

406 Let i be an integer variable, and let P be a specification such that

$P = i := i - 1. \text{ if } i = 0 \text{ then } i := 3 \text{ else } P. i := 3 \text{ fi}$

(a) Add recursive time.

(b) Is $i' = 3 \wedge (0 < i \Rightarrow t' = t + i - 1)$ a fixed-point (solution for P)? Prove or disprove.

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

(a) Add recursive time.

§ $P = i := i-1. \text{ if } i=0 \text{ then } i := 3 \text{ else } t := t+1. P. i := 3 \text{ fi}$

(b) Is $i'=3 \wedge (0 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1)$ a fixed-point (solution for P)? Prove or disprove.

§ Starting with the right side,

$$\begin{aligned}
& i := i-1. \text{ if } i=0 \text{ then } i := 3 \text{ else } t := t+1. i'=3 \wedge (0 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1). i := 3 \text{ fi} && \text{factor} \\
= & i := i-1. \text{ if } i=0 \text{ then } \text{ok} \text{ else } t := t+1. i'=3 \wedge (0 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1) \text{ fi. } i := 3 && \text{substitution} \\
= & i := i-1. \text{ if } i=0 \text{ then } i'=i \wedge t'=t \text{ else } i'=3 \wedge (0 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i) \text{ fi. } i := 3 && \text{substitution} \\
= & \text{if } i=1 \text{ then } i'=i-1 \wedge t'=t \text{ else } i'=3 \wedge (1 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1) \text{ fi. } i := 3 && \text{expand final asmt} \\
= & \text{if } i=1 \text{ then } i'=i-1 \wedge t'=t \text{ else } i'=3 \wedge (1 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1) \text{ fi. } i'=3 \wedge t'=t && \text{seq comp} \\
= & \exists i'', t''. \text{ if } i=1 \text{ then } i''=i-1 \wedge t''=t \text{ else } i''=3 \wedge (1 < i \Rightarrow t''=t+i-1) \text{ fi} \wedge i'=3 \wedge t'=t'' && \\
& && \text{one-point for } t'' \\
= & \exists i''. \text{ if } i=1 \text{ then } i''=i-1 \wedge t'=t \text{ else } i''=3 \wedge (1 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1) \text{ fi} \wedge i'=3 && \\
& && \text{factor } i'=3 \text{ outside } \exists i'' \cdot \text{ (distributive law)} \\
= & i'=3 \wedge \exists i''. \text{ if } i=1 \text{ then } i''=i-1 \wedge t'=t \text{ else } i''=3 \wedge (1 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1) \text{ fi} && \text{case analysis} \\
= & i'=3 \wedge \exists i''. (i=1 \wedge i''=i-1 \wedge t'=t) \vee (i \neq 1 \wedge i''=3 \wedge (1 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1)) && \text{splitting} \\
= & i'=3 \wedge ((\exists i''. i=1 \wedge i''=i-1 \wedge t'=t) \vee (\exists i''. i \neq 1 \wedge i''=3 \wedge (1 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1))) && \\
& && \text{one-point twice} \\
= & i'=3 \wedge ((i=1 \wedge t'=t) \vee (i \neq 1 \wedge (1 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1))) && \text{context} \\
= & i'=3 \wedge ((i=1 \wedge t'=t+i-1) \vee (i \neq 1 \wedge (1 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1))) && \text{inclusion} \\
= & i'=3 \wedge ((i=1 \wedge t'=t+i-1) \vee (i \neq 1 \wedge (1 \geq i \vee t'=t+i-1))) && \text{distribute} \\
= & i'=3 \wedge ((i=1 \wedge t'=t+i-1) \vee (i \neq 1 \wedge 1 \geq i) \vee (i \neq 1 \wedge t'=t+i-1)) && \text{simplify middle disjunct} \\
= & i'=3 \wedge ((i=1 \wedge t'=t+i-1) \vee i < 1 \vee (i \neq 1 \wedge t'=t+i-1)) && \text{first and last disjunct} \\
= & i'=3 \wedge (i < 1 \vee t'=t+i-1) && \text{inclusion} \\
= & i'=3 \wedge (0 < i \Rightarrow t'=t+i-1)
\end{aligned}$$

So yes, it is a solution. I expect there's a shorter proof.