

Num. Integration - Quadrature

Basic Problem – Approximation of integrals

We will investigate methods for computing an approximation to the definite integral:

$$I(f) \equiv \int_a^b f(x)dx.$$

The obvious generic approach is to approximate the integrand $f(x)$ on the interval $[a, b]$ by a function that can be integrated exactly (such as a polynomial) and then take the integral of the approximating function to be an approximation to $I(f)$.



Interpolatory Rules

When an interpolating polynomial, $P_n(x)$, is used the corresponding approximation $I(P_n(x))$ is called an interpolatory rule. Consider writing $P_n(x)$ in Lagrange form,

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i)l_i(x),$$

where $l_i(x)$ is defined by

$$l_i(x) = \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^n \left(\frac{x - x_j}{x_i - x_j} \right).$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b P_n(x) dx &= \int_a^b \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i)l_i(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i) \int_a^b l_i(x) dx \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n \omega_i f(x_i). \end{aligned}$$



Observations

- The ‘weights’ (the ω_i ’s) depend only on the interval (the value of a and b) and on the x_i ’s. In particular these weights are independent of the integrand.
- The interpolatory rules then approximate $I(f)$ by a linear combination of sampled integrand evaluations.
- If $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$ are equally spaced the corresponding interpolatory rule is called a Newton-Coates quadrature rule.



Errors in Interpolatory Rules

The error associated with an interpolatory rule is $E(f) = I(f) - I(P_n)$ and satisfies,

$$\begin{aligned} E(f) &= \int_a^b f(x)dx - \int_a^b P_n(x)dx = \int_a^b [f(x) - P_n(x)]dx, \\ &= \int_a^b E_n(x)dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_n(x)$ is the error in polynomial interpolation and satisfies,

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(x) &= (x - x_0)(x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_n) f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n x], \\ &= \Pi_n(x) f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n x]. \end{aligned}$$

In some special cases we can simplify this expression to obtain estimates and/or more insight into the behaviour of the error.



Error Analysis - Special Cases

- First special case – If $\Pi_n(x)$ is of one sign (on $[a, b]$) then the Mean Value Theorem for Integrals implies,

$$\begin{aligned} E(f) &= \int_a^b f[x_0x_1 \cdots x_nx] \Pi_n(x) dx, \\ &= f[x_0x_1 \cdots x_n\xi] \int_a^b \Pi_n(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\xi \in [a, b]$. Also since $f[x_0x_1 \cdots x_n\xi] = \frac{f^{n+1}(\eta)}{(n+1)!}$ for some $\eta \in (a, b)$, we have shown that if $\Pi_n(x)$ is of one sign then,

$$E(f) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} f^{n+1}(\eta) \int_a^b \Pi_n(x) dx$$



Error Analysis - Special Cases

Second special case – If $\int_a^b \Pi_n(x) dx = 0$ we have, for arbitrary x_{n+1} ,

$$f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n x] = f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n x_{n+1}] + f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} x](x - x_{n+1}),$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E(F) &= \int_a^b f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n x] \Pi_n(x) dx, \\ &= \int_a^b f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}] \Pi_n(x) dx + \int_a^b f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} x] \Pi_{n+1}(x) dx, \\ &= \int_a^b f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} x] \Pi_{n+1}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, if $\int_a^b \Pi_n(x) dx = 0$ and we can choose x_{n+1} so that $\Pi_{n+1}(x)$ is of one sign, then using a similar argument as for the first special case, it follows that, if $\int_a^b \Pi_n(x) dx = 0$ and $\Pi_{n+1}(x)$ is of one sign,

$$E(f) = \frac{1}{(n+2)!} f^{n+2}(\eta) \int_a^b \Pi_{n+1}(x) dx$$



Examples of Interp. Rules

Trapezoidal Rule (an example of the first special case):

$$T(f) \equiv \int_a^b P_1(x) dx,$$

where $x_0 = a$ and $x_1 = b$. We then have,

$$P_1(x) = l_0(x)f_0 + l_1(x)f_1 = \frac{x - x_1}{x_0 - x_1} f_0 + \frac{x - x_0}{x_1 - x_0} f_1.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(f) &= \int_a^b \frac{x - b}{a - b} dx f(a) + \int_a^b \frac{x - a}{b - a} dx f(b), \\ &= \left(\frac{b - a}{2} \right) f(a) + \left(\frac{b - a}{2} \right) f(b) = \left(\frac{b - a}{2} \right) [f(a) + f(b)]. \end{aligned}$$



