

---

# Lecture 2: OS Structure

CSC 469H1F

Fall 2006

Angela Demke Brown




# Overview

- Motivation: Why talk about structure?
- Kernel structures
  - Monolithic kernels
  - Open systems
  - Microkernels
  - Kernel Extensions (Tuesday)
  - Virtual Machines (Tuesday)

# Motivation

- Let's review what OS provides...
  - Abstraction layers
  - Protection boundaries
  - Resource allocators
  - Resource schedulers
- It's complicated!
  - Windows NT ~29 million lines of code (as of 2000)


# Monolithic OS



# Properties of Monolithic Kernels

- OS is all in one place, below the “red line”
- Applications use a well-defined system call interface to interact with kernel
- Examples: Unix, Windows NT/XP, Linux, BSD, OS/161
  - Common in commercial systems
- Advantages?
  - Good performance, well-understood, easy for kernel developers, high level of protection between applications
- Disadvantages?
  - No protection between kernel components, not (safely, easily) extensible, overall structure becomes complicated (no clear boundaries between modules)


# Open Systems



# Properties of Open Systems

- Applications, libraries, kernel all in the same address space
- Crazy?
  - MS-DOS
  - Mac OS 9 and earlier
  - Windows ME, 98, 95, 3.1, etc.
  - Palm OS and some embedded systems
- Used to be very common
- Advantages?
  - Very good performance, very extensible, works well for single-user OS
- Disadvantages?
  - No protection btwn kernel and/or apps, not very stable, composing extensions can lead to unpredictable behavior

# Microkernel OS



# Properties of Microkernels

- Design Philosophy: protected kernel code provides minimal “small, clean, logical” set of abstractions
  - Tasks and threads
  - Virtual memory
  - Interprocess communication
- Everything else is a server process running at user-level
- Examples: Mach, Chorus, QNX, GNU Hurd
- Mixed results ...

# Microkernel Advantages

- Extensible: add a new server to add new OS functionality
- Kernel does not determine operating system environment
  - Allows support for multiple OS personalities
  - Need an emulation server for each system (e.g. Mac, Windows, Unix)
  - All applications run on same microkernel
  - Applications can use customized OS (e.g. for databases)

# More Advantages


- Mostly hardware agnostic
  - Threads, IPC, user-level servers don't need to worry about underlying hardware
- Strong protection
  - Even of the OS against itself (i.e., the parts of the OS that are implemented as servers)
- Easy extension to multiprocessor and distributed systems

# Microkernel Disadvantages

- Performance
  - System calls can require a lot of protection mode changes (next slide)
- Expensive to reimplement everything with a new model
  - OS personalities are easier to port to new hardware after porting to microkernel, but porting to microkernel may be harder than porting to new hardware
- Bad past history
  - See IBM Workplace OS story

# Microkernel System Call Example

1. Application calls read(), traps to microkernel
2. microkernel sends message to Unix Personality requesting read
3. Unix personality sends message to File System Server (FSS) asking for data
4. FSS receives message and begins processing
5. FSS sends message to microkernel asking for disk blocks
6. Microkernel sends data back to FSS
7. FSS sends message to UNIX Personality with results
8. Unix Personality receives message with data
9. Unix Personality sends data to Application
10. Application receives data



# The Mach Microkernel

- CMU Research Project
- The Plan:
  - Step 1: Proof of Concept
    - Take BSD 4.1 and “fix” VM, threads, IPC
  - Step 2: Microkernel and “single-server” Unix emulation
    - Take unix kernel and “saw it in half”
  - Step 3: Microkernel and multiple servers (for FS, paging, network, etc.)
    - Servers glued together by modules that catch system calls

# Mach

- Reality:
  - Proof of concept completed in 1989
    - Unix server, SMP support, kernel threads, 5 HW architectures
    - Commercial deployment: Encore Multimax, Convex Exemplar, OSF/1, NeXT (and eventually to OS X)
  - Microkernel and single-server completed and deployed to 10's of machines
  - Multi-server never fully completed


# Key Mach Abstractions

- **Tasks/threads**
  - Tasks are passive (address space + resources)
  - Threads are active, perform computation
- **Ports**
  - Message origin / destination
  - Have access rights (embodied as capabilities)
  - Essentially an object reference mechanism
- **Messages**
  - Basis of all communication in Mach
- **Devices**
- **Memory objects and memory cache objects**







# Tasks, threads and communication

Figure from "Distributed Systems, Concepts and Design"  
Coulouris, Dollimore & Kindberg

Threads communicate by sending messages to ports of other threads. Network servers handle distributed communication transparently. On a multiprocessor user-level threads are mapped to physical CPUs, providing true concurrency.




Key:

-  Port
-  Task
-  Thread
-  Processor
-  Thread mapping
-  Communications

# Mach External pager

Figure from “Distributed Systems, Concepts and Design”  
Coulouris, Dollimore & Kindberg

Address space maps memory objects; microkernel maintains cache of memory object contents in physical memory while a user-level pager manages the backing store for each object. External pager may be on same, or different machine.



---

# Next Time...

---

- OS Extensions
- The Exokernel
- Virtual machines