

binary expressions:

theorems:

antitheorems:

binary expressions: represent anything that comes in two kinds

theorems: represent one kind

antitheorems: represent the other kind

binary expressions: represent anything that comes in two kinds

represent statements about the world (natural or constructed, real or imaginary)

theorems: represent one kind

represent true statements

antitheorems: represent the other kind

represent false statements

binary expressions: represent anything that comes in two kinds

represent statements about the world (natural or constructed, real or imaginary)

represent digital circuits

theorems: represent one kind

represent true statements

represent circuits with high voltage output

antitheorems: represent the other kind

represent false statements

represent circuits with low voltage output

binary expressions: represent anything that comes in two kinds

represent statements about the world (natural or constructed, real or imaginary)

represent digital circuits

represent human behavior

theorems: represent one kind

represent true statements

represent circuits with high voltage output

represent innocent behavior

antitheorems: represent the other kind

represent false statements

represent circuits with low voltage output

represent guilty behavior

0 operands

⊥ ⊥

0 operands

\top \perp

1 operand

$\neg x$

0 operands

\top \perp

1 operand

$\neg x$

2 operands

$x \wedge y$ $x \vee y$ $x \Rightarrow y$ $x \Leftarrow y$ $x = y$ $x \neq y$

0 operands

\top \perp

1 operand

$\neg x$

2 operands

$x \wedge y$ $x \vee y$ $x \Rightarrow y$ $x \Leftarrow y$ $x = y$ $x \neq y$



0 operands

\top \perp

1 operand

$\neg x$

2 operands

$x \wedge y$ $x \vee y$ $x \Rightarrow y$ $x \Leftarrow y$ $x = y$ $x \neq y$



0 operands

\top \perp

1 operand

$\neg x$

2 operands

$x \wedge y$ $x \vee y$ $x \Rightarrow y$ $x \Leftarrow y$ $x = y$ $x \neq y$



0 operands

\top \perp

1 operand

$\neg x$

2 operands

$x \wedge y$ $x \vee y$ $x \Rightarrow y$ $x \Leftarrow y$ $x = y$ $x \neq y$



0 operands

\top \perp

1 operand

$\neg x$

2 operands

$x \wedge y$ $x \vee y$ $x \Rightarrow y$ $x \Leftarrow y$ $x = y$ $x \neq y$



0 operands

\top \perp

1 operand

$\neg x$

2 operands

$x \wedge y$ $x \vee y$ $x \Rightarrow y$ $x \Leftarrow y$ $x = y$ $x \neq y$



0 operands	\top \perp
1 operand	$\neg x$
2 operands	$x \wedge y$ $x \vee y$ $x \Rightarrow y$ $x \Leftarrow y$ $x = y$ $x \neq y$
3 operands	if x then y else z fi

0 operands	\top \perp
1 operand	$\neg x$
2 operands	$x \wedge y$ $x \vee y$ $x \Rightarrow y$ $x \Leftarrow y$ $x = y$ $x \neq y$
3 operands	if x then y else z fi

precedence and parentheses

0 operands	\top \perp
1 operand	$\neg x$
2 operands	$x \wedge y$ $x \vee y$ $x \Rightarrow y$ $x \Leftarrow y$ $x = y$ $x \neq y$
3 operands	if x then y else z fi

precedence and parentheses

associative operators: \wedge \vee $=$ \neq

$x \wedge y \wedge z$ means either $(x \wedge y) \wedge z$ or $x \wedge (y \wedge z)$

$x \vee y \vee z$ means either $(x \vee y) \vee z$ or $x \vee (y \vee z)$

0 operands	$\top \quad \perp$
1 operand	$\neg x$
2 operands	$x \wedge y \quad x \vee y \quad x \Rightarrow y \quad x \Leftarrow y \quad x = y \quad x \neq y$
3 operands	if x then y else z fi

precedence and parentheses

associative operators: $\wedge \quad \vee \quad = \quad \neq$

$x \wedge y \wedge z$ means either $(x \wedge y) \wedge z$ or $x \wedge (y \wedge z)$

$x \vee y \vee z$ means either $(x \vee y) \vee z$ or $x \vee (y \vee z)$

continuing operators: $\Rightarrow \quad \Leftarrow \quad = \quad \neq$

$x = y = z$ means $x = y \wedge y = z$

$x \Rightarrow y \Rightarrow z$ means $(x \Rightarrow y) \wedge (y \Rightarrow z)$

