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Motivation: Attention

- “attention allows for salient features to dynamically come to the forefront as needed”
Image Caption Generation with Attention Mechanism

- Encoder: lower convolutional layer of a CNN
- Decoder: LSTM which generates a caption one word at a time
- Attention mechanism
  - Deterministic “soft” mechanism
  - Stochastic “hard” mechanism
- Output:

\[ y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_C\}, \ y_i \in \mathbb{R}^K \]
Encoder: CNN

- Lower convolutional layer of a CNN is used, to capture spatial information encoded in images
- Annotation vector

\[ a = \{a_1, \ldots, a_L\}, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R}^D \]
Decoder: LSTM

where $i_t, f_t, c_t, o_t, h_t$ are the input, forget, memory, output, and hidden state of the LSTM at time $t$

$\hat{z} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is the context vector which captures the visual information associated with a particular input location

$E \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times K}$ is the embedding matrix
Learning Stochastic “Hard” vs Deterministic “Soft” Attention

• Given an annotation vector $a_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, L$ for each location $i$, an attention mechanism generates a positive weight $\alpha_i$

• Weight of each annotation vector is computed by an attention model $f_{\text{att}}$ using a multi-layer perceptron conditioned on previous hidden states $h_{t-1}$

$$e_{ti} = f_{\text{att}}(a_i, h_{t-1})$$

$$\alpha_{ti} = \frac{\exp(e_{ti})}{\sum_{k=1}^{L} \exp(e_{tk})}.$$

• Define a function $\phi$ which computes the context vector $z_t$ given the annotation vectors and corresponding weights

$$\hat{z}_t = \phi (\{a_i\}, \{\alpha_i\})$$

• Given the previous word, previous hidden state and context vector, compute output word probability

$$p(y_t | a, y_{1:t-1}) \propto \exp(L_o(Ey_{t-1} + L_h h_t + L_z \hat{z}_t))$$
Deterministic “Soft” Attention

- Compute expectation of context vector directly

\[ \mathbb{E}_{p(s_t|a)}[\hat{z}_t] = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \alpha_{t,i} a_i \]

- Then can compute a soft attention weighted annotation vector

\[ \phi (\{\tilde{a}_i\}, \{\alpha_i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \alpha_i a_i \]

- This model is smooth and differentiable, can be computed using standard backpropagation
Doubly Stochastic Attention

- When training the deterministic version of the model, can introduce a doubly stochastic regularization, where

\[ \sum_t \alpha_{ti} \approx 1. \]

- This encourages model to pay equal attention to every part of the image throughout the caption generation
- In experiments, improved overall BLEU score, and lead to more rich and descriptive captions
- The model is trained by minimizing the negative log likelihood with penalty

\[ L_d = -\log(P(y|x)) + \lambda \sum_i \sum_t (1 - \sum_t \alpha_{ti})^2 \]
Stochastic “Hard” Attention

• Let $s_t$ represent the random variable corresponding to the location where the model decides to focus attention at the $t^{th}$ word

$$p(s_{t,i} = 1 \mid s_{j < t}, a) = \alpha_{t,i}$$

$$\hat{z}_t = \sum_i s_{t,i} a_i.$$ 

• where $z_t$ is a random variable, and $s_t$ are intermediate latent variables
Stochastic “Hard” Attention

- Define objection function, $L_s$, the variational lower bound
  \[ L_s = \sum_s p(s|a) \log p(y|s, a) \leq \log \sum_s p(s|a)p(y|s, a) = \log p(y|a) \]  
  \[ (1) \]

- Gradient w.r.t. parameters of model, $W$
  \[ \frac{\partial L_s}{\partial W} = \sum_s p(s|a) \left[ \frac{\partial \log p(y|s, a)}{\partial W} + \log p(y|s, a) \frac{\partial \log p(s|a)}{\partial W} \right] \]
  \[ \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{\partial \log p(y|\tilde{s}^n, a)}{\partial W} + \log p(y|\tilde{s}^n, a) \frac{\partial \log p(\tilde{s}^n|a)}{\partial W} \right] \]
  \[ (2) \]

where \[ \tilde{s}_t \sim \text{Multinoulli}_L(\{\alpha_i\}) \]
Stochastic “Hard” Attention

