



# Lecture 23: Software Architectures


## ⇒ Architectural Styles

- ↳ Pipe and filter
- ↳ Object oriented:
  - Client-Server; Object Broker
- ↳ Event based
- ↳ Layered:
  - Designing Layered Architectures
- ↳ Repositories:
  - Blackboard, MVC
- ↳ Process control



## Pipe-and-filter

Source: Adapted from Shaw & Garlan 1996, p21-2. See also van Vliet, 1999 Pp266-7 and p279



## ⇒ Examples:

- ↳ UNIX shell commands
- ↳ Compilers:
  - Lexical Analysis -> parsing -> semantic analysis -> code generation
- ↳ Signal Processing


## ⇒ Interesting properties:

- ↳ filters don't need to know anything about what they are connected to
- ↳ filters can be implemented in parallel
- ↳ behaviour of the system is the composition of behaviour of the filters
  - specialized analysis such as throughput and deadlock analysis is possible



# Object Oriented Architectures

Source: Adapted from Shaw & Garlan 1996, p22-3.



## Examples:

- ↳ abstract data types

## Interesting properties


- ↳ data hiding (internal data representations are not visible to clients)
- ↳ can decompose problems into sets of interacting agents
- ↳ can be multi-threaded or single thread

## Disadvantages

- ↳ objects must know the identity of objects they wish to interact with



# Variant 1: Client Server



## Interesting properties


- ↳ Is a special case of the previous pattern object oriented architecture
- ↳ Clients do not need to know about one another

## Disadvantages

- ↳ Client objects must know the identity of the server



# Variant 2: Object Brokers



## Interesting properties


- ↳ Adds a broker between the clients and servers
- ↳ Clients no longer need to know which server they are using
- ↳ Can have many brokers, many servers.

## Disadvantages

- ↳ Broker can become a bottleneck
- ↳ Degraded performance




# Broker Architecture Example





## Event based (implicit invocation)

Source: Adapted from Shaw & Garlan 1996, p23-4. See also van Vliet, 1999 Pp264-5 and p278



### Examples

- ↳ debugging systems (listen for particular breakpoints)
- ↳ database management systems (for data integrity checking)
- ↳ graphical user interfaces

### Interesting properties

- ↳ announcers of events don't need to know who will handle the event
- ↳ Supports re-use, and evolution of systems (add new agents easily)


### Disadvantages

- ↳ Components have no control over ordering of computations



## Layered Systems

Source: Adapted from Shaw & Garlan 1996, p25. See also van Vliet, 1999, p281.



### Examples

- ↳ Operating Systems
- ↳ communication protocols

### Interesting properties


- ↳ Support increasing levels of abstraction during design
- ↳ Support enhancement (add functionality) and re-use
- ↳ can define standard layer interfaces

### Disadvantages

- ↳ May not be able to identify (clean) layers




### Variant: 3-layer data access



### Open vs. Closed Layered Architecture


#### closed architecture

- ↳ each layer only uses services of the layer immediately below;
- ↳ Minimizes dependencies between layers and reduces the impact of a change.



#### open architecture





- ↳ a layer can use services from any lower layer.
- ↳ More compact code, as the services of lower layers can be accessed directly
- ↳ Breaks the encapsulation of layers, so increase dependencies between layers






# How many layers?

- ⇒ 2-layers: ..
  - ↳ application layer
  - ↳ database layer
  - ↳ e.g. simple client-server model
- ⇒ 3-layers:
  - ↳ separate out the business logic
    - helps to make both user interface and database layers modifiable
- ⇒ 4-layers:
  - ↳ Separates applications from the domain entities that they use:
    - boundary classes in presentation layer
    - control classes in application layer
    - entity classes in domain layer
- ⇒ Partitioned 4-layers
  - ↳ identify separate applications



# Repositories


Source: Adapted from Shaw & Garlan 1996, p26-7. See also van Vliet, 1999, p280



- ⇒ Examples
  - ↳ databases
  - ↳ blackboard expert systems
  - ↳ programming environments
- ⇒ Interesting properties
  - ↳ can choose where the locus of control is (agents, blackboard, both)
  - ↳ reduce the need to duplicate complex data
- ⇒ Disadvantages
  - ↳ blackboard becomes a bottleneck



# Variant: Model-View-Controller




## Properties

- ↪ One central model, many views (viewers)
- ↪ Each view has an associated controller
- ↪ The controller handles updates from the user of the view
- ↪ Changes to the model are propagated to all the views




# Model View Controller Example






# MVC Component Interaction



# Process Control

Source: Adapted from Shaw & Garlan 1996, p27-31.



## Examples

- ↳ aircraft/spacecraft flight control systems
- ↳ controllers for industrial production lines, power stations, etc.
- ↳ chemical engineering

## Interesting properties

- ↳ separates control policy from the controlled process
- ↳ handles real-time, reactive computations

## Disadvantages

- ↳ Difficult to specify the timing characteristics and response to disturbances