0 operands	$\top \quad \perp$
1 operand	$\neg x$
2 operands	$x \wedge y \quad x \vee y \quad x \Rightarrow y \quad x \Leftarrow y \quad x = y \quad x \neq y$
3 operands	if x then y else z fi

precedence and parentheses

associative operators: $\wedge \quad \vee \quad = \quad \neq$

$x \wedge y \wedge z$ means either $(x \wedge y) \wedge z$ or $x \wedge (y \wedge z)$

$x \vee y \vee z$ means either $(x \vee y) \vee z$ or $x \vee (y \vee z)$

continuing operators: $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow = \neq$

$x = y = z$ means $x = y \wedge y = z$

$x \Rightarrow y \Rightarrow z$ means $(x \Rightarrow y) \wedge (y \Rightarrow z)$

big operators: $= \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

same as $= \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ but later precedence

$x = y \Rightarrow z$ means $(x = y) \wedge (y \Rightarrow z)$

theorem tables (truth tables)

\neg	T	⊥
	⊥	T

	TT	T⊥	⊥T	⊥⊥
\wedge	T	⊥	⊥	⊥
\vee	T	T	T	⊥
\Rightarrow	T	⊥	T	T
\Leftarrow	T	T	⊥	T
=	T	⊥	⊥	T
\neq	⊥	T	T	⊥

	TTT	TT⊥	T⊥T	T⊥⊥	⊥TT	⊥T⊥	⊥⊥T	⊥⊥⊥
if then else fi	T	T	⊥	⊥	T	⊥	T	⊥

theorem tables (truth tables)

\neg	<table style="border-collapse: collapse; margin: 0 auto;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 0 10px;">\top</td> <td style="padding: 0 10px;">\perp</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black; padding: 0 10px;">\perp</td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black; padding: 0 10px;">\top</td> </tr> </table>	\top	\perp	\perp	\top	←
\top	\perp					
\perp	\top					

	$\top\top$	$\top\perp$	$\perp\top$	$\perp\perp$
\wedge	\top	\perp	\perp	\perp
\vee	\top	\top	\top	\perp
\Rightarrow	\top	\perp	\top	\top
\Leftarrow	\top	\top	\perp	\top
$=$	\top	\perp	\perp	\top
\neq	\perp	\top	\top	\perp

	$\top\top\top$	$\top\top\perp$	$\top\perp\top$	$\top\perp\perp$	$\perp\top\top$	$\perp\top\perp$	$\perp\perp\top$	$\perp\perp\perp$
if then else fi	\top	\top	\perp	\perp	\top	\perp	\top	\perp

theorem tables (truth tables)

	T	⊥
¬	⊥	T

	TT	T⊥	⊥T	⊥⊥	
∧	T	⊥	⊥	⊥	←
∨	T	T	T	⊥	
⇒	T	⊥	T	T	
⇐	T	T	⊥	T	
=	T	⊥	⊥	T	
≠	⊥	T	T	⊥	

	TTT	TT⊥	T⊥T	T⊥⊥	⊥TT	⊥T⊥	⊥⊥T	⊥⊥⊥
if then else fi	T	T	⊥	⊥	T	⊥	T	⊥

theorem tables (truth tables)

\neg	T	⊥
	⊥	T

	TT	T⊥	⊥T	⊥⊥
\wedge	T	⊥	⊥	⊥
\vee	T	T	T	⊥
\Rightarrow	T	⊥	T	T
\Leftarrow	T	T	⊥	T
=	T	⊥	⊥	T
\neq	⊥	T	T	⊥



	TTT	TT⊥	T⊥T	T⊥⊥	⊥TT	⊥T⊥	⊥⊥T	⊥⊥⊥
if then else fi	T	T	⊥	⊥	T	⊥	T	⊥

theorem tables (truth tables)

\neg	T	⊥
	⊥	T

	TT	T⊥	⊥T	⊥⊥
\wedge	T	⊥	⊥	⊥
\vee	T	T	T	⊥
\Rightarrow	T	⊥	T	T
\Leftarrow	T	T	⊥	T
=	T	⊥	⊥	T
\neq	⊥	T	T	⊥