Examples of Interp. Rules

We also have that $\Pi_1(x) = (x - a)(x - b)$ is negative for $x \in [a, b]$ and $\int_a^b \Pi_1(x) dx = -\frac{(b-a)^3}{6}$. We therefore have satisfied the conditions of the first special case and this implies,

$$T(f) = \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)[f(a) + f(b)], \quad E^T(f) = \frac{-f''(\eta)}{12}(b-a)^3.$$

Simpsons Rule (an example of the second special case):

$$S(f) \equiv \int_a^b P_2(x) dx,$$

with $x_0 = a, x_1 = \frac{a+b}{2}, x_2 = b$.



Simpsons Rule

Exercise: Using

$$P_2(x) = l_0(x)f(a) + l_1(x)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + l_2(x)f(b),$$

where

$$l_0(x) = \frac{(x - \frac{a+b}{2})(x - b)}{(a - \frac{a+b}{2})(a - b)}, \quad l_1(x) = \frac{(x - a)(x - b)}{(\frac{a+b}{2} - a)(\frac{a+b}{2} - b)},$$

$$l_2(x) = \frac{(x - a)(x - \frac{a+b}{2})}{(b - a)(b - \frac{a+b}{2})}.$$

Simplify and verify (after some tedious algebra) that,

$$\begin{aligned} S(f) &= \left[\int_a^b l_0(x) dx \right] f(a) + \left[\int_a^b l_1(x) dx \right] f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \left[\int_a^b l_2(x) dx \right] f(b), \\ &\vdots \\ &= \left(\frac{b-a}{6} \right) \left[f(a) + 4f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + f(b) \right]. \end{aligned}$$



Simpsons Rule (cont)

Note that for $x \in [a, b]$, $\Pi_2(x)$ is antisymmetric about $\frac{a+b}{2}$ and this implies $\int_a^b \Pi_2(x)dx = 0$. Furthermore by choosing $x_3 = \frac{a+b}{2}$ we have

$$\Pi_3(x) = (x - a)\left(x - \frac{a+b}{2}\right)^2(x - b),$$

is of one sign and this implies,

$$E^S(f) = I(f) - S(f) = \frac{1}{4!} f^4(\eta) \int_a^b \Pi_3(x)dx.$$

But $\int_a^b \Pi_3(x)dx = -\frac{4}{15} \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^5$ so we have,

$$S(f) = \left(\frac{b-a}{6}\right) \left[f(a) + 4f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + f(b) \right]$$

$$E^S(f) = \frac{-f^4(\eta)}{90} \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^5$$



Gaussian Quadrature

Recall that the error for interp. rules satisfies,

$E(f) = \int_a^b f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n x] \Pi_n(x) dx$, and if $\int_a^b \Pi_n(x) dx = 0$ we have,

$$E(f) = \int_a^b f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} x] \Pi_{n+1}(x) dx,$$

for any x_{n+1} . Now if $\int_a^b \Pi_{n+1}(x) dx = 0$ as well we can show similarly,

$$E(f) = \int_a^b f[x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_{n+2}, x] \Pi_{n+2}(x) dx.$$

In general if we let $q_0(x) \equiv 1$ and $q_i(x) \equiv (x - x_{n+1}) \cdots (x - x_{n+i})$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots (m - 1)$. We can then show that if $\int_a^b \Pi_n(x) q_i(x) dx = 0$, for $i = 0, 1, \cdots (m - 1)$ then,

$$E(f) = \int_a^b f[x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n+m} x] \Pi_{n+m}(x) dx.$$