- Reduce estimator variance by using a moving average baseline and introducing entropy term $H[s]$
- Final learning rule: gradient w.r.t. parameters of model, $W$

$$\frac{\partial L_s}{\partial W} = \sum_s p(s|a) \left[ \frac{\partial \log p(y|s,a)}{\partial W} + \log p(y|s,a) \frac{\partial \log p(s|a)}{\partial W} \right]$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{\partial \log p(y|z^n,a)}{\partial W} + \lambda_r (\log p(y|z^n,a) - b) \frac{\partial \log p(z^n|a)}{\partial W} + \lambda_e \frac{\partial H[z^n]}{\partial W} \right]$$

(3)

where $\lambda_r, \lambda_e$ are hyperparameters, and $b$ is exponential decay used in calculating moving average baseline

- At each point, $\phi (\{a_i\}, \{\alpha_i\})$ returns a sampled $a_i$ at every point in time based on a multinomial distribution parametrized by $\alpha$
- Similar to REINFORCE rule
## Experiments

- Evaluated performance on Flickr8K, Flickr30K, and MS COCO
- Optimized using RMSProp for Flickr8K and Adam for Flickr30K/MS COCO
- Used Oxford VGGnet pretrained on ImageNet
- Quantitative results measured using BLEU and METEOR metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>BLEU-1</th>
<th>BLEU-2</th>
<th>BLEU-3</th>
<th>BLEU-4</th>
<th>METEOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flickr8k</td>
<td>Google NIC (Vinyals et al., 2014)†Σ</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Log Bilinear (Kiros et al., 2014a)°</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft-Attention</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hard-Attention</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>20.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flickr30k</td>
<td>Google NIC (Vinyals et al., 2014)†Σ</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Log Bilinear</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>16.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft-Attention</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>18.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hard-Attention</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>18.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COCO</td>
<td>CMU/MS Research (Chen &amp; Zitnick, 2014)°</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS Research (Fang et al., 2014)†α</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRNN (Karpathy &amp; Li, 2014)°</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Google NIC (Vinyals et al., 2014)†Σ</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Log Bilinear°</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>20.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soft-Attention</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>23.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hard-Attention</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>23.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Qualitative Results

Figure 3. Examples of attending to the correct object (*white* indicates the attended regions, *underlines* indicate the corresponding word)

A woman is throwing a *frisbee* in a park.

A dog is standing on a hardwood floor.

A *stop* sign is on a road with a mountain in the background.

A little *girl* sitting on a bed with a teddy bear.

A group of *people* sitting on a boat in the water.

A giraffe standing in a forest with *trees* in the background.
Mistakes

Figure 5. Examples of mistakes where we can use attention to gain intuition into what the model saw.

A large white bird standing in a forest.  A woman holding a clock in her hand.  A man wearing a hat and a hat on a skateboard.

A person is standing on a beach with a surfboard.  A woman is sitting at a table with a large pizza.  A man is talking on his cell phone while another man watches.
“Soft” attention model

A woman is throwing a frisbee in a park.
“Hard” attention model

A man and a woman playing frisbee in a field.
“Soft” attention model

A woman holding a clock in her hand.
“Hard” attention model

A woman is holding a donut in his hand.
Conclusion

• Xu et al. introduce an attention based model that is able describe the contents of an image
• The model is able to fix its gaze on salient objects while generating words in the caption sequence
• They compare the use of a stochastic “hard” attention mechanism by maximizing a variational lower bound and a deterministic “soft” attention mechanism using standard backpropagation
• Learned attention model can give interpretability to model generation process, and through qualitative analysis can show that alignments of words to locations in an image correspond well to human intuition
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