

BOOLEAN THEORY

(logic)

boolean expressions:

- can be used to represent statements about the world
(natural or constructed, real or imaginary)
- can be used to represent digital circuits

theorems:

represent true statements

represent circuits with high voltage output

antitheorems:

represent false statements

represent circuits with low voltage output

boolean expressions

 $\top \quad \perp$ $\neg x$ $x \wedge y \quad x \vee y \quad x \Rightarrow y \quad x \Leftarrow y \quad x = y \quad x \neq y$ **if x then y else z**

precedence and parentheses

associative operators: $\wedge \quad \vee \quad = \quad \neq$ $x \wedge y \wedge z$ means either $(x \wedge y) \wedge z$ or $x \wedge (y \wedge z)$ $x \vee y \vee z$ means either $(x \vee y) \vee z$ or $x \vee (y \vee z)$ continuing operators: $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow = \neq$ $x = y = z$ means $x = y \wedge y = z$ $x \Rightarrow y \Rightarrow z$ means $(x \Rightarrow y) \wedge (y \Rightarrow z)$ big operators: $= \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ same as $= \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ but later precedence $x = y \Rightarrow z$ means $(x = y) \wedge (y \Rightarrow z)$

truth tables

	T	⊥
¬	⊥	T

	TT	T⊥	⊥T	⊥⊥
∧	T	⊥	⊥	⊥
∨	T	T	T	⊥
⇒	T	⊥	T	T
⇐	T	T	⊥	T
=	T	⊥	⊥	T
≠	⊥	T	T	⊥

	TTT	TT⊥	T⊥T	T⊥⊥	⊥TT	⊥T⊥	⊥⊥T	⊥⊥⊥
if then else	T	T	⊥	⊥	T	⊥	T	⊥

variables are for substitution (instantiation)

- add parentheses to maintain precedence

in $x \wedge y$ replace x by \perp and y by $\perp \vee \top$

result: $\perp \wedge (\perp \vee \top)$

- every occurrence of a variable must be replaced by the same expression

in $x \wedge x$ replace x by \perp

result: $\perp \wedge \perp$

- different variables can be replaced by the same expression

in $x \wedge y$ replace x by \perp and y by \perp

result: $\perp \wedge \perp$

new boolean expressions

(the grass is green)

(the sky is green)

(there is life elsewhere in the universe)

(intelligent messages are coming from space)

$1 + 1 = 2$

$0 / 0 = 5$



consistent: no boolean expression is both a theorem and an antitheorem (no overclassified expressions)

complete: every fully instantiated boolean expression is either a theorem or an antitheorem (no unclassified expressions)

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a boolean expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem. If a boolean expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

axiom: \top

anti-axiom: \perp

axiom: (the grass is green)

anti-axiom: (the sky is green)

axiom: (intelligent messages are coming from space)

\Rightarrow (there is life elsewhere in the universe)

Evaluation Rule If all the boolean subexpressions of a boolean expression are classified, then it is classified according to the truth tables.

Completion Rule If a boolean expression contains unclassified boolean subexpressions, and all ways of classifying them place it in the same class, then it is in that class.

theorem: $(\text{there is life elsewhere in the universe}) \vee \top$

theorem: $(\text{there is life elsewhere in the universe})$
 $\vee \neg(\text{there is life elsewhere in the universe})$

antitheorem: $(\text{there is life elsewhere in the universe})$
 $\wedge \neg(\text{there is life elsewhere in the universe})$

Consistency Rule If a classified boolean expression contains boolean subexpressions, and only one way of classifying them is consistent, then they are classified that way.

Example (modus ponens, detachment):

Suppose x and $x \Rightarrow y$ are theorems. If y were an antitheorem, then by the Evaluation Rule, $x \Rightarrow y$ would be an antitheorem. That would be inconsistent. So y is a theorem.

