Note's on Schöning's Algorithm for 3-SAT

Monday, April 23, 2007 2:36 PM

In 1999, Uwe Schöning found a surprisingly fast algorithm for finding satisfying assignments of 3-SAT instances. It is a randomized algorithm that runs in expected time $(4/3)^n$.

Assume for now that you are given a collection of clauses, C_1 , ..., C_k , each of which has 3 terms, for which you know there is a satisfying assignment. We will try to find a satisfying assignment by a local search technique. That is, we will start with some truth assignment, and iteratively modify it in an attempt to convert it into a satisfying assignment. For simplicity, we will assume in this lecture that there is exactly one assignment that satisfies all the clauses, x^* . However, this assumption is not necessary.

Let's say that our current assignment is x_1 , ..., x_n . If this assignment does not satisfy all the clauses, then there is some unsatisfied clause, say C_1 . As x^* satisfies this clause, one of the variables on which it depends must be different in x. So, here's a reasonable way to try to modify x. Pick an unsatisfied clause