## CSC165, Summer 2005, Assignment 2 Sample solution

## Danny Heap

1. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the natural numbers  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the integers  $\{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ , and  $\mathbb{R}$  be the real numbers. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , define r(x) as:  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, (n > 0) \land (x = m/n)$ . You may assume  $\neg r(\sqrt{2})$ .

Using our structured proof form, prove or disprove the following:

(a)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, (r(x) \land r(y)) \Rightarrow r(x+y).$ 

SAMPLE SOLUTION: The statement is true.

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume  $r(x) \wedge r(y)$ . Then  $\exists m_x, n_x \in \mathbb{N}, n_x > 0 \wedge x = m_x/n_x$ . (by definition of r(x)). Then  $\exists m_y, n_y \in \mathbb{N}, n_y > 0 \wedge y = m_y/n_y$ . (by definition of r(y)). Let  $m_{x+y} = n_y m_x + n_x m_y$ . Let  $n_{x+y} = n_x n_y$ . Then  $m_{x+y} \in \mathbb{N}$ . (since natural numbers are closed under multiplication and addition). Then  $n_{x+y} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_{x+y} \neq 0$ . (since natural numbers are closed under multiplication, and the product of non-zero natural numbers is not zero). Also  $x + y = m_{x+y}/n_{x+y}$ . (definition of addition of fractions). Hence  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} n > 0$  and x + y = m/n. Thus r(x + y). (by definition of r(x + y)).

So  $(r(x) \wedge r(y)) \Rightarrow r(x+y)$ .

Since x and y are arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(r(x) \land r(y)) \Rightarrow r(x+y)$ .

(b) The converse of (a)

SAMPLE SOLUTION: The statement is false.

Let  $x = \sqrt{2}$ . Let  $y = 2 - \sqrt{2}$ . Then  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . (real numbers include roots of positive reals). Then  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . (real numbers are closed under subtraction). Let m = 2. Let n = 1. Then  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \neq 0$ . Also x + y = 2/1. (since  $\sqrt{2} + 2 - \sqrt{2} = 2$ ). Hence  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \neq 0$  and x + y = m/n. So r(x + y) and  $\neg r(x)$ . (by definition of r(x + y), and given assumption that  $\neg r(\sqrt{2})$ . Thus  $r(x + y) \land \neg (r(x) \land r(y))$ . (since  $\neg r(x)$  implies  $\neg r(x) \lor \neg r(y)$ ). Thus  $r(x + y) \not\Rightarrow (r(x) \land r(y))$ . (by negation of implication). Thus  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, r(x+y) \not\Rightarrow (r(x) \wedge r(y)).$ 

(c)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, (r(x) \land r(y)) \Rightarrow r(xy).$ 

SAMPLE SOLUTION: The statement is true.

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume  $r(x) \wedge r(y)$ Then  $\exists m_x, n_x \in \mathbb{N}, n_x > 0 \wedge x = m_x/n_x$ . (by definition of r(x)). Then  $\exists m_y, n_y \in \mathbb{N}, n_y > 0 \wedge y = m_y/n_y$ . (by definition of r(y)). Let  $m_{xy} = m_x m_y$ . Let  $n_{xy} = n_x n_y$ Then  $m_{xy} \in \mathbb{N}$ . (natural numbers are closed under multiplication). Then  $n_{xy} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_{xy} \neq 0$ . (natural numbers are closed under multiplication). Then  $n_{xy} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_{xy} \neq 0$ . (natural numbers are closed under multiplication). Also,  $xy = m_{xy}/n_{xy}$ . Hence  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 0$  and xy = m/n. Thus r(xy). (by definition of r(xy)). So  $(r(x) \wedge r(y)) \Rightarrow r(xy)$ . Since x and y are arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, (r(x) \wedge r(y)) \Rightarrow r(xy)$ .

