EVAL JATING POLYNOMIALS AT MANY POINTS

A. BORODIN and I. MUNRO

Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto, Canada

Received 30 April 1971

polynomial evaluation

preconditioning

fast matrix multiplication

1. Introduction

Polynomial evaluation is one of the most frequently occurring tasks in numerical computations. In fact, many algorithms entail the evaluation of one or more polynomials at a large number of values. The purpose of this note, is to reflect on this evaluation problem, more from a theoretical than practical point of view. Specifically, we are interested in the number of basic arithmetics $(+, -, X, \div)$ required for a set of specified evaluations. For definiteness, we shall restrict our remarks to polynomials in one indeterminant over the field of real numbers, assuming exact arithmetic. Our discussion could easily be extended to multivariate polynomials and (with some care) to evaluation over the integers. The avoidance of roundoff considerations and the consideration of general polynomials of high degree are the reasons why we disclaim practical significance.

2. Some known results

Suppose we wish to evaluate an *n*th degree polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$ at one point. If nothing is known about the coefficients $\{a_i\}$ in advance, then Ostrowski [5] and Belaga [1] have shown that *n* additions or subtractions (a/s) are required for the evaluation, and Garcia [3] and Pan [6] have shown that *a* multiplications or divisions (m/d) are needed. Thus, Horner's rule is optimal in this regard. These results were extended by Winograd [8] who has shown that the evaluation of *m* polynomials of degree n_i ($1 \le i \le m$) at

one point requires $\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i$ a/s and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i$ m/d operations.

Another way to formulate the evaluation problem is to count only those operations that involve the indeterminant. That is, we can "precondition" or "adapt" the coefficients $\{e_i\}$ without cost $\lim_{i \to \infty} fact,$ we might even allow analytic functions $\mu_i =$ $\phi_i(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$ to occur as parameters in a p.ogram for polynomial evaluation. Thus, we are thing how many operations are required when we are allowed to reexpress the polynomial in something a ther than the standard form. Motzkin [4] introduced this concept of preconditioning, and (incorporating an improvement by Pan [6]) he showed that $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} n \rfloor + 1 m/d$ operations were required to evaluate "mos" nth degree polynomials, even with preconditioning. Belaga [1] showed that n a/s were still required with preconditioning. The important point is that schen es have been developed which nearly achieve these bounds.

The application should be obvious. If one wishes to evaluate a polynomial at k points, where k is "much greater" than n, the cost of p econditioning can be assumed to be negligible, and thus preconditioning affords a saving of approximately $k \times \frac{1}{2}n$ m altiplications. Winograd [8] has also extended these realits to the evaluation of several polynomials.

3. A better scheme for non-iterative evaluation

The preceding remarks lead one to believe that if the number of points is large, preconditioning the coefficients and subsequent evaluations is the best way to proceed. By "iterative evaluation", we shall mean Since all the that the (i + 1)st point is not given until all required and since α

that the (i + 1)st point is not given until all required evaluations at the *i*th point are completed. Such a restriction is, of course, quite common. Looking at the proofs of the preconditioning results, it is quite easy to see that for iterative evaluation the following must hold:

- 1. The evaluation of an *n*th degree polynomial at k points requires at least $k(\underline{l}, n\underline{j} + 1)$ m/d and kn a/s operations, not accounting operations involving only the coefficients. In particular, if k = n, the evaluations require $O(n^2)$ operations.
- 2. The evaluation of m polynomials of degree n_i $(1 \le i \le m)$ at k points requires at least $k(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2}n_i] + 1) m/d$ and $k \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i$ a/s operations, not counting operations involving only the coefficients. In particular, if $n = m = n_i$ for all i, the evaluations require $O(n^3)$ operations.

There are circumstances, however, where all the points of evaluation may be given at once. Problems in approximation theory often satisfy this condition. We should also note that Fourier transforms essentially involve evaluation of *n*th degree polynomials at n + 1points and subsequent interpolation to recover the coefficients. Of course, in this regard, we are able to choose the points of evaluation.

Let α denote an upper bound on the order of difficulty for matrix multiplication; that is, two $n \times n$ matrices an be multiplied in $O(n^{\alpha})$ basic operations. In light of Strassen's [7] fundamental discovery, we know that $2 \leq \alpha \leq \log_2 7 \approx 2.81$. The following observation is made:

3. *n* polynomials each of degree *n* can be evaluated at *n* points $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ in $\leq O(n^{\alpha})$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{0,1} \dots a_{0,1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{0,n} \dots a_{0,n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} \dots a_{n,1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{l,j} \\ \vdots \\ a_{1,n} \dots a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \dots x_n \\ \vdots \\ x_j^l \\ \vdots \\ x_1^m \dots x_n^n \end{pmatrix} = \\ \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,1} x_1^k & \cdots & \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,1} x_n^k \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} x_1^k & \cdots & \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} x_n^k \end{pmatrix}$$

Since all the powers $\{x_j^t\}$ can be evaluated in $O(n^2)$, and since $\alpha \ge 2$, it follows that the required evaluations can be obtained in $O(n^{\alpha}) \le O(n^{2.81})$ operations.

