

Lecture 16: Distributed Shared Memory

CSC 469H1F / CSC 2208H1F
Fall 2007
Angela Demke Brown



Outline

- Review distributed system basics
- What is distributed shared memory?
- Design issues and tradeoffs

CSC469



Distributed System Features

- *Multiple* computers
 - May be heterogenous, or homogeneous
 - May be controlled by a single organization or by distinct organizations or individuals
 - *No physical shared memory*, no shared clock
- Connected by a *communication network*
 - Typically a general-purpose network, not dedicated to supporting the distributed system
 - Messages are sent over network for communication
- *Co-operating* to share resources and services
 - Application processing occurs on more than one machine

CSC469



Distributed IPC

- Option 1: Use message passing primitives
 - E.g. Unix sockets
 - ✓ Good match for underlying structure
 - ✗ programmer has to deal with sending data
- Option 2: Use remote procedure call (RPC)
 - ✓ Familiar programming model
 - ✓ RPC system handles communication details
 - ✗ passing complex data types is hard
 - ✗ model is synchronous, not a good fit for parallel programming

CSC469



(Local) Shared Memory


- Uniprocessor or SMP systems
- Processes can share part of their address space
 - Threads in a process share entire address space
- IPC provided through access to shared data
 - ✓ Easy to express concurrency, share complex data structures
 - ✗ Synchronization needed to prevent data races
- How is this implemented on single computer?
- Can we achieve same effect on dist. system?

CSC469



Distributed Shared Memory (DSM)

- Goal: allow processes on networked computers to share physical memory through a single shared virtual address space




CSC469



Central Server DSM

• Simplest implementation

- All data maintained at server node
- all read, write of shared data sent to server
- Server handles request and sends ack



Disadvantages?

CSC469



Sharing Granularity

- Two main categories of DSM systems
 - Object-based
 - Pure software approach (can be a library)
 - Individual objects are shared
 - Allows granularity to be determined by object size → less false sharing
 - Page-based
 - Can leverage paging hardware (needs OS help)
 - Unit of sharing is (multiple of) page size
 - False sharing is more likely

CSC469



Page-Based DSM Basics


- Physical memory on each node holds pages of shared virtual address space
 - Local pages* are present in current node's memory
 - Remote pages* are in some other node's memory
- Exploit MMU hardware to locate pages
 - Page table entry for a page is valid if the page is local
 - Access to non-local page causes a page fault
 - DSM protocol handles page fault, retrieves remote data
 - Operations are transparent to programmer

CSC469



Locating Remote Data

- Simplest Design: central server maintains a *directory* recording which machine currently holds each page




CSC469



Problem 1

- Directory at central server becomes bottleneck
 - All page query requests go to this node
- Solution: Distributed directory
 - Each node is responsible for portion of address space
 - Responsible node = (page #) mod (num nodes)



CSC469



Problem 2


- Each virtual page exists on only one machine at a time
 - No caching
- Actively shared pages may lead to *thrashing*
- Solution: allow replication (caching)
 - Read operations become cheaper
 - Simultaneous reads can be executed locally on multiple nodes
 - Write operations become more expensive
 - Cached copies need to be invalidated or updated

CSC469




Simple Replication (Read Replication)

- Multiple Readers, Single Writer (MRSW)
 - One node can be granted a *read-write* copy
 - **OR** multiple nodes can be granted read-only copies
- On read operation:
 - Set access rights to read-only on any writable copy on other nodes (should be at most one)
 - Acquire read-only copy of the page



Read Replication (3)

- On write operation:
 - Revoke write permission from other writable copy (if any)
 - Get read-write copy of page
 - Invalidate all copies of page at other nodes



Full Replication

- Multiple readers, multiple writers
 - More than one node can have writable copy of page
 - Access to shared data must be controlled to maintain consistency
 - More on this in a minute....

CSC469



Dealing with replication

- Must keep track of copies of the page
 - Extend directory with *copyset*
 - The set of all nodes that requested copies
- On request for page copy
 - Add requestor to copyset
 - Send page contents
- On request to invalidate page
 - Send invalidation requests to all nodes in copyset and wait for acknowledgements

CSC469



Consistency Model

- Defines when modifications to data may be seen at a given processor
- Defines how memory will appear to a programmer
 - Restricts what values can be returned by a *read* of a memory location
- Must be well-understood
 - Determines how programmer reasons about correctness of program
 - Determines what optimizations are allowed

CSC469



Recall Sequential Consistency

- All memory operations must execute one at a time
- All operations of a single processor appear to execute in program order
- Interleaving among processors is ok
 - But all processors observe the same interleaving

CSC469



Achieving Sequential Consistency

- Node must ensure that previous memory operation is complete before proceeding with the next one
 - Must get acknowledgement that write has completed
 - With caching, must send invalidate or update messages to all copies
 - **ALL** these messages must be acknowledged
- To improve performance we relax the rules

CSC469



Relaxed (weak) consistency

- Allow reads/writes to different memory locations to be reordered
- Consider operation in critical section:
 - Should be used for all shared data operations
 - One process actively reading/writing
 - Nobody else will access until process leaves c.s.
 - → No need to propagate writes sequentially, *or at all*, until process leaves critical section!

CSC469



Synchronization Variables

- Operation for synchronizing memory
 - Analog of *fences* in shared memory multiprocessors
- All local writes get propagated
- All remote writes are brought in to the local processor
- Block until memory synchronized
- Access to synchronization variables are sequentially consistent

CSC469



Problems with Weak Consistency

- Inefficiency
 - Synchronization happens at begin and end of a critical section
 - Is process finished memory access? Or is it about to start?
- System must make sure that:
 - All locally-initiated writes have completed
 - All remote writes have been acquired

CSC469



Can we do better?

- Separate synchronization into two stages:
 1. **acquire access**
 - Obtain valid copies of all pages
 2. **release access**
 - Send invalidations for shared pages that were modified locally to nodes that have copies
- *Eager Release Consistency*

CSC469



Can do better still


- Release requires sending invalidations to all nodes with copy
 - And waiting for all to acknowledge
- Delay this process
 - On release, send invalidation to directory
 - On acquire, check with directory to see if new copy is needed
- Reduces message traffic on release
- *Lazy Release Consistency*

CSC469



How do you propagate changes?

- Send entire page
 - Easy, but may be a lot of data
- Send only what changed
 - Local system must save original and compute differences




CSC469



Create diff at Release

- Changes are encoded into diff
- Twin is discarded
- Page is marked invalid due to modifications at other node
- On next access, diffs are exchanged and applied



CSC469

