

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Lecture 3: What is Engineering?

- ⇒ What is engineering about?
 - ↳ Engineering vs. Science
 - ↳ Devices vs. Systems
 - ↳ How is software engineering different?
 - ↳ Engineering as a profession
- ⇒ Engineering Projects
 - ↳ Project Management
 - ↳ Project Initiation
- ⇒ Project Lifecycles
 - ↳ Software Engineering lifecycles: Waterfalls, spirals, etc
 - ↳ Requirements Lifecycles

© Easterbrook 2004 1

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Engineering vs. Science

- ⇒ Traditional View:

<p>Scientists... create knowledge study the world as it is are trained in scientific method use explicit knowledge are thinkers</p>	<p>Engineers... apply that knowledge seek to change the world are trained in engineering design use tacit knowledge are doers</p>
---	---
- ⇒ More realistic View

<p>Scientists... create knowledge are problem-driven seek to understand and explain design experiments to test theories prefer abstract knowledge but rely on tacit knowledge</p>	<p>Engineers... create knowledge are problem-driven seek to understand and explain design devices to test theories prefer contingent knowledge but rely on tacit knowledge</p>
--	---

Both involve a mix of design and discovery

© Easterbrook 2004 2

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

What is engineering?

“Engineering is the development of cost-effective solutions to practical problems, through the application of scientific knowledge”

- “...Cost-effective...”
 - ↳ Consideration of design trade-offs, esp. resource usage
 - ↳ Minimize negative impacts (e.g. environmental and social cost)
- “... Solutions ...”
 - ↳ Emphasis on building devices
- “... Practical problems ...”
 - ↳ solving problems that matter to people
 - ↳ improving human life in general through technological advance
- “... Application of scientific knowledge ...”
 - ↳ Systematic application of analytical techniques

© Easterbrook 2004 3

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Devices vs. Systems

- ⇒ Normal design:
 - ↳ Old problems, whose solutions are well known
 - Engineering codifies standard solutions
 - Engineer selects appropriate methods and technologies
 - ↳ Design focuses on well understood **devices**
 - Devices can be studied independent of context
 - Differences between the mathematical model and the reality are minimal
- ⇒ Radical design:
 - ↳ Never been done, or past solutions have failed
 - Often involves a very complex problem
 - ↳ Bring together complex assemblies of devices into new **systems**
 - Such systems are not amenable to reductionist theories
 - Such systems are often soft: no objective criteria for describing the system
- ⇒ Examples:
 - Most of Computer Engineering involves normal design
 - All of Systems Engineering involves radical design (by definition!)
 - Much of Software Engineering involves radical design (soft systems!)

© Easterbrook 2004 4

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Is software different?

- ⇒ Software is different!
 - ↳ software is invisible, intangible, abstract
 - > its purpose is to configure some hardware to do something useful
 - ↳ there are no physical laws underlying software behaviour
 - ↳ there are no physical constraints on software complexity
 - ↳ software never wears out
 - > ...traditional reliability measures don't apply
 - ↳ software can be replicated perfectly
 - > ...no manufacturing variability
- ⇒ Software Myths:
 - ↳ Myth: Cost of software is lower than cost of physical devices
 - ↳ Myth: Software is easy to change
 - ↳ Myth: Computers are more reliable than physical devices
 - ↳ Myth: Software can be formally proved to be correct
 - ↳ Myth: Software reuse increases safety and reliability
 - ↳ Myth? Computers reduce risk over mechanical systems

© Easterbrook 2004 5

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Professional Responsibility

- ⇒ ACM/IEEE code of ethics:
 - ↳ PUBLIC - act consistently with the public interest.
 - ↳ CLIENT AND EMPLOYER - act in a manner that is in the best interests of your client and employer, consistent with the public interest.
 - ↳ PRODUCT - ensure that your products and related modifications meet the highest professional standards possible.
 - ↳ JUDGEMENT - maintain integrity and independence in your professional judgment.
 - ↳ MANAGEMENT - subscribe to and promote an ethical approach to the management of software development and maintenance.
 - ↳ PROFESSION - advance the integrity and reputation of the profession consistent with the public interest.
 - ↳ COLLEAGUES - be fair to and supportive of your colleagues.
 - ↳ SELF - participate in lifelong learning and promote an ethical approach to the practice of the profession.
- ⇒ Of particular relevance in RE:
 - ↳ Competence - never misrepresent your level of competence
 - ↳ Confidentiality - respect confidentiality of all stakeholders
 - ↳ Intellectual property rights - respect protections on ideas and designs
 - ↳ Data Protection - be aware of relevant laws on handling personal data