	TTT	TT⊥	T⊥T	T⊥⊥	⊥TT	⊥T⊥	⊥⊥T	⊥⊥⊥
if then else fi	T	T	⊥	⊥	T	⊥	T	⊥

theorem tables (truth tables)

\neg	T	⊥
	⊥	T

	TT	T⊥	⊥T	⊥⊥
\wedge	T	⊥	⊥	⊥
\vee	T	T	T	⊥
\Rightarrow	T	⊥	T	T
\Leftarrow	T	T	⊥	T
=	T	⊥	⊥	T
\neq	⊥	T	T	⊥



	TTT	TT⊥	T⊥T	T⊥⊥	⊥TT	⊥T⊥	⊥⊥T	⊥⊥⊥
if then else fi	T	T	⊥	⊥	T	⊥	T	⊥

theorem tables (truth tables)

\neg	T	⊥
	⊥	T

	TT	T⊥	⊥T	⊥⊥
\wedge	T	⊥	⊥	⊥
\vee	T	T	T	⊥
\Rightarrow	T	⊥	T	T
\Leftarrow	T	T	⊥	T
=	T	⊥	⊥	T
\neq	⊥	T	T	⊥



	TTT	TT⊥	T⊥T	T⊥⊥	⊥TT	⊥T⊥	⊥⊥T	⊥⊥⊥
if then else fi	T	T	⊥	⊥	T	⊥	T	⊥

theorem tables (truth tables)

\neg	T	⊥
	⊥	T

	TT	T⊥	⊥T	⊥⊥	
\wedge	T	⊥	⊥	⊥	
\vee	T	T	T	⊥	
\Rightarrow	T	⊥	T	T	
\Leftarrow	T	T	⊥	T	
=	T	⊥	⊥	T	
\neq	⊥	T	T	⊥	←

	TTT	TT⊥	T⊥T	T⊥⊥	⊥TT	⊥T⊥	⊥⊥T	⊥⊥⊥
if then else fi	T	T	⊥	⊥	T	⊥	T	⊥

theorem tables (truth tables)

	T	⊥
¬	⊥	T

	TT	T⊥	⊥T	⊥⊥
∧	T	⊥	⊥	⊥
∨	T	T	T	⊥
⇒	T	⊥	T	T
⇐	T	T	⊥	T
=	T	⊥	⊥	T
≠	⊥	T	T	⊥

	TTT	TT⊥	T⊥T	T⊥⊥	⊥TT	⊥T⊥	⊥⊥T	⊥⊥⊥
if then else fi	T	T	⊥	⊥	T	⊥	T	⊥



variables are for substitution (instantiation)

variables are for substitution (instantiation)

- add parentheses to maintain precedence

in $x \wedge y$ replace x by \perp and y by $\perp \vee \top$ result: $\perp \wedge (\perp \vee \top)$

variables are for substitution (instantiation)

- add parentheses to maintain precedence

in $x \wedge y$ replace x by \perp and y by $\perp \vee \top$ result: $\perp \wedge (\perp \vee \top)$

- every occurrence of a variable must be replaced by the same expression

in $x \wedge x$ replace x by \perp result: $\perp \wedge \perp$

variables are for substitution (instantiation)

- add parentheses to maintain precedence

in $x \wedge y$ replace x by \perp and y by $\perp \vee \top$ result: $\perp \wedge (\perp \vee \top)$

- every occurrence of a variable must be replaced by the same expression

in $x \wedge x$ replace x by \perp result: $\perp \wedge \perp$

- different variables can be replaced by the same expression or different expressions

in $x \wedge y$ replace x by \perp and y by \perp result: $\perp \wedge \perp$

variables are for substitution (instantiation)

- add parentheses to maintain precedence

in $x \wedge y$ replace x by \perp and y by $\perp \vee \top$ result: $\perp \wedge (\perp \vee \top)$

- every occurrence of a variable must be replaced by the same expression

in $x \wedge x$ replace x by \perp result: $\perp \wedge \perp$

- different variables can be replaced by the same expression or different expressions

in $x \wedge y$ replace x by \perp and y by \perp result: $\perp \wedge \perp$

in $x \wedge y$ replace x by \top and y by \perp result: $\top \wedge \perp$

new binary expressions

(the grass is green)

(the sky is green)

(there is life elsewhere in the universe)

(intelligent messages are coming from space)

new binary expressions

(the grass is green)

(the sky is green)

(there is life elsewhere in the universe)

(intelligent messages are coming from space)

$$1 + 1 = 2$$

$$0 / 0 = 5$$

new binary expressions

(the grass is green)

(the sky is green)

(there is life elsewhere in the universe)

(intelligent messages are coming from space)

$1 + 1 = 2$

$0 / 0 = 5$

consistent: no binary expression is both a theorem and an antitheorem

(no overclassified expressions)

new binary expressions

(the grass is green)

(the sky is green)

(there is life elsewhere in the universe)

(intelligent messages are coming from space)

$1 + 1 = 2$

$0 / 0 = 5$

consistent: no binary expression is both a theorem and an antitheorem

(no overclassified expressions)

complete: every fully instantiated binary expression is either a theorem or an antitheorem

(no unclassified expressions)

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

$x+y = y+x$ is a mathematical expression

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

$x+y = y+x$ is a mathematical expression

represents a truth in an application such that

when you put quantities together, the total quantity does not depend
on the order in which you put them together

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

$x+y = y+x$ is a mathematical expression

represents a truth in an application such that

when you put quantities together, the total quantity does not depend

on the order in which you put them together

is an axiom

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

$x+y = y+x$ is a mathematical expression

represents a truth in an application such that

when you put quantities together, the total quantity does not depend

on the order in which you put them together

is an axiom

is a theorem

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

$x+y = y+x$ is a mathematical expression

represents a truth in an application such that

when you put quantities together, the total quantity does not depend

on the order in which you put them together

is an axiom

is a theorem

is equivalent to \top

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

$x+y = y+x$ is a mathematical expression

represents a truth in an application such that

when you put quantities together, the total quantity does not depend
on the order in which you put them together

is an axiom

is a theorem

is equivalent to \top

$x+y = y+x$ is true (not really)

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

axiom: \top

anti-axiom: \perp

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

axiom: \top

anti-axiom: \perp

axiom: (the grass is green)

anti-axiom: (the sky is green)

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

axiom: \top

anti-axiom: \perp

axiom: (the grass is green)

anti-axiom: (the sky is green)

axiom: (intelligent messages are coming from space)

\Rightarrow (there is life elsewhere in the universe)

Proof Rules

Axiom Rule If a binary expression is an axiom, then it is a theorem.

If a binary expression is an anti-axiom, then it is an anti-theorem.

axiom: \top

anti-axiom: \perp

axiom: (the grass is green)

anti-axiom: (the sky is green)

axiom: (intelligent messages are coming from space)

\Rightarrow (there is life elsewhere in the universe)

Evaluation Rule If all the binary subexpressions of a binary expression are classified, then it is classified according to the theorem tables.

Proof Rules

Completion Rule If a binary expression contains unclassified binary subexpressions,
and all ways of classifying them place it in the same class, then it is in that class.

Proof Rules

Completion Rule If a binary expression contains unclassified binary subexpressions,
and all ways of classifying them place it in the same class, then it is in that class.

theorem: (there is life elsewhere in the universe) \vee \top

Proof Rules

Completion Rule If a binary expression contains unclassified binary subexpressions,
and all ways of classifying them place it in the same class, then it is in that class.

theorem: (there is life elsewhere in the universe) \vee \top

theorem: (there is life elsewhere in the universe)
 \vee \neg (there is life elsewhere in the universe)

Proof Rules

Completion Rule If a binary expression contains unclassified binary subexpressions, and all ways of classifying them place it in the same class, then it is in that class.

theorem: (there is life elsewhere in the universe) \vee \top

theorem: (there is life elsewhere in the universe)
 \vee \neg (there is life elsewhere in the universe)

antitheorem: (there is life elsewhere in the universe)
 \wedge \neg (there is life elsewhere in the universe)

Proof Rules

Consistency Rule If a classified binary expression contains binary subexpressions, and only one way of classifying them is consistent, then they are classified that way.

Proof Rules

Consistency Rule If a classified binary expression contains binary subexpressions, and only one way of classifying them is consistent, then they are classified that way.

We are given that x and $x \Rightarrow y$ are theorems. What is y ?

Proof Rules

Consistency Rule If a classified binary expression contains binary subexpressions, and only one way of classifying them is consistent, then they are classified that way.

We are given that x and $x \Rightarrow y$ are theorems. What is y ?

