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Announcements

• Assignment 1 has been posted (Due Oct. 31)
  • You are encouraged to work with a partner
• Assignment 0 – expect to return next Tuesday
• Test #1 next week Thursday (Oct. 26)
  • 2 hours, lecture + tutorial time slot
  • Covers material to end of this week (Lecture 12)
• Distinguished Lecture today, 3pm, BA 1170
  • Vint Cerf (Chief Internet Evangelist, Google)
  • “Internet in the 21st Century”

Multiprocessor Scheduling

• Why use a multiprocessor?
  • To support multiprogramming
    • Large numbers of independent processes
    • Simplified administration
    • E.g. CDF wolves, compute servers
  • To support parallel programming
    • “job” consists of multiple cooperating/communicating threads and/or processes
    • Not independent!

Basic MP Scheduling

• Given a set of runnable threads, and a set of CPUs, assign threads to CPUs
• Same considerations as uniprocessor scheduling
  • Fairness, efficiency, throughput, response time...
• But also new considerations
  • Ready queue implementation
  • Load balancing
  • Processor affinity
### Ready Queue Implementation

**Option 1: Single Shared Queue**
- Scheduling events occur per CPU
  - Local timer interrupt
  - Currently-executing thread blocks or yields
  - Event is handled that unblocks thread
- Scheduler code executing on any CPU simply accesses shared queue
  - Synchronization is needed

**Option 2: Per-CPU Ready Queue**
- Scheduling code access queue for current CPU
- Issues
  - To which queue are new threads added?
  - What about unblocked threads?
  - Load balancing

### Aside: Per-CPU data
- Assume shared-memory MP
- OS assigns each CPU an integer id at boot time
  - Linux: access with `smp_processor_id()`
- Basic data structure is array with entry for each CPU
  - `A[0]` is data structure for current CPU
  - Often array contains just pointers
- Can lead to false sharing problem
  - Each CPU has own variable
  - Several per-CPU variables are on same cache line
  - Modification of one causes invalidates in other CPUs' caches
  - Use padding so each per-CPU variable lies on different cache line

### Load Balancing
- Try to keep run queue sizes balanced across system
  - Main goal - CPU should not idle while other CPUs have waiting threads in their queues
  - Secondary - scheduling overhead may scale with size of run queue
    - Keep this overhead roughly the same for all CPUs
  - Push model - kernel daemon checks queue lengths periodically, moves threads to balance
  - Pull model - CPU notices its queue is empty (or shorter than a threshold) and steals threads from other queues
- Many systems use both
**Processor Affinity**

- As threads run, state accumulates in CPU cache
- Repeated scheduling on the same CPU can often reuse this state
- Scheduling on different CPUs requires reloading new cache
  - And possibly invalidating old cache
- Try to keep threads on the same CPU it used last
  - Automatic
  - Advisory hints from user
  - Mandatory user-selected CPU

**Symbiotic Scheduling**

- Threads load data into cache
- Expect multiple threads to trash each others’ state as they run
- Can try to detect cache needs and schedule threads that can share nicely on the same CPU
  - E.g., several threads with small cache footprints may all be able to keep data in cache at the same time
  - E.g., threads with no locality might as well execute on the same CPU since almost always miss in cache anyway

**Parallel Job Scheduling**

- “Job” is a collection of processes/threads that cooperate to solve some problem (or provide some service)
- How the components of the job are scheduled has a major effect on performance
  - Two major strategies
    - Space sharing
    - Time sharing

**Why Job Scheduling Matters**

- Threads in a job are not independent
  - Synchronize over shared data
    - De-schedule lock holder, other threads in job may not get far
  - Cause/effect relationships (e.g., producer-consumer problem)
    - Consumer is waiting for data on queue, but producer is not running
  - Synchronizing phases of execution (barriers)
    - Entire job proceeds at the pace of the slowest thread
Space Sharing

- Divide processors into groups
  - Fixed, variable, or adaptive
- Assign job to dedicated set of processors
  - Ideally one CPU per thread in job
- Pros:
  - Reduce context switch overhead (no involuntary preemption)
  - Strong affinity
  - All runnable threads execute at same time
- Cons:
  - Inflexible
  - CPUs in one partition may be idle while another partition has multiple jobs waiting to run
  - Difficult to deal with dynamically-changing job sizes

Time sharing

- Each CPU may run threads from multiple jobs
  - But with awareness of jobs
- Gang or Co-scheduling
  - All CPUs perform context switch together
- Bin packing problem to fill available CPU slots with runnable jobs

Example: Effect of Gang Scheduling

- LLNL gang scheduler on 12-CPU Digital Alpha 8400
  - Parallel gaussian elimination program
  - Source: Lawrence Livermore Natl Lab UCRL-TB-122379-Rev2 Sept. 2 1998