Gaussian Quadrature (cont)

The key idea of GQ is to choose the interpolation points, (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) such that $\int_a^b \Pi_n(x)q(x)dx = 0$ for all polynomials, $q(x)$, of degree at most n . In particular for the choice $q(x) = q_i(x)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ we have $\int_a^b \Pi_n(x)q_i(x)dx = 0$ and,

$$E(f) = \int_a^b f[x_0x_1 \cdots x_{2n+1}x]\Pi_{2n+1}(x)dx.$$

To ensure that $\Pi_{2n+1}(x)$ is of one sign for $x \in [a, b]$ we can choose $x_{n+i+1} = x_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and we then have $\Pi_{2n+1}(x) = \Pi_n^2(x)$,

$$E(f) = f[x_0x_1 \cdots x_{2n+1}\xi] \int_a^b \Pi_n^2(x)dx = \frac{1}{(2n+2)!} f^{2n+2}(\eta) \int_a^b \Pi_n^2(x)dx.$$

Note that these rules will be exact for all polynomials of degree at most $2n + 1$ since $f^{2n+2}(\eta) \equiv 0$.



GQ – Orthogonal Polynomials

How do we choose the x_i 's to ensure that $\int_a^b \Pi_n(x)q(x)dx = 0$ for all polynomials, $q(x)$ of degree at most n ? This question leads to the study of orthogonal polynomials.

● Definition: The set of polynomials $\{r_0(x), r_1(x), \dots, r_k(x)\}$ is orthogonal on $[-1, 1]$ iff the following two conditions are satisfied:

- $\int_{-1}^1 r_i(x)r_j(x)dx = 0$, for $i \neq j$,
- The degree of $r_i(x)$ is i for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$.



Properties

• Properties of orthogonal polynomials:

- Any polynomial $q_s(x)$ of degree $s \leq k$ can be expressed as.

$$q_s(x) = \sum_{j=0}^s c_j r_j(x).$$

- $r_k(x)$ is orthogonal to all polynomials of degree less than k . That is, $\int_{-1}^1 r_k(x)q_s(x)dx = 0$ for $s < k$. (This follows from the previous property.)
- $r_k(x)$ has k simple zeros all in the interval $[-1, 1]$.



Proof (last property)

For $r_k(x)$, let $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m\}$ be the set of points in $[-1, 1]$ where $r_k(x)$ changes sign. It is clear that each μ_j is a zero of $r_k(x)$ and all simple zeros of $r_k(x)$ in $[-1, 1]$ must be in this set. We then have $m \leq k$ as the maximum number of zeros of a polynomial of degree k is k . Assume $m < k$. We then have,

$$\hat{q}_m(x) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^m (x - \mu_i),$$

is a polynomial of degree $m < k$ that changes sign at each μ_i and,

$$\int_{-1}^1 \hat{q}_m(x) r_k(x) dx = 0.$$

But $\hat{q}_m(x)$ and $r_k(x)$ have the same sign for all x in $[-1, 1]$ (they change sign at the same locations). This implies a contradiction (the integrand is of one sign but the integral is zero)– our assumption must be false. We must therefore have $m = k$.



3-Term Recurrence

The $r_k(x)$ also satisfy,

$$r_{s+1}(x) = a_s(x - b_s)r_s(x) - c_sr_{s-1}(x),$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where the a_s are normalization constants, $r_{-1}(x) = 0$, and if $t_s = \int_{-1}^1 r_s^2(x)dx$ then,

$$b_s = \frac{1}{t_s} \int_{-1}^1 xr_s^2(x)dx, \quad c_s = \frac{a_s t_s}{a_{s-1} t_{s-1}}.$$

For example, we obtain the classical Legendre polynomials if we normalise so $r_s(-1) = 1$. This leads to,

$$a_s = \frac{2s+1}{s+1}, \quad b_s = 0, \quad c_s = \frac{s}{s+1}.$$