© Easterbrook 2004 6

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Project Management

- ⇒ A manager can control 4 things:
 - ↳ Resources (can get more dollars, facilities, personnel)
 - ↳ Time (can increase schedule, delay milestones, etc.)
 - ↳ Product (can reduce functionality - e.g. scrub requirements)
 - ↳ Risk (can decide which risks are acceptable)
- ⇒ To do this, a manager needs to keep track of:
 - ↳ Effort - How much effort will be needed? How much has been expended?
 - ↳ Time - What is the expected schedule? How far are we deviating from it?
 - ↳ Size - How big is the planned system? How much have we built?
 - ↳ Defects - How many errors are we making? How many are we detecting?
 - > And how do these errors impact quality?
- ⇒ Initially, a manager needs good estimates
 - ↳ ...and these can only come from a thorough analysis of the problem.

You cannot control that which you cannot measure!

© Easterbrook 2004 7

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Project Types

- ⇒ Reasons for initiating a software development project
 - ↳ Problem-driven: competition, crisis,...
 - ↳ Change-driven: new needs, growth, change in business or environment,...
 - ↳ Opportunity-driven: exploit a new technology,...
 - ↳ Legacy-driven: part of a previous plan, unfinished work, ...
- ⇒ Relationship with Customer(s):
 - ↳ Customer-specific - one customer with specific problem
 - > May be another company, with contractual arrangement
 - > May be a division within the same company
 - ↳ Market-based - system to be sold to a general market
 - > In some cases the product must generate customers
 - > Marketing team may act as substitute customer
 - ↳ Community-based - intended as a general benefit to some community
 - > E.g. open source tools, tools for scientific research
 - > funder¹ customer (if funder has no stake in the outcome)
 - ↳ Hybrid (a mix of the above)

© Easterbrook 2004 8

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Project Context

- ⇒ Existing System
 - ↳ There is nearly always an existing system
 - > May just be a set of ad hoc workarounds for the problem
 - ↳ Studying it is important:
 - > If we want to avoid the weaknesses of the old system...
 - > ...while preserving what the stakeholders like about it
- ⇒ Pre-Existing Components
 - ↳ Benefits:
 - > Can dramatically reduce development cost
 - > Easier to decompose the problem if some subproblems are already solved
 - ↳ Tension:
 - > Solving the real problem vs. solving a known problem (with ready solution)
- ⇒ Product Families
 - ↳ Vertical families: e.g. 'basic', 'deluxe' and 'pro' versions of a system
 - ↳ Horizontal families: similar systems used in related domains
 - > Need to define a common architecture that supports anticipated variability

© Easterbrook 2004 9

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Lifecycle of an Engineering Project

- ⇒ Lifecycle models
 - ↳ Useful for comparing projects in general terms
 - ↳ Not enough detail for project planning
- ⇒ Examples:
 - ↳ Sequential models: Waterfall, V model
 - ↳ Rapid Prototyping
 - ↳ Phased Models: Incremental, Evolutionary
 - ↳ Iterative Models: Spiral
 - ↳ Agile Models: eXtreme Programming
- ⇒ Comparison: Process Models
 - ↳ Used for capturing and improving the development process

© Easterbrook 2004 10

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Waterfall Model

```

graph TD
    A[perceived need] --> B[requirements]
    B --> C[design]
    C --> D[code]
    D --> E[test]
    E --> F[integrate]
  
```

- ⇒ View of development:
 - ↳ a process of stepwise refinement
 - ↳ largely a high level management view
- ⇒ Problems:
 - ↳ Static view of requirements - ignores volatility
 - ↳ Lack of user involvement once specification is written
 - ↳ Unrealistic separation of specification from design
 - ↳ Doesn't accommodate prototyping, reuse, etc.