(d) The converse of (c)

SAMPLE SOLUTION: The statement is false

Let  $x = \sqrt{2}$ . Let  $y = \sqrt{2}$ . Then  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . (real numbers include roots of positive reals). Then  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . (real numbers include roots of positive reals). Let m = 2. Let n = 1. Then  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \neq 0$ . Also xy = m/n. (since  $\sqrt{2}^2 = 2$ ). Hence  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 0$  and xy = m/n. So r(xy) and  $\neg r(x)$ . (definition of r(xy) and given assumption that  $\neg r(\sqrt{2})$ ). So r(xy) and  $\neg (r(x) \wedge r(y))$ . (at least one of r(x), r(y) is false). So  $r(xy) \neq (r(x) \wedge r(y))$ . (negation of implication) Thus  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, r(xy) \neq (r(x) \wedge r(y))$ .

2. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , define |x| by

$$|x| = egin{cases} -x, & x < 0 \ x, & x \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

Using our structured proof form, prove or disprove the following. You may assume that if t > 0, then  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, x > y \Rightarrow tx > ty$ .

(a)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, |x||y| = |xy|$ .

SAMPLE SOLUTION: The statement is true.

```
Let x \in \mathbb{R}. Let y \in \mathbb{R}.

Case 1, x < 0 and y < 0.

Then |x| = -x and |y| = -y. (definition of |x| and |y|).

So |x||y| = (-x)(-y) = xy. (since (-1)^2 = 1).

Also xy > 0. (product of negative numbers is positive).

So xy = |xy|. (definition of |xy| when xy \ge 0).

Hence |x||y| = |xy|.
```

Case 2, x < 0 and y > 0. Then |x| = -x and |y| = y. (definition of |x| and |y|). So |x||y| = -xy. Also  $xy \leq 0$ . (product of a negative and a non-negative number is either 0 or negative). So either |xy| = -xy (by the definition of |xy| when xy < 0), or |xy| = xy =-xy (by the definition of |xy| when xy = 0). Thus |x||y| = |xy|. Case 3,  $x \ge 0$  and y < 0. Then |x| = x and |y| = -y. (definition of |x| and |y|). So |x||y| = -xy. Also xy < 0. (product of a non-negative number with a negative number is non-positive). So either |xy| = -xy (by the definition of |xy| for xy < 0) or |xy| = xy =-xy (by the definition of |xy| for xy = 0). So |x||y| = |xy|. Case 4,  $x \ge 0$  and  $y \ge 0$ . Then |x| = x and |y| = y. (definition of |x| and |y|). Also  $xy \ge 0$ . (product of non-negative numbers is non-negative). So |xy| = xy. (definition of |xy|). Thus |x||y| = |xy|. In each case |x||y| = |xy|, and these cover all possibilities. So |x||y| = |xy|. Since x and y are arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$ , |x||y| = |xy|.  $(\mathrm{b}) \hspace{0.1in} \forall x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y_2 \in \mathbb{R}, |x_1| > |x_2| \wedge |y_1| > |y_2| \Rightarrow |x_1y_1| > |x_2y_2|.$ SAMPLE SOLUTION: The statement is true. Let  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume  $|x_1| > |x_2| \wedge |y_1| > |y_2|$ . Let  $t = |y_1|$ . Then  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . (definition of  $|y_1|$ ). Then  $t > |y_2|$ . (Since  $t = |y_1| > |y_2|$ , by assumption). Also  $|y_2| \ge 0$ . (Since either  $|y_2| = -y^2 > 0$  if  $y_2$  is negative, or  $|y_2| = y_2 \ge 0$ if  $y_2$  is non-negative, by definition of  $|y_2|$ . So t > 0. (Since  $t > |y - 2| \ge 0$ ). Then  $t|x_1| > t|x_2|$ . (Since  $|x_1| > |x_2|$ , by assumption, and the result we are allowed to assume for this question). So  $|x_1||y_1| > |x_2||y_1|$ . (by construction of t and commutativity of multiplication) Let  $t = |x_2|$ . Then  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . (by definition of  $|x_2|$ . Also  $t \ge 0$ . (since either  $|x_2| = -x_2 > 0$  if  $x_2$  is negative, or  $|x_2| = x_2 \ge 0$  if  $x_2$  is non-negative, by definition of  $|x_2|$ .). So  $t|y_1| \ge t|y_2|$ . (since either  $t|y_1| > t|y_2|$ , by the result we are allowed to assume for this question when t > 0, or  $t|y_1| = t|y_2|$  when t = 0). So  $|x_2||y_1| \ge |x_2||y_2|$ . (by definition of t) So  $|x_1||y_1| > |x_2||y_2|$ . (Since  $|x_1||y_1| > |x_2||y_1|$  and  $|x_2||y_1| \ge |x_2||y_2|$ . So  $|x_1y_1| > |x_2y_2|$ . (Since  $|x_1||y_1| = |x_1y_1|$  and  $|x_2||y_2| = |x_2y_2|$ , by part (a)). So  $|x_1| > |x_2| \land |y_1| > |y_2| \Rightarrow |x_1y_1| > |x_2y_2|$ .

