Getting Back Up:

Understanding How Enterprise Data Backups Fail

George Amvrosiadis, University of Toronto; Medha Bhadkamkar, Veritas Labs

Understanding backups failures

- This is a systematic study of backup errors
 - Weekly reports from NetBackup[™] customers
 - 775M jobs from 20,000 installations in 3 years

The bad news

The good news

- Error code distribution is heavy-tailed
 - 64% of errors due to top 5 error codes

Error description Jobs affected

- Incufficient file normiccien

- Backup system jobs fail often
 - 15% of jobs fail in average backup system
- Customer offline testing is insufficient
 - 56 error codes appear only in production

25.4%
15.3%
11.2%
7.6%
4.5%

Guidelines for resolving backup errors

- Enforce stricter configuration validation
 - 76% of jobs fail due to misconfigurations
- Handle failures according to job type
 - 46% of error codes specific to a single job type
- Design simpler user-facing backup policies
 - More parameters → higher error diversity
- Backup often, and verify large archives
 - Larger jobs fail more frequently



Preventing backup errors proactively

• Problem: historical data is insufficient

- High variability in inter-arrival times of errors
- Insight: use context to improve predictions
- Study factors help predict errors
 - 44% of models rank study factors as top feature
 - Most important: number of jobs, policy complexity

- Use factors such as: daily number of jobs, job type, job size, policy complexity
- Solution: use random forest models
 - Generate a model for each error code

UNIVERSITY OF

ORONTO

Classification rules reveal feature importance



Contact

George Amvrosiadis Dept. of Computer Science, University of Toronto gamvrosi@cs.toronto.edu ~ www.cs.toronto.edu/~gamvrosi