If y were an antitheorem, then by the Evaluation Rule, $x \Rightarrow y$ would be an antitheorem.

That would be inconsistent. So y is a theorem.

Proof Rules

Consistency Rule If a classified binary expression contains binary subexpressions, and only one way of classifying them is consistent, then they are classified that way.

We are given that x and $x \Rightarrow y$ are theorems. What is y ?

If y were an antitheorem, then by the Evaluation Rule, $x \Rightarrow y$ would be an antitheorem.

That would be inconsistent. So y is a theorem.

We are given that $\neg x$ is a theorem. What is x ?

Proof Rules

Consistency Rule If a classified binary expression contains binary subexpressions, and only one way of classifying them is consistent, then they are classified that way.

We are given that x and $x \Rightarrow y$ are theorems. What is y ?

If y were an antitheorem, then by the Evaluation Rule, $x \Rightarrow y$ would be an antitheorem.

That would be inconsistent. So y is a theorem.

We are given that $\neg x$ is a theorem. What is x ?

If x were a theorem, then by the Evaluation Rule, $\neg x$ would be an antitheorem.

That would be inconsistent. So x is an antitheorem.

Proof Rules

Consistency Rule If a classified binary expression contains binary subexpressions, and only one way of classifying them is consistent, then they are classified that way.

We are given that x and $x \Rightarrow y$ are theorems. What is y ?

If y were an antitheorem, then by the Evaluation Rule, $x \Rightarrow y$ would be an antitheorem.

That would be inconsistent. So y is a theorem.

We are given that $\neg x$ is a theorem. What is x ?

If x were a theorem, then by the Evaluation Rule, $\neg x$ would be an antitheorem.

That would be inconsistent. So x is an antitheorem.

No need to talk about anti-axioms and antitheorems.

Proof Rules

Transparency Rule A binary expression does not gain, lose, or change classification when a classified subexpression is replaced by another expression in the same class.


Proof Rules

Transparency Rule A binary expression does not gain, lose, or change classification when a classified subexpression is replaced by another expression in the same class.

$$\neg x \Rightarrow (x \wedge x) \vee y = \top$$

Proof Rules

Transparency Rule A binary expression does not gain, lose, or change classification when a classified subexpression is replaced by another expression in the same class.

$$\neg x \Rightarrow (x \wedge x) \vee y = \text{T}$$

$$\neg x \Rightarrow (x \wedge x) \vee y = (y \vee \text{T})$$

Proof Rules

Transparency Rule A binary expression does not gain, lose, or change classification when a classified subexpression is replaced by another expression in the same class.

$$\neg x \Rightarrow (x \wedge x) \vee y = \top$$
$$\neg x \Rightarrow \underbrace{(x \wedge x)} \vee y = \underbrace{(y \vee \top)}$$
$$\neg x \Rightarrow \underbrace{x} \vee y = (y \vee \top)$$

Proof Rules

Instance Rule If a binary expression is classified,
then all its instances have that same classification.

Proof Rules

Instance Rule If a binary expression is classified,
then all its instances have that same classification.

axiom: $x = x$

Proof Rules

Instance Rule If a binary expression is classified,
then all its instances have that same classification.

axiom: $x = x$

theorem: $x = x$

Proof Rules

Instance Rule If a binary expression is classified,
then all its instances have that same classification.

axiom: $x = x$

theorem: $x = x$

theorem: $(\top = \perp \vee \perp) = (\top = \perp \vee \perp)$

Proof Rules

Instance Rule If a binary expression is classified,
then all its instances have that same classification.

axiom: $x = x$

theorem: $x = x$

theorem: $(\top = \perp \vee \perp) = (\top = \perp \vee \perp)$

theorem: (intelligent messages are coming from space)
= (intelligent messages are coming from space)

Proof Rules

Instance Rule If a binary expression is classified,
then all its instances have that same classification.

axiom: $x = x$

theorem: $x = x$

theorem: $(\top = \perp \vee \perp) = (\top = \perp \vee \perp)$

theorem: (intelligent messages are coming from space)
= (intelligent messages are coming from space)

Classical Logic: all six rules

Constructive Logic: not Completion Rule

Evaluation Logic: neither Consistency Rule nor Completion Rule

Expression and Proof Format

$a \wedge b \vee c$

Expression and Proof Format

$a \wedge b \vee c$ **NOT** $a \wedge b \vee c$

Expression and Proof Format

$a \wedge b \vee c$ **NOT** $a \wedge b \vee c$

(*first part*

\wedge *second part*)

