## Depth from Stereo

## Depth from Two Views: Stereo

- All points on the projective line to $\mathbf{P}$ map to $\mathbf{p}$


Figure: One camera

## Depth from Two Views: Stereo

- All points on projective line to $\mathbf{P}$ in left camera map to a line in the image plane of the right camera



## Depth from Two Views: Stereo

- If I search this line to find correspondences...


Figure: If I am able to find corresponding points in two images...

## Depth from Two Views: Stereo

- I can get 3D!


Figure: I can get a point in 3D by triangulation!

## Stereo

## Epipolar geometry

- Case with two cameras with parallel optical axes
- General case

Parallel stereo cameras:

left image plane
left camera center

right image plane 0 right camera center


## General stereo cameras:



## Stereo

## Epipolar geometry

- Case with two cameras with parallel optical axes
- General case


## Parallel stereo cameras:


left image plane
O
left camera center

right image plane 0 right camera center


## General stereo cameras:



## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- We assume that the two calibrated cameras (we know intrinsics and extrinsics) are parallel, i.e. the right camera is just some distance to the right of left camera. We assume we know this distance. We call it the baseline.

$\mathbf{t}=\left[\begin{array}{l}T \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \text { The right camera } \\ & \text { is shifted to the } \\ & \text { right in } \mathrm{X} \text { direction }\end{aligned}$


## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- Pick a point $P$ in the world



## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- Points $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{l}}, \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ (and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{l}}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}$ ) lie on a plane. Since two image planes lie on the same plane (distance $f$ from each camera), the lines $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}$ are parallel.



## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- Since lines $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}$ are parallel, and $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{l}}$ and $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{r}}$ have the same $y$, then also $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{l}}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{r}}$ have the same $y: y_{r}=y_{l}$ !



## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- So all points on the projective line $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{I}}$ project to a horizontal line with $y=y_{l}$ on the right image. This is nice, let's remember this.



## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- Another observation: No point from $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{l}}$ can project to the right of $x_{\boldsymbol{l}}$ in the right image. Why?



## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- Because that would mean our image can see behind the camera...



## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- Since our points $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{l}}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}$ lie on a horizontal line, we can forget about $y_{l}$ for a moment (it doesn't seem important). Let's look at the camera situation from the birdseye perspective instead. Let's see if we can find a connection between $x_{l}, x_{r}$ and $Z$ (because $Z$ is what we want).

[Adopted from: J. Hays]


## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- We can then use similar triangles to compute the depth of the point $P$


Similar triangles:

focal length disparity
[Adopted from: J. Hays]

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- We can then use similar triangles to compute the depth of the point $P$


Similar triangles:


So if I know $x_{l}$ and $x_{r}$, then I can compute Z !

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- We can then use similar triangles to compute the depth of the point $P$


Similar triangles:


And if $I$ know $Z$, I can compute $X$ and $Y$, which gives me the point in 3D

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- For each point $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{I}}=\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$, how do I get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ?

left image

right image


## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- For each point $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{I}}=\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$, how do I get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ? By matching on line $y_{r}=y_{l}$.

left image

right image the match will be on this line (same y)
(CAREFUL: this is only true for parallel cameras. Generally, line not horizontal)


## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- For each point $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{I}}=\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$, how do I get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ? By matching on line $y_{r}=y_{l}$.



## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- For each point $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{I}}=\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$, how do I get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ? By matching. Patch around $\left.\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)\right)$ should look similar to the patch around $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$.

We call this line a scanline

left image

right image
we scan the line and compare patches to the one in the left image We are looking for a patch on scanline most similar to patch on the left

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- For each point $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{I}}=\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$, how do I get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ? By matching. Patch around $\left.\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)\right)$ should look similar to the patch around $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$.

How similar?

left image

right image
we scan the line and compare patches to the one in the left image We are looking for a patch on scanline most similar to patch on the left

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- For each point $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{I}}=\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$, how do I get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ? By matching. Patch around $\left.\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)\right)$ should look similar to the patch around $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$.