Since  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$  are arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(|x_1| > |x_2| \land |y_1| > |y_2|) \Rightarrow |x_1y_1| > |x_2y_2|$ .

3. Let  $\mathbb{R}^+$  be the set of positive real numbers. Use our structured proof form to prove or disprove:

(a)

$$orall x \in \mathbb{R}, orall y \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, orall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, |x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2-y^2| < \epsilon$$

SAMPLE SOLUTION: The statement is (strangely) true. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Case 1, x = yLet  $\delta = 17$ . Then  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ Let  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then  $|x^2 - y^2| = 0 < \epsilon$ . (since x = y and  $\epsilon$  is positive). So  $|x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2-y^2| < \epsilon$ . (since the consequent is true, the entire implication is true). Since  $\epsilon$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $|x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2-y^2| < \epsilon$ . So  $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, \, orall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, \, |x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2-y^2| < \epsilon.$ Case 2,  $x \neq y$ . Then |x - y| > 0. (since  $x - y \neq 0$  and either |x - y| = x - y, if x > y, or |x - y| = y - x, if y > x). Let  $\delta = |x - y|/2$ . Then  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . (since  $\delta$  is half of a positive number). Also,  $|x - y| > \delta$ . (since  $|x - y| - \delta = \delta > 0$ ). Let  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\neg(|x-y| < \delta)$ . (since  $|x-y| > \delta$ ). So  $|x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2-y^2| < \epsilon$ . (since a false antecedent implies anything). Since  $\epsilon$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $|x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ . So  $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ . In either case  $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $|x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ , and these cases cover all possibilities. So  $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $|x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2-y^2| < \epsilon$ . Since x and y are arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, |x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow$  $|x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon.$ (b)  $orall x \in \mathbb{R}, orall y \in \mathbb{R}, orall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, |x-u| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2-u^2| < \epsilon.$ SAMPLE SOLUTION: The claim is true. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ . Case 1.  $x^2 - y^2 = 0$ . Let  $\delta = 1$ . Then  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Assume  $|x - y| < \delta$ .

Then  $|x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ . (since  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ )

So  $|x - y| < \delta| \Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ . So  $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, |x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ Case 2,  $x^2 - y^2 \neq 0$ Then  $(x - y)(x + y) \neq 0$ . (by factoring quadratic). So  $(x - y) \neq 0$  and  $(x + y) \neq 0$ . (non-zero product has no zero factors). Let  $\delta = \epsilon/(2|x + y|)$ . Then  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . (since it ratio of positive numbers). Assume  $|x - y| < \delta$ . Then  $|x^2 - y^2| = |x - y||x + y|$ . (by part 2a). So  $|x^2 - y^2| < \delta |x + y|$ . (by assumption that  $|x - y| < \delta$ ). So  $\delta |x + y| = [\epsilon/(2|x + y|)] \times |x + y|$ . (by construction of  $\delta$ ). So  $|x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$ . (Since  $\epsilon - \epsilon/2 = \epsilon/2 > 0$ ). So  $|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ . In either case  $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, |x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ . and this covers all possibilities.

