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Convolution Layer

32x32x3 image

5x5x3 filter

Filters always extend the full depth of the input volume

Convolve the filter with the image i.e. “slide over the image spatially, computing dot products”
Convolution Layer

32x32x3 image
5x5x3 filter $w$

1 number:
the result of taking a dot product between the filter and a small 5x5x3 chunk of the image (i.e. $5\times5\times3 = 75$-dimensional dot product + bias)

$$w^T x + b$$
Convolve (slide) over all spatial locations

32x32x3 image
5x5x3 filter

activation map
32x32x3 image
5x5x3 filter

convolve (slide) over all spatial locations

activation maps
We stack these up to get a “new image” of size 28x28x6!
Examples time:

Input volume: **32x32x3**
10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2

Number of parameters in this layer?
Examples time:

Input volume: \(32 \times 32 \times 3\)
10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2

Number of parameters in this layer?
each filter has \(5 \times 5 \times 3 + 1 = 76\) params (+1 for bias)
=> \(76 \times 10 = 760\)
Convolutional Layer

- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 \times H_1 \times D_1$
- Requires four hyperparameters:
  - Number of filters $K$,
  - their spatial extent $F$,
  - the stride $S$,
  - the amount of zero padding $P$.
- Produces a volume of size $W_2 \times H_2 \times D_2$ where:
  - $W_2 = (W_1 - F + 2P)/S + 1$
  - $H_2 = (H_1 - F + 2P)/S + 1$ (i.e. width and height are computed equally by symmetry)
  - $D_2 = K$
- With parameter sharing, it introduces $F \cdot F \cdot D_1$ weights per filter, for a total of $(F \cdot F \cdot D_1) \cdot K$ weights and $K$ biases.
- In the output volume, the $d$-th depth slice (of size $W_2 \times H_2$) is the result of performing a valid convolution of the $d$-th filter over the input volume with a stride of $S$, and then offset by $d$-th bias.
Convolutional Layers Summary Again

Summary. To summarize, the Conv Layer:

- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 \times H_1 \times D_1$
- Requires four hyperparameters:
  - Number of filters $K$,
  - their spatial extent $F$,
  - the stride $S$,
  - the amount of zero padding $P$.
- Produces a volume of size $W_2 \times H_2 \times D_2$ where:
  - $W_2 = (W_1 - F + 2P)/S + 1$
  - $H_2 = (H_1 - F + 2P)/S + 1$ (i.e. width and height are computed equally by symmetry)
  - $D_2 = K$
- With parameter sharing, it introduces $F \cdot F \cdot D_1$ weights per filter, for a total of $(F \cdot F \cdot D_1) \cdot K$ weights and $K$ biases.
- In the output volume, the $d$-th depth slice (of size $W_2 \times H_2$) is the result of performing a valid convolution of the $d$-th filter over the input volume with a stride of $S$, and then offset by $d$-th bias.

Common settings:

- $K = \text{(powers of 2, e.g. 32, 64, 128, 512)}$
- $F = 3$, $S = 1$, $P = 1$
- $F = 5$, $S = 1$, $P = 2$
- $F = 5$, $S = 2$, $P = ? \text{ (whatever fits)}$
- $F = 1$, $S = 1$, $P = 0$
(1x1 convolutions?)

1x1 CONV with 32 filters

(each filter has size 1x1x64, and performs a 64-dimensional dot product)
Feature visualization of convolutional net trained on ImageNet from [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]
Pooling Layer

- makes the representations smaller and more manageable
- operates over each activation map independently:
Pooling Layer

- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 \times H_1 \times D_1$
- Requires three hyperparameters:
  - their spatial extent $F$,
  - the stride $S$,
- Produces a volume of size $W_2 \times H_2 \times D_2$ where:
  - $W_2 = (W_1 - F)/S + 1$
  - $H_2 = (H_1 - F)/S + 1$
  - $D_2 = D_1$
- Introduces zero parameters since it computes a fixed function of the input
- Note that it is not common to use zero-padding for Pooling layers

Common settings:
- $F = 2, \ S = 2$
- $F = 3, \ S = 2$
Fully-Connected Layer

• Contains neurons that connect to the entire lower year, as in ordinary neural networks
LeNet-5 (Yann LeCun et al, 1998)

Conv filters were 5x5, applied at stride 1
Subsampling (Pooling) layers were 2x2 applied at stride 2
i.e. architecture is [CONV-POOL-CONV-POOL-CONV-FC]
LeNet-5 errors
LeNet Performance