Example (abnegation):

Suppose $\neg x$ is a theorem. If x were a theorem, then by the Evaluation Rule, $\neg x$ would be an antitheorem. That would be inconsistent. So x is an antitheorem.

No need to talk about anti-axioms and antitheorems.

Instance Rule If a boolean expression is classified, then all its instances have that same classification.

axiom: $x = x$

theorem: $x = x$

theorem: $\top = \perp \vee \perp = \top = \perp \vee \perp$

theorem: (intelligent messages are coming from space)
 = (intelligent messages are coming from space)

Classical Logic: all five rules

Constructive Logic: not Completion Rule

Evaluation Logic: neither Consistency Rule nor Completion Rule

Expression and Proof Format

$$a \wedge b \vee c \quad \text{not} \quad a \wedge b \vee c$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \textit{first part} \\ \wedge \textit{ second part} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{first part} \\ = \textit{ second part} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} a \wedge b \Rightarrow c & \text{Material Implication} \\ = \neg(a \wedge b) \vee c & \text{Duality} \\ = \neg a \vee \neg b \vee c & \text{Material Implication} \\ = a \Rightarrow \neg b \vee c & \text{Material Implication} \\ = a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c) & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c)) & \text{Material Imp 3 times} \\ = (\neg(a \wedge b) \vee c = \neg a \vee (\neg b \vee c)) & \text{Duality} \\ = (\neg a \vee \neg b \vee c = \neg a \vee \neg b \vee c) & \text{Reflexivity of } = \\ = \top & \end{array}$$

Monotonicity and Antimonotonicity

$\neg a$ is antimonotonic in a

$a \wedge b$ is monotonic in a and monotonic in b

$a \vee b$ is monotonic in a and monotonic in b

$a \Rightarrow b$ is antimonotonic in a and monotonic in b

$a \Leftarrow b$ is monotonic in a and antimonotonic in b

if a then b else c is monotonic in b and monotonic in c

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 & \neg(a \wedge \neg(\underline{a \vee b})) \qquad \text{use the Law of Generalization} \\
 \Leftarrow & \neg(a \wedge \neg a) \qquad \text{now use the Law of Noncontradiction} \\
 = & \top
 \end{array}$$

Context

In $a \wedge b$, when changing a , we can assume b .

In $a \wedge b$, when changing b , we can assume a .

In $a \vee b$, when changing a , we can assume $\neg b$.

In $a \vee b$, when changing b , we can assume $\neg a$.

In $a \Rightarrow b$, when changing a , we can assume $\neg b$.

In $a \Rightarrow b$, when changing b , we can assume a .

In $a \Leftarrow b$, when changing a , we can assume b .

In $a \Leftarrow b$, when changing b , we can assume $\neg a$.

In **if a then b else c** , when changing b , we can assume a .

In **if a then b else c** , when changing c , we can assume $\neg a$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \neg(a \wedge \neg(a \vee b)) && \text{assume } a \text{ to simplify } \neg(a \vee b) \\
 = & \neg(a \wedge \neg(\top \vee b)) && \text{Symmetry Law and Base Law for } \vee \\
 = & \neg(a \wedge \neg\top) && \text{Truth Table for } \neg \\
 = & \neg(a \wedge \perp) && \text{Base Law for } \wedge \\
 = & \neg\perp && \text{Boolean Axiom, or Truth Table for } \neg \\
 = & \top
 \end{aligned}$$

NUMBER THEORY

(arithmetic)

number expressions can be used to represent quantity

number expressions

0 1 2 597 1.2 1e10 ∞

$+x$ $-x$ $x+y$ $x-y$ $x \times y$ x/y $\frac{x}{y}$

x^y **if a then x else y**

boolean expressions

$x=y$ $x \neq y$ $x < y$ $x > y$ $x \leq y$ $x \geq y$

CHARACTER THEORY

$\backslash A$ $\backslash a$ \backslash $\backslash \backslash$

succ *pred* **if then else**

$=$ \neq $<$ $>$ \leq \geq