Since x and y are arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, |x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ 

$$\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall x \in [-1,1], \forall y \in [-1,1], |x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2-y^2| < \epsilon.$$

SAMPLE SOLUTION: The claim is true. Let  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

Let  $\delta = \epsilon/2$ . Then  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . (since  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$  means  $\epsilon/2$  is a ratio of positive real numbers). Let  $x \in [-1, 1]$ . Let  $y \in [-1, 1]$ . Assume  $|x - y| < \delta$ . Then  $(x + y) \in [-2, 2]$ . (Taking the maximum and minimum sums). So  $|x + y| \leq 2$ . (Taking the maximum absolute value). So  $|x - y||x + y| \leq |x - y|2$ . So  $|x^2 - y^2| \leq |x - y|2 < 2\delta$ . (By the assumption that  $|x - y| < \delta$ ). So  $|x^2 - y^2| \leq 2\epsilon/2 = \epsilon$ . (By the construction of  $\epsilon$ ). So  $|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ . Since x and y are arbitrary elements of [-1, 1],  $\forall x \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $\forall y \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\forall x \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $\forall y \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2 - y^2| < \epsilon$ .

$$|x^2 - y|$$

(d)

$$orall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, orall x \in \mathbb{R}, orall y \in \mathbb{R}, |x-y| < \delta \Rightarrow |x^2-y^2| < \epsilon.$$

SAMPLE SOLUTION: The claim is false, so I will prove its negation:

$$\exists \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, orall \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, |x-y| < \delta \wedge |x^2-y^2| \geq \epsilon$$

Let  $\epsilon = 1$ .

Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

Let  $x = 2/\delta$ . Let  $y = x + \delta/2$ . So  $|x - y| = \delta/2 < \delta$ . (since  $\delta - \delta/2 = \delta/2 > 0$ ). So  $|x^2 - y^2| = |x - y||x + y| = \delta/2(2 \times 2/\delta + \delta/2)$  (by construction of x and y). So  $|x^2 - y^2| = 2 + \delta^2/4 > \epsilon$ . (Since  $\epsilon = 1$ , and  $\delta^2/4$  is positive). So  $|x - y| < \delta$  and  $|x^2 - y^2| > \epsilon$ . So  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, |x - y| < \delta \land |x^2 - y^2| \ge \epsilon$ . Since  $\delta$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, |x - y| < \delta \land |x^2 - y^2| \ge \epsilon$ So  $\exists \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, \exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, |x - y| < \delta \land |x^2 - y^2| \ge \epsilon$ 

4. Suppose f and g are functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider the following statements:

S1  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, (f(x) = f(y)) \Rightarrow (x = y).$ S2  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, (g(x) = g(y)) \Rightarrow (x = y).$ S3  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, (g(f(x)) = g(f(y))) \Rightarrow (x = y).$ 

Does  $(S1 \land S2)$  imply S3? Prove your claim.

SAMPLE SOLUTION: The claim is true.

Assume  $S1 \land S2$ 

So S1 So S2 Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume g(f(x)) = g(f(y)). Let x' = f(x). Let y' = f(y). Then  $x' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y' \in \mathbb{R}$ . (by assumption that f and g are from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ). So x' = y'. (By assumption of S2, since g(x') = g(y')). So f(x) = f(y). (by construction of x' and y'). So x = y. (By assumption of S1, since f(x) = f(y)). So  $g(f(x)) = g(f(y)) \Rightarrow x = y$ . Since x and y are arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $g(f(x)) = g(f(y)) \Rightarrow x = y$ .

Then S3. (by definition of S3).

Hence S1  $\land$  S2  $\Rightarrow$  S3.