

378 Let R be a relation of naturals $R: \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{bin}$ that is monotonic in its second parameter

$$\forall i, j. R i j \Rightarrow R i (j+1)$$

Prove

$$\exists i. \forall j. R i j = \forall j. \exists i. R i j.$$

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

§ One of the versions of induction is

$$\forall n: \text{nat} \cdot P n \Rightarrow P(n+1) \implies \forall n: \text{nat} \cdot P 0 \Rightarrow P n$$

If we change the variable to j and $P n$ to $R i j$, the left side of this induction becomes the given information. So starting with the given information

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall i, j \cdot R i j \Rightarrow R i (j+1) && \text{specialize local } i \text{ to nonlocal } i \\ \implies & \forall j \cdot R i j \Rightarrow R i (j+1) && \text{use induction} \\ \implies & \forall j \cdot R i 0 \Rightarrow R i j && \text{push } \forall j \text{ into the consequent} \\ = & R i 0 \Rightarrow \forall j \cdot R i j \end{aligned}$$

The last line is a lemma we'll need in a moment. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall j \cdot \exists i \cdot R i j && \text{use specialization} \\ \implies & \exists i \cdot R i 0 && \text{use lemma} \\ \implies & \exists i \cdot \forall j \cdot R i j \end{aligned}$$

which is one direction of the theorem. The other direction is the semicommutative law.