

195 (fixed point) Let L be a nonempty sorted list of different integers. Write a program to find a fixed-point of L , that is an index i such that $L_i = i$, or to report that no such index exists. Execution time should be at most $\log(\#L)$.

After trying the question, scroll down to the solution.

§ Let L be a constant, and let i and j be natural variables. Let t be an extended natural time variable. If a fixed-point exists, it will be indicated by $L i' = i'$. If none exists, that will be indicated by $L i' \neq i'$.

$$(\exists k: 0..#L \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i') \iff \\ i := 0. j := \#L. i < j \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i')$$

$$i < j \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i') \iff \\ \text{if } j-i=1 \text{ then } ok \\ \text{else } m := \text{div}(i+j) 2. \\ \text{if } L m \leq m \text{ then } i := m \text{ else } j := m \text{ fi.} \\ i < j \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i') \text{ fi}$$

The timing:

$$t' \leq t + \text{ceil}(\log(\#L)) \iff i := 0. j := \#L. i < j \Rightarrow t' \leq t + \text{ceil}(\log(j-i))$$

$$i < j \Rightarrow t' \leq t + \text{ceil}(\log(j-i)) \iff \\ \text{if } j-i=1 \text{ then } ok \\ \text{else } m := \text{div}(i+j) 2. \\ \text{if } L m \leq m \text{ then } i := m \text{ else } j := m \text{ fi.} \\ t := t+1. i < j \Rightarrow t' \leq t + \text{ceil}(\log(j-i)) \text{ fi}$$

The first refinement is proven by two uses of the Substitution Law. The last refinement is proven in three cases. First case:

$$\begin{aligned} & (i < j \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i')) \iff j-i=1 \wedge ok && \text{expand } ok \\ = & (i < j \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i')) \iff j-i=1 \wedge i'=i \wedge j'=j && \text{context} \\ = & (i < i+1 \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..i+1 \cdot L k = k) = (L i = i)) \iff j-i=1 \wedge i'=i \wedge j'=j && \text{simplify} \\ = & (\top \Rightarrow (L i = i) = (L i = i)) \iff j-i=1 \wedge i'=i \wedge j'=j && \text{reflexive and identity} \\ = & \top \end{aligned}$$

Middle case:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\quad i < j \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i') \\ & \iff j-i \neq 1 \wedge (m := \text{div}(i+j) 2. \\ & \quad L m \leq m \wedge (i := m. i < j \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i')))) && \text{portation} \\ = & j-i \geq 2 \wedge (m := \text{div}(i+j) 2. \\ & \quad L m \leq m \wedge (i := m. i < j \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i'))) \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i') && \text{Substitution Law twice} \\ = & j-i \geq 2 \wedge L(\text{div}(i+j) 2) \leq (\text{div}(i+j) 2) \\ & \wedge ((\text{div}(i+j) 2) < j \Rightarrow (\exists k: (\text{div}(i+j) 2)..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i')) \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i') \\ & \quad \text{In the context } j-i \geq 2, \text{ we have } (\text{div}(i+j) 2) < j, \text{ so discharge} \\ = & j-i \geq 2 \wedge L(\text{div}(i+j) 2) \leq (\text{div}(i+j) 2) \wedge (\exists k: (\text{div}(i+j) 2)..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i') \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i') \\ & \quad \text{If } L(\text{div}(i+j) 2) = (\text{div}(i+j) 2), \text{ then } (\exists k: (\text{div}(i+j) 2)..j \cdot L k = k) \text{ and} \\ & \quad (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) \text{ are both } \top. \\ & \quad \text{If } L(\text{div}(i+j) 2) < (\text{div}(i+j) 2), \text{ then } (\exists k: i..(\text{div}(i+j) 2)..j \cdot L k = k) \text{ is } \perp \\ & \quad \text{because } L \text{ is strictly increasing.} \\ = & j-i \geq 2 \wedge L(\text{div}(i+j) 2) \leq (\text{div}(i+j) 2) \wedge (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i') \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists k: i..j \cdot L k = k) = (L i' = i') && \text{specialization} \\ = & \top \end{aligned}$$

The last case is just like the middle case. The timing proof breaks into the same cases as the results proof.