } else if (k.compareTo(t.key) > 0) {
        t.right = delete(t.right, k);
    } else { // Found it; now delete it.
        if (t.right == null) { // t has at most one child, on the left.
            t = t.left;
        } else { // t has a right child. Replace t's value
            Comparable successor = min(t.right); // with its successor value.
            t.key = successor;
            t.right = delete(t.right, successor);
        }
    }
    return t;
}
```
/** Delete k from this BST, if it is there. */
public void delete(Comparable k) {
    root = delete(root, k);
}

/**
 * Return the minimum value in t.
 * Requires: t != null
 */
private static Comparable min(BSTNode t) {
    // To find the min, go left as far as possible.
    if (t.left == null) {
        return t.key;
    } else {
        return min(t.left);
    }
}

Questions: What is inefficient about our code in the two-children case? How could it be sped up?