Expression and Proof Format

$a \wedge b \vee c$ **NOT** $a \wedge b \vee c$

(*first part*
 \wedge *second part*)

C and Java convention

```
while (something) {  
    various lines  
    in the body  
    of the loop  
}
```

Expression and Proof Format

$a \wedge b \vee c$ **NOT** $a \wedge b \vee c$

(*first part*

\wedge *second part*)

Expression and Proof Format

$a \wedge b \vee c$ **NOT** $a \wedge b \vee c$

(*first part*

\wedge *second part*)

first part

= *second part*

Expression and Proof Format

$a \wedge b \vee c$ **NOT** $a \wedge b \vee c$

(*first part*
 \wedge *second part*)

first part
= *second part*

expression0
= *expression1*
= *expression2*
= *expression3*

Expression and Proof Format

$a \wedge b \vee c$ **NOT** $a \wedge b \vee c$

(*first part*
 \wedge *second part*)

first part
 $=$ *second part*

	$expression0$		$expression0=expression1$
$=$	$expression1$	means	\wedge $expression1=expression2$
$=$	$expression2$		\wedge $expression2=expression3$
$=$	$expression3$		

Expression and Proof Format

$a \wedge b \vee c$ **NOT** $a \wedge b \vee c$

(*first part*
 \wedge *second part*)

first part
= *second part*

expression0
= *expression1*
= *expression2*
= *expression3*

Expression and Proof Format

$a \wedge b \vee c$ **NOT** $a \wedge b \vee c$

(*first part*
 \wedge *second part*)

first part
= *second part*

	<i>expression0</i>	hint0
=	<i>expression1</i>	hint1
=	<i>expression2</i>	hint2
=	<i>expression3</i>	

Expression and Proof Format

Prove $a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c)$

Expression and Proof Format

Prove $a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c)$

	$a \wedge b \Rightarrow c$	Material Implication
=	$\neg(a \wedge b) \vee c$	Duality
=	$\neg a \vee \neg b \vee c$	Material Implication
=	$a \Rightarrow \neg b \vee c$	Material Implication
=	$a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c)$	

Expression and Proof Format

Prove $a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c)$

$$\begin{aligned} & a \wedge b \Rightarrow c && \text{Material Implication} \\ = & \neg(a \wedge b) \vee c && \text{Duality} \\ = & \neg a \vee \neg b \vee c && \text{Material Implication} \\ = & a \Rightarrow \neg b \vee c && \text{Material Implication} \\ = & a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c) \end{aligned}$$

Material Implication: $a \Rightarrow b = \neg a \vee b$

Expression and Proof Format

Prove $a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c)$

$$\begin{aligned} & a \wedge b \Rightarrow c && \text{Material Implication} \\ = & \neg(a \wedge b) \vee c && \text{Duality} \\ = & \neg a \vee \neg b \vee c && \text{Material Implication} \\ = & a \Rightarrow \neg b \vee c && \text{Material Implication} \\ = & a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c) \end{aligned}$$

Material Implication:

$$a \Rightarrow b = \neg a \vee b$$

Instance of Material Implication:

$$a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = \neg(a \wedge b) \vee c$$

Expression and Proof Format

Prove $a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c)$

	$a \wedge b \Rightarrow c$	Material Implication
=	$\neg(a \wedge b) \vee c$	Duality
=	$\neg a \vee \neg b \vee c$	Material Implication
=	$a \Rightarrow \neg b \vee c$	Material Implication
=	$a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c)$	

Expression and Proof Format

Prove $a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c)$

$$\begin{aligned} & a \wedge b \Rightarrow c && \text{Material Implication} \\ = & \neg(a \wedge b) \vee c && \text{Duality} \\ = & \neg a \vee \neg b \vee c && \text{Material Implication} \\ = & a \Rightarrow \neg b \vee c && \text{Material Implication} \\ = & a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c)) && \text{Material Implication 3 times} \\ = & (\neg(a \wedge b) \vee c = \neg a \vee (\neg b \vee c)) && \text{Duality} \\ = & (\neg a \vee \neg b \vee c = \neg a \vee \neg b \vee c) && \text{Reflexivity of } = \\ = & \top \end{aligned}$$