© Easterbrook 2004 11

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

V-Model

```

graph TD
    subgraph LeftSide [Development]
        direction TB
        SR[system requirements] --> SWR[software requirements]
        SWR --> PD[preliminary design]
        PD --> DD[detailed design]
        DD --> CD[code and debug]
    end
    subgraph RightSide [Testing]
        direction TB
        UT[unit test] --> CI[component test]
        CI --> SI[software integration]
        SI --> AT[acceptance test]
        AT --> SI2[system integration]
    end
    SR -.-> SI2
    SWR -.-> AT
    PD -.-> SI
    DD -.-> CI
    CD -.-> UT
    CD --> SI2
  
```

Level of abstraction (vertical axis) and time (horizontal axis).

Annotations: "analyse and design" (left side), "test and integrate" (right side).

© Easterbrook 2004 12

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Prototyping lifecycle

Preliminary requirement → design prototype → build prototype → evaluate prototype → Specify full requirement → design → code → test → integrate

↳ Prototyping is used for:

- understanding the requirements for the user interface
- examining feasibility of a proposed design approach
- exploring system performance issues

↳ Problems:

- users treat the prototype as the solution
- a prototype is only a partial specification

© Easterbrook 2004 13

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Phased Lifecycle Models

Incremental development (each release adds more functionality)

- Release 1: design, code, test, integrate, O&M
- Release 2: design, code, test, integrate, O&M
- Release 3: design, code, test, integrate, O&M
- Release 4: design, code, test, integrate, O&M

Evolutionary development (each version incorporates new requirements)

- version 1: reqts, design, code, test, integrate, O&M
- version 2: reqts, design, code, test, integrate, O&M
- version 3: reqts, design, code, test, integrate

 (Lessons learnt arrows connect versions)

© Easterbrook 2004 Source: Adapted from Dorfman, 1997, p10 14

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

The Spiral Model

Determine goals, alternatives, constraints → Evaluate alternatives and risks → Develop and test → Plan

Cycle 1: alternatives₁, constraints₁, budget₁, risk analysis₁, validated requirements, validated/verified design, system test, unit test, code, implementation plan

Cycle 2: alternatives₂, constraints₂, budget₂, risk analysis₂, validated requirements, validated/verified design, system test, unit test, code, implementation plan

Cycle 3: alternatives₃, constraints₃, budget₃, risk analysis₃, validated requirements, validated/verified design, system test, unit test, code, implementation plan

Cycle 4: alternatives₄, constraints₄, budget₄, risk analysis₄, validated requirements, validated/verified design, system test, unit test, code, implementation plan

© Easterbrook 2004 Source: Adapted from Pfleeger, 1998, p57 15

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

Agile Models

↳ Basic Philosophy

- Reduce communication barriers
 - Programmer interacts with customer
- Reduce document-heavy approach
 - Documentation is expensive and of limited use
- Have faith in the people
 - Don't need fancy process models to tell them what to do!
- Respond to the customer
 - Rather than focusing on the contract




↳ Weaknesses

- Relies on programmer's memory
 - Code can be hard to maintain
- Relies on oral communication
 - Mis-interpretation possible
- Assumes single customer representative
 - Multiple viewpoints not possible
- Only short term planning
 - No longer term vision

E.g. Extreme Programming

- Instead of a requirements spec, use:
 - User story cards
 - On-site customer representative
- Pair Programming
- Small releases
 - E.g. every three weeks
- Planning game
 - Select and estimate user story cards at the beginning of each release
- Write test cases before code
- The program code is the design doc
 - Can also use CRC cards (Class-Responsibility-Collaboration)
- Continuous Integration
 - Integrate and test several times a day

© Easterbrook 2004 Source: Adapted from Nawrocki et al., RE'02 16



- University of Toronto Department of Computer Science
- ## Summary
- ⇒ What is engineering?
 - ⊗ Not that different from science
 - ⊗ Greater awareness of professional responsibility
 - because of immediate scope for harm to the public
 - ⊗ Systems and Software Engineering involve radical design
 - ⇒ Engineering Projects
 - ⊗ You cannot control that which you cannot measure
 - ...and many important measures are derived from initial problem analysis
 - ⊗ Constraints:
 - Is there a customer?
 - Existing system / existing components / existing product family
 - ⇒ Project Lifecycles
 - ⊗ Useful for comparing projects in general terms
 - ⊗ Represent different philosophies in software development
 - ⊗ Requirements evolve through their own lifecycles too!
- © Easterbrook 2004 20