#### CSC 411: Lecture 18: Ensemble Methods II

Class based on Raquel Urtasun & Rich Zemel's lectures

Sanja Fidler

University of Toronto

March 28, 2016

# Today

- Random/Decision Forest
- Mixture of Experts

#### What are the base classifiers?


- Popular choices of base classifier for boosting and other ensemble methods:
  - Linear classifiers
  - Decision trees

#### Random/Decision Forests

- Definition: Ensemble of decision trees
- Algorithm:
  - Divide training examples into multiple training sets (bagging)
  - Train a decision tree on each set (can randomly select subset of variables to consider)
  - ► Aggregate the predictions of each tree to make classification decision (e.g., can choose mode vote)

# Ensemble Learning: Boosting and Bagging

Experts cooperate to predict output




• Vote of each expert has consistent weight for each test example

$$y(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m} g_{m} y_{m}(\mathbf{x})$$

### Mixture of Experts

Weight of each expert is not constant – depends on input x



 Gating network encourages specialization (local experts) instead of cooperation

$$y(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m} g_{m}(\mathbf{x}) y_{m}(\mathbf{x})$$

# Mixture of Experts: Summary

- Cost function designed to make each expert estimate desired output independently
- 2. Gating network softmax over experts: stochastic selection of who is the true expert for given input
- 3. Allow each expert to produce distribution over outputs

## Cooperation vs. Specialization

- Consider a regression problem
- To encourage cooperation, we can train to reduce discrepancy between average of predictors with target

$$E = (t - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m} y_m(\mathbf{x}))^2$$

- This can overfit badly. It makes the model much more powerful than training each predictor separately
- Leads to odd objective: consider adding models/experts sequentially
  - ▶ if its estimate for *t* is too low, and the average of other models is too high, then model m encouraged to lower its prediction

### Cooperation vs. Specialization

 To encourage specialization, train to reduce the average of each predictor's discrepancy with target

$$E = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m} (t - y_m(\mathbf{x}))^2$$

 Use a weighted average: weights are probabilities of picking that "expert" for the particular training case

$$E = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m} g_m(\mathbf{x})(t - y_m(\mathbf{x}))^2$$

• Gating output is softmax of z = Ux

$$g_m(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(z_m(\mathbf{x}))}{\sum_i \exp(z_i(\mathbf{x}))}$$

ullet We want to estimate the parameters of the gating as well as the classifier  $y_m$ 

### **Derivatives of Simple Cost Function**

Look at derivatives to see what cost function will do

$$E = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m} g_{m}(\mathbf{x})(t - y_{m}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}$$

 For gating network, increase weight on expert when its error is less than average error of experts

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_m} = \frac{1}{M} g_m(\mathbf{x}) (t - y_m(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_m} = \frac{1}{M} g_m(\mathbf{x}) \left[ (t - y_m(\mathbf{x}))^2 - E \right]$$

## Mixture of Experts: Final Cost Function

- Can improve cost function by allowing each expert to produce not just a single value estimate, but a distribution
- Result is a mixture of experts model:

$$p(t|MOE) = \sum_{m} g_{m}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{N}(t|y_{m}(\mathbf{x}), \Sigma)$$


Optimize minus log-likelihood:

$$-\log p(t|MOE) = -\log \sum_{m} g_{m}(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}||t - y_{m}(\mathbf{x})||^{2}\right)$$

• Gradient: Error weighted by posterior probability of the expert

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_m} = -2 \frac{g_m(\mathbf{x}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}||t - y_m(\mathbf{x})||^2\right)}{\sum_i g_i(\mathbf{x}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}||t - y_i(\mathbf{x})||^2\right)} (t - y_m(x))$$

### Mixture of Experts: Example



[Slide credit: G. Hinton]

# Mixture of Experts: Summary

- Cost function designed to make each expert estimate desired output independently
- Gating network softmax over experts: stochastic selection of who is the true expert for given input
- Allow each expert to produce distribution over outputs

# Ensemble methods: Summary

- Differ in training strategy, and combination method
  - Parallel training with different training sets
     Bagging (bootstrap aggregation) train separate models on overlapping training sets, average their predictions
  - Sequential training, iteratively re-weighting training examples so current classifier focuses on hard examples: boosting
  - Parallel training with objective encouraging division of labor: mixture of experts
- Notes:
  - Differ in: training strategy; selection of examples; weighting of components in final classifier