![Graph showing LeNet performance with different error rates and training set sizes. The graph plots error rate (%) against training set size (x1000). Two lines are shown: one for test error (no distortions) and one for test error (with distortions). The training error (no distortions) is also plotted.]
• About one million images, 1000 object categories in the training set
• Task: what is the object in the image
  • I.e., classify the image into one of 1000 categories
• Evaluation: is one of the best 5 guesses correct?
Human Performance on ImageNet


• 5.1% error (i.e., none of the 5 guesses the person makes are correct)

• Try it yourself!
Dogs Are Hard to Classify!
AlexNet (Krizhevsky et al. 2012)

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture:

- [227x227x3] INPUT
- [55x55x96] CONV1: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0
- [27x27x96] MAX POOL1: 3x3 filters at stride 2
- [27x27x96] NORM1: Normalization layer
- [27x27x256] CONV2: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2
- [13x13x256] MAX POOL2: 3x3 filters at stride 2
- [13x13x256] NORM2: Normalization layer
- [13x13x384] CONV3: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1
- [13x13x384] CONV4: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1
- [13x13x256] CONV5: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1
- [6x6x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 2
- [4096] FC6: 4096 neurons
- [4096] FC7: 4096 neurons
- [1000] FC8: 1000 neurons (class scores)

Details/Retrospectives:
- first use of ReLU
- used Norm layers (not common anymore)
- heavy data augmentation
- dropout 0.5
- batch size 128
- SGD Momentum 0.9
- Learning rate 1e-2, reduced by 10 manually when val accuracy plateaus
- L2 weight decay 5e-4
- 7 CNN ensemble: 18.2% -> 15.4%
GoogLeNet (Szegedy et al, 2014)

• 6.7% error on ImageNet
  • State of the Art (at the time)
  • Close to human performance

• A very deep net

• Several Neat Tricks
A Heterogeneous Set of Convolutions

- Apply filters of several sizes so as to capture invariances at different scales
- Concatenate all the filters
- (Note: could always use 5x5 filters, but that’s expensive, and hard to learn)
Inception Module: Basic Idea (doesn’t work, too many features)

- Do max-pooling directly too in case convolution not needed
- Super expensive if we want a decent number of filters in each layer
Inception Module

- **Filter concatenation**
  - **1x1 convolutions**
    - **3x3 convolutions**
      - **1x1 convolutions**
    - **5x5 convolutions**
      - **1x1 convolutions**
  - **1x1 convolutions**
    - **3x3 max pooling**

- **Previous layer**
Inception Module

- The 1x1 convolutions at the bottom of the module reduce the number of inputs by a factor of

\[
\frac{\text{area of input layer} \times N.\text{of 1x1 convolutions}}{\text{N. of 1x1 convolutions}}
\]

- Decreases computation cost dramatically

*Note: area, not volume*
Inception

9 Inception modules

Network in a network in a network...
• Softmax outputs in the middle of the network, the same as at the top
  • Encourage the network to learn features that are useful for classification in the middle
Inception

Width of inception modules ranges from 256 filters (in early modules) to 1024 in top inception modules.

Can remove fully connected layers on top completely
Inception

Width of inception modules ranges from 256 filters (in early modules) to 1024 in top inception modules.

Can remove fully connected layers on top completely.

Number of parameters is reduced to 5 million.

Computational cost is increased by less than 2X compared to Krizhevsky’s network. (<1.5Bn operations/evaluation)
Revolution of Depth

- **152 layers**
- **ILSVRC'15 ResNet**: 3.57%
- **ILSVRC'14 GoogleNet**: 6.7%
- **ILSVRC'14 VGG**: 7.3%
- **ILSVRC'13**: 11.7%
- **ILSVRC'12 AlexNet**: 16.4%
- **ILSVRC'11**: 25.8%
- **ILSVRC'10**: 28.2%

ImageNet Classification top-5 error (%)

Aside: ConvNets vs. Monkeys

- Extract the features (neuron activities) from the Inferior Temporal Cortex of Rhesus Macaques when the monkeys are looking at images
- Extract features from the top layers of ConvNets when the ConvNets are looking at images
- Use both sets of features to classify images
Deep Neural Networks Rival the Representation of Primate IT Cortex for Core Visual Object Recognition
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