It is perhaps not quite as obvious how one can use fast matrix multiplication for the evaluation of a single polynomial at several points.

4. An *n*th degree polynomial can be evaluated at *m* points $(m \ge \sqrt{n})$ in $\le (m/\sqrt{n})O(n^{\alpha/2}) = mO(n^{(\alpha-1)/2})$. Without loss of generality, we will assume the *n* is a perfect square. Suppose we want to evaluate $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x_j^i$, $1 \le j \le \sqrt{n}$. Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \dots a_{\sqrt{n}} \\ a_{\sqrt{n+1}} \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_1^{\sqrt{n}} & x \sqrt{n} \\ \vdots \\ x_1^{\sqrt{n}} & x \sqrt{n} \\ \vdots \\ x_1^{\sqrt{n}} & x \sqrt{n} \\ \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_{\sqrt{n}} \\ \vdots \\ x_1^{\sqrt{n}} & x \sqrt{n} \\ \vdots \\ x_1^{\sqrt{n}} & x \sqrt{n} \\ x_1^{\sqrt{n}} & x \sqrt{n} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

Let
$$Y = AX = (y_{ii})$$
. Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x_{j}^{i} = a_{0} + y_{1j} + \sum_{K=2}^{\sqrt{n}} y_{Kj} x_{j}^{(K-1)\sqrt{n}}.$$

The evaluation of

$$\{x_i^i|1\leq i,j\leq \sqrt{n}\}$$

and

$$\{x_i^K \sqrt{n} | 2 \le K \le \sqrt{n-1}\}$$

require only O(n) multiplications. Thus the required evaluations can be accomplished in $\leq O((\sqrt{n})^{\alpha}) + O(\sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{n}) + O(n) = O(n^{\alpha/2})$. Therefore, an *n*th degree polynomial can be evaluated at \sqrt{n} points in $\leq O(n^{1.41})$ operations, and at *n* points in $\leq O(n^{1.91})$ operations. In matrix terminology, a row vector $(a_1, ..., a_n)$ can be multiplied by a Vandermonde matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \dots x_n \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ x_1^n \dots x_n^n \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\leq O(n^{1.91})$ operations.

4. Lower bounds and conclusion

It is now appropriate to ask how good are the upper bounds developed in the 'ast section. This question remains open. In the case of *n* polynomials, each of degree *n*, evaluated at *n* points, the best lower bound that we can establish is the lower bound for evaluation as one point; namely, n^2 m/d and n^2 a/s operations. In the case of one *n* to degree polynomial evaluated at *m* points, we can show the following:

- 5. It is not difficult to argue that $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i$, $\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^i a_i$, ..., $\sum_{i=0}^{n} m^i a_i$ requires at least n + m - 1 a/s and, therefore, this many a/s are required for $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x_i^i$, $1 \le i \le m$.
- 5. It is easy to show that there are no non-trivial real vectors **u**, **v** such that

$$\mathbf{u}_{1\times m} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_1^n \\ \vdots & & \\ \vdots & & \\ \vdots & & \\ x_m & \dots & x_m^n \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{n\times 1} \coloneqq \mathbf{0}$$

Using a theorem by Fiduccia [2], it follows that $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x_j^i$, $1 \le i \le m$ requires m + n - 1 m/d operations.

Obviously, there is a considerable gap between the provable lower bounds and the achievable upper bounds. We note that even if it should be the case that c = 2, our method will only yield an $O(n^{1.5})$ upper bound for $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x_i^i$, $1 \le i \le n$ in contrast to the best known lower bound O(n). We conclude with another

related open problem. Is there an algorithm for exact interpolation which requires less than $O(n^2)$ operations? That is, given $(x_0, y_0), ..., (x_n, y_n)$ can we compute the $\{a_i | \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x_j^i, 0 \le j \le n\}$ in less than $O(n^2)$ arithmetics?

References

- E.C.Belaga, Some Problems in the Computation of Poly nomials, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Vol. 123 (1958).
- [2] C.M.Fiduccia, Fast Matrix Multiplication, Conf. Reco.d. of Third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (1971).
- [3] A.M.Garsia, referred to in Knuth, The Art of Company Programming, Vol. 2, prob 38 in 4.6.4. Addison Wesley, (1969).
- [4] J.S.Motzkin, Evaluation of Polynomials and Evaluation of Rational Functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 61 (1955).
- [5] A.M.Ostrowski, On Two Problems in Abstract Algebra Connected with Horner's Rule, Studies presented to R. Von Mises (Academic Press, New York, 1954).
- [6] V.Ya.Pan, Methods of Computing Values of Polynomials, Russian Math. Surveys 21 (1966).
- [7] V.Strassen, Grussian Elimination is Not Communication Numerische Mathematik, Vol. 13 (1969).
- [8] S.Winograd, Cn the Number of Multiplications Necessary to Compute Certain Functions, Comm. on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 23 (1970).