How similar?

left image

right image
we scan the line and compare patches to the one in the left image We are looking for a patch on scanline most similar to patch on the left

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- For each point $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{I}}=\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$, how do I get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ? By matching. Patch around $\left.\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)\right)$ should look similar to the patch around $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$.

Most similar. A match!

left image
we scan the line and compare patches to the one in the left image
We are looking for a patch on scanline most similar to patch on the left

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- For each point $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{I}}=\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$, how do I get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ? By matching. Patch around $\left.\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)\right)$ should look similar to the patch around $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$.

left image


Matching cost

At each point on the scanline: Compute a matching cost Matching cost: SSD or normalized correlation

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- For each point $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{l}}=\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$, how do I get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ? By matching. Patch around $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ) should look similar to the patch around $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$.

$$
S S D\left(\operatorname{patch}_{l}, \operatorname{patch}_{r}\right)=\sum_{x} \sum_{y}\left(I_{\operatorname{patch}_{l}}(x, y)-I_{\text {patch }_{r}}(x, y)\right)^{2}
$$


left image


SSD

disparity

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- For each point $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{I}}=\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$, how do I get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ? By matching. Patch around $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ) should look similar to the patch around $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$.

$$
N C\left(\operatorname{patch}_{l}, \operatorname{patch}_{r}\right)=\frac{\sum_{x} \sum_{y}\left(I_{\mathrm{patch}_{l}}(x, y) \cdot I_{\mathrm{patch}_{r}}(x, y)\right)}{\left\|I_{\mathrm{patch}_{l}}\right\| \cdot\left\|I_{\mathrm{patch}_{r}}\right\|}
$$


left image


Norm. Corr.

Compute a matching cost Matching cost: Normalized Corr. (look for maxima)

disparity

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- Version'2015: Can I do this task even better?


## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- Version'2015: Train a classifier! How can I get ground-truth?


Training examples: get positive and negative matches

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- Version'2015: Train a Neural Network classifier!

[J. Zbontar and Y. LeCun: Computing the Stereo Matching Cost with a Convolutional Neural


## Stereo：Parallel Calibrated Cameras

－Version＇2015：Train a Neural Network classifier！
－To get the most amazing performance

|  | Method | Setting | Code | Out－Noc | Out－All | Avg－Noc | Avg－All | Density | Runtime | Environment | Compare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MC－CNN－acrt |  | code | 2．43\％ | 3．63\％ | 0.7 px | 0.9 px | 100．00\％ | 67 s | Nvidia GTX Titan X（CUDA，Lua／Torch7） | $\square$ |
| J．Zbontar and Y．LeCun：Stereo Matching by Training a Convolutional Neural Network to Compare Image Patches．Submitted to JMLR ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Displets |  | code | 2．47\％ | 3．27\％ | 0.7 px | 0.9 px | 100．00\％ | 265 s | ＞8 cores © 3．0 Ghz（Matlab＋C／C＋＋） | $\square$ |
| F．Guney and A．Geiger：Displets：Resolving Stereo Ambisuities using Object Knowledge．Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition（CVPR） 2015. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | MC－CNN |  |  | 2．61\％ | 3．84\％ | 0.8 px | 1.0 px | 100．00\％ | 100 s | Nvidia GTX Titan（CUDA，Lua／Torch7） | $\square$ |
| J．Zbontar and Y．LeCun：Computing the Stereo Matching Cost with a Convolutional Neural Network．Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition（CVPR） 2015. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PRSM | 可可 | code | 2．78\％ | 3．00\％ | 0.7 px | 0.7 px | 100．00\％ | 300 s | 1 core＠ 2.5 Ghz （C／C＋＋） | $\square$ |
| c．Vogel，K．Schindler and S．Roth： 3 Scene Flow Estimation with a Piecewise Rigid Scene Model．${ }^{\text {ijcc }} 2015$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | SPS－StFI | 国米 |  | 2．83\％ | 3．64\％ | 0.8 px | 0.9 px | 100．00\％ | 35 s | 1 core＠ 3.5 Ghz （C／C＋＋） | $\square$ |
| K．Yamaguchi，D．McAllester and R．Urtasun：Efficient Joint Sesmentation，Occlusion Labeling，Stereo and Flow Estimation．ECCV 2014. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | VC－SF | 可可 |  | 3．05\％ | 3．31\％ | 0.8 px | 0.8 px | 100．00\％ | 300 s | 1 core＠ 2.5 Ghz （C／C＋＋） | $\square$ |
| C．Vogel，S．Roth and K．Schindler：View－Consistent 30 Scene Flow Estimation over Multiple Frames．Proceedings of European Conference on Computer Vision．Lecture Notes in，Computer Science 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Deep Embed |  |  | 3．10\％ | 4．24\％ | 0.9 px | 1.1 px | 100．00\％ | 3 s | 1 core＠ 2.5 Ghz （C／C＋＋） | $\square$ |
| Z．Chen，X．Sun，Y．Yu，L．Wang and C．Huang：A Deep Visual Correspondence Embedding Model for Stereo Matching Costs．ICCV 2015. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | JSOSM |  |  | 3．15\％ | 3．94\％ | 0.8 px | 0.9 px | 100．00\％ | 105 s | 8 cores＠ 2.5 Ghz （C／C＋＋） | $\square$ |
| Anonymous submission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | OSF | 回 | code | 3．28\％ | 4．07\％ | 0.8 px | 0.9 px | 99．98\％ | 50 min | 1 core＠3．0 Ghz（Matlab＋C／C＋＋） | $\square$ |

