

CSC384: Intro to Artificial Intelligence

► A Brief Introduction to Prolog

Part 2/2 :

- Debugging Prolog programs
- Passing predicates as arguments and constructing predicates dynamically (on-the-fly).
- Efficient lists processing using accumulators
- Negation as failure (NAF)
- Cut (controlling how Prolog does the search)
- if-then-else

Please read also:

<http://cs.union.edu/~striegnk/learn-prolog-now/html/node87.html#lecture10>

Debugging Prolog Programs

- ▶ Graphical Debugger (gtrace):
 - ▶ On CDF: run “xpce” instead of “prolog”. Then, to debug:
 - ▶ ?- **gtrace**, father(X, john).
 - ▶ Very easy to use. see “<http://www.swi-prolog.org/gtrace.html>”
- ▶ Text-based debugger:
 - ▶ You can put or remove a breakpoint on a predicate:
 - ▶ ?- **spy**(female).
 - ▶ ?- **nosp**y(female).
 - ▶ To start debugging use “trace” before the query:
 - ▶ ?- **trace**, male(X).
 - ▶ While tracing you can do the following:
 - ▶ creap: **step inside the current goal** (press c/enter/or space)
 - ▶ leap: **run up to the next spy point** (press l)
 - ▶ skip: **step over the current goal without debugging** (press s)
 - ▶ abort: **abort debugging** (press a)
 - ▶ And much more... press h for help

Text Debugger Example

▶ ?-spy(female/1).

yes

▶ ?-mother(X,Y). *%starts debugging!*

Call: (9) female(albert) ?

▶ ?-nospy(female/1).

% Spy point removed from female/1

▶ trace, father(X,Y). *%let's debug!*

Call: (9) father(_G305, _G306) ?

```
male(albert).
```

```
male(edward).
```

```
female(alice).
```

```
female(victoria).
```

```
parent(albert,edward).
```

```
parent(victoria,edward).
```

```
father(X,Y):- parent(X,Y), male(X).
```

```
mother(X,Y):- parent(X,Y), female(X).
```

Simple Exercise: debug this program both in the text and graphical debugger.

Passing Predicates as Argument

- ▶ We can pass a predicate as an argument to a rule:
`test(X) :- X.`
`?- test(male(john)).` *%succeeds if male(john) holds.*
`?- test(parent(carrot,4)).` *%fails .*
- ▶ What if we want to pass arguments of the predicate separately?
`test(X,Y) :- X(Y).` *% this is syntax error!*
`?- test(male, john).`
- ▶ Unfortunately the above does not work, we cannot write `X(Y) !!`
- ▶ `=..` is used to build predicates on the fly:
`test(X,Y) :- G =.. [X,Y], G.` *%builds predicate `X(Y)` dynamically and calls it*
`?- test(male, john).`
- ▶ In general, `G =.. [P,X1,X2,...,Xn]` creates `P(X1,X2,...,Xn)`. E.g:
`?- G =.. [parent, john, X].`
`G = parent(john, X)`

Adding/Deleting Rules/Facts Dynamically

- ▶ A program can add or delete facts/rules dynamically:
 - ▶ **assert(term)** *%adds the given rule or fact*
 - ▶ *assert(male(john)).*
 - ▶ *assert((animal(X) :- dog(X))).*
 - ▶ **retract(term)** *%deletes the first fact/rule that unifies with the given term*
 - ▶ *retract(animal(_)).*
 - ▶ *died(X), retract(parent(john,X)).*
 - ▶ **retractall(term)** *%deletes ALL facts/rules that unify*
 - ▶ *retractall(parent(_,_)).*
- ▶ There is also **assertz(term)** that adds the fact/rule to the end rather than beginning

More Lists Processing in Prolog

- ▶ Much of Prolog's computation is organized around lists.
- ▶ Many built-in predicates: `member`, `append`, `length`, `reverse`.
- ▶ List of lists:
 - ▶ `[[1,2], [a, b, c(d), 4], []]`
 - ▶ Can define a matrix, e.g. 3x2 `M=[[1,2], [-1,4], [5,5]]`
 - ▶ Elements can be structures: `M2= [[(1.5,a), (3.2,b)], [(0,c), (7.2,d)]]` is a 2x2 matrix.
Then if write `M2=[H|_]`, `H=[_,(Cost, Name)]`. It succeeds and we get `Cost=3.2` and `Name=b`.
 - ▶ Exercise: write a predicate `getElm(M,R,C,E)` which holds if element `E` is at `M[R][C]`. Assume the matrix is `KxL` and `I,J>=0` and in range. Note that `M[0][0]` is the element at 1st row 1st column.

Lists: Extracting Desired Elements

- ▶ Extracting all elements satisfying a condition:
e.g. `extract(male, [john, alice, 2, sam], Males)`

- ▶ Generally:
`extract(+Cond, +List, ?Newlist)`

%Note: +, -, ? are not actual Prolog symbols, just used as convention!

```
extract(_,[ ],[ ]).
```

```
extract(Condname, [X | Others], [ X | Rest]):-
```

```
    Cond =.. [Condname,X],    %building cond predicate CondName(X)
```

```
    Cond,                    %now, calling Cond predicate to see if it holds
```

```
    extract(Condname, Others, Rest).
```

```
extract(Condname, [X | Others], Rest):- Cond =.. [Condname,X],
```

```
    \+ Cond, extract(Condname, Others, Rest).
```

- ▶ `\+` is *negation as failure*. We can also simplify the above using *if-then-else*. We will get back to these in a couple of slides.

Lists: append

- ▶ Appending two lists (challenge: no assumption on args):
`append(?X, ?Y, ?Z)`

Holds iff Z is the result of appending lists X and Y.

Examples:

- ▶ `append([a,b,c],[1,2,3,4],[a,b,c,1,2,3,4])`
- ▶ Extracting the third element of L: `append([_,_,X],_,L)`
- ▶ Extracting the last element of L: `axppend(_, [X], L)`
- ▶ Finding two consecutive elements X&Y in L:
`append(_, [X,Y|_], L)`

Implementing append

definition: `append(?X, ?Y, ?Z)`

```
append([ ],L,L).  
append([H|T],L,[H|L2]):-  
    append(T,L,L2).
```

- ▶ What are **all** the answers to `append(_,[X,Y],[1,2,3,4,5])` ?
- ▶ What are **all** the answers to `append(X,[a],Y)` ?
- ▶ What is the answer to `append(X,Y,Z)`? How many answers?

Lists: reversing

- ▶ Reversing a list: $[1,2,[a,b],3] \rightarrow [3,[a,b],2,1]$

`reverse(?L, ?RevL)`

`reverse([], []).`

`reverse([H|T], RevL):-`

`reverse(T, RevT), append(RevT, [H], RevL).`

- ▶ This is not efficient! Why? $O(N^2)$

Efficiency issues: Fibonacci

- ▶ Fibonacci numbers:
$$fib(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & n = 0 \\ 1 & n = 1 \\ fib(n-1) + fib(n-2) & n > 1 \end{cases}$$
- ▶ Consider the following implementation:
fib(0,0).
fib(1,1)
fib(N,F):- N>1,
 N1 is N-1, fib(N1,F1), N2 is N-2, fib(N2, F2),
 F is F1+F2.
- ▶ This is very inefficient (exponential time)! Why?
- ▶ Solution: use [accumulator](#)!

Fibonacci using accumulators

Definition: `fibacc(+N,+Counter,+FibNminus1,+FibNminus2,-F)`

We start at counter=2, and continue to reach N. FibNminus1 and FibNminus2 are accumulators and will be update in each recursive call accordingly.

`fibacc(N,N,F1,F2,F):-` %basecase: the counter reached N, we are done!

`F is F1+F2.`

`fibacc(N,I,F1,F2,F):- I<N,` %the counter < N, so updating F1&F2

`!pls1 is I +1, F1New is F1+F2, F2New is F1,`

`fibacc(N,!pls1,F1New,F2New,F).`

- ▶ This is $O(N)$.
- ▶ Now we define `fib(N,F)` for $N>1$ to be `fibacc(N,2,1,0,F)`.

Accumulators: reverse

- ▶ Efficient List reversal using accumulators: $O(n)$

```
reverse(L, RevL):-
```

```
    revAcc(L, [ ], RevL).
```

```
revAcc([ ], RevSoFar, RevSoFar).
```

```
revAcc([H | T], RevSoFar, RevL):-
```

```
    revAcc(T, [H | RevSoFar], RevL).
```

Negation As Failure

- ▶ Prolog cannot assert something is false.
- ▶ Anything that cannot be proved from rules and facts is considered to be false (hence the name Negation as Failure)
- ▶ Note that this is different than logical negation!
- ▶ In SWI it is represented by symbols `\+`
 - ▶ `\+ member(X,L)` %this holds if it cannot prove X is a member of L
 - ▶ `\+(A<B)` %this holds if it cannot prove A is less than B
- ▶ `X \= Y` is shorthand for `\+ (X=Y)`

NAF examples

Defining **disjoint sets**:

`overlap(S1,S2):-` %S1 &S2 overlap if they share an element.

`member(X,S1),member(X,S2).`

`disjoint(S1,S2):- \+ overlap(S1,S2).`

?- disjoint([a,b,c],[2,c,4]).

no

?- disjoint([a,b],[1,2,3,4]).

yes

?- disjoint([a,c],X).

No ←this is not what we wanted it to mean!

Proper use of NAF

- ▶ $\neg^+ G$ works properly only in the following two cases:
 - ▶ When G is fully instantiated at the time of processing \neg^+ . In this case, the meaning is straightforward.
 - ▶ When there are uninstantiated variables in G but they do not appear elsewhere in the same clause. In this case, it means there are no instantiations for those variables that make the goal true. e.g. $\neg^+ G(X)$ means there is no X such that $G(X)$ succeeds.

If-then-else

- ▶ Let's implement $\text{max}(X,Y,Z)$ which holds if Z is maximum of X and Y . using NAF:

$\text{max}(X,Y,Z) \text{ :- } X \leq Y, Z = Y.$

$\text{max}(X,Y,Z) \text{ :- } \text{!}(X \leq Y), Z = X.$

- ▶ This is a simple example. But shows a general pattern: we want the second rule be used only if the condition of the 1st rule fails.: it's basically an if-then-else:

$p \text{ :- } A, B.$

$p \text{ :- } \text{!}A, C.$

- ▶ SWI has a built-in structure that simplifies this and is much more efficient: if-then-else

If-then-else

- ▶ In Prolog, “if A then B else C” is written as $(A \rightarrow B ; C)$.
- ▶ To Prolog this means:
 - ▶ try A. If you can prove it, go on to prove B and ignore C. If A fails, however, go on to prove C ignoring B.

- ▶ Let's write max using \rightarrow :

```
max(X,Y,Z) :-  
    (X <= Y -> Z = Y ;  
     Z = X  
    ).
```

- ▶ Note that you may need to add parenthesis around A, B, or C themselves if they are not simple predicates.

Guiding the Search Using Cut !

- ▶ The goal “!”, pronounced **cut**, always succeeds immediately but just **once** (cannot backtrack over it).
- ▶ It has an important side-effect: once it is satisfied, it **disallows** (just for the current call to predicate containing the cut):
 - ▶ backtracking **before** the cut in that clause
 - ▶ Using **next rules** of this predicate
- ▶ So, below, before reaching cut, there might be backtracking on b1 and b2 and even trying other rules for p if b1&b2 cannot be satisfied.

p:- **b1,b2**!,a1,a2,a3. **%however, after reaching !, no backtracking on b1&b2**

p:- **r1,...,rn.** **%also this rule won't be searched**

p:- **morerules.** **%this one too!**

- ▶ See the following link for more details and examples:
<http://cs.union.edu/~striegnk/learn-prolog-now/html/node88.html#sec.l10.cut>

Implementing $\backslash+$ and \rightarrow using cut

- ▶ `fail` is a special symbol that will immediately fail when Prolog encounters it.
- ▶ We can implement NAF using cut and fail as follows:

```
neg(Goal) :- Goal, !, fail.  
neg(Goal).
```

- ▶ `neg` will act similarly to $\backslash+$. Why?
- ▶ We can implement “`p :- A \rightarrow B ; C`” using cut:

```
p :- A,!,B.  
p :- C.
```

- ▶ If A can be proved, we reach the cut and the 2nd rule will not be tried.
- ▶ If A cannot be proved we don't reach cut and the 2nd rule is tried.