M．Menze and A．Geiger：Object Scene Flow for Autonomous Vehicles．Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition（CVPR） 2015.

| 10 | CoR | code | $3.30 \%$ | 4．10\％ | 0.8 px | 0.9 px | 100．00\％ | 6 s | 6 cores＠3．3 Ghz（Matlab＋C／C＋＋） | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

A．Chakrabarti，Y．Xiong，S．Gortler and T．Zickler：Low－level Vision by Consensus in a Spatial Hierarchy of Regions．CVPR 2015.

## Figure：Performance on KITTI（metrics is error，so lower is better）

Sanja Fidler

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- For each point $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{I}}=\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$, how do I get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ ? By matching. Patch around $\left.\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)\right)$ should look similar to the patch around $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$.

left image

Do this for all the points in the left image!

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- We get a disparity map as a result


Result: Disparity map
(red values large disp., blue small disp.)

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- We get a disparity map as a result


Things that are closer have larger disparity than those that are far away from camera. Why?

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- Depth and disparity are inversely proportional


Similar triangles:


Depth (Z) and disparity are inversely proportional

## Stereo: Parallel Calibrated Cameras

- Smaller patches: more detail, but noisy. Bigger: less detail, but smooth


$$
\text { patch size }=5
$$



$$
\text { patch size }=35
$$

patch size $=85$

## You Can Do It Much Better...

- With Energy Minimization on top, e.g., a Markov Random Field (MRF)

K. Yamaguchi, D. McAllester, R. Urtasun, Efficient Joint Segmentation, Occlusion Labeling, Stereo and Flow Estimation, ECCV 2014

Paper: http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~urtasun/publications/yamaguchi_et_al_eccv14.pdf Code: http://ttic.uchicago.edu/~dmcallester/SPS/index.html

## You Can Do It Much Better...

[K. Yamaguchi, D. McAllester and R. Urtasun, ECCV 2014]


## Look at State-of-the-art on KITTI

Where "Ours" means: [K. Yamaguchi, D. McAllester and R. Urtasun, ECCV 2014]

- How can we evaluate the performance of a stereo algorithm?

- Autonomous driving dataset KITTI: http://www.cvlibs.net/datasets/kitti/


## From Disparity We Get...

- Depth: Once you have disparity, you have 3D


Figure: K. Yamaguchi, D. McAllester and R. Urtasun, ECCV 2014

## From Disparity We Get...

- Money ;)



## Stereo

## Epipolar geometry

- Case with two cameras with parallel optical axes
- General case $\leftarrow$ Next time

Parallel stereo cameras:

left camera center


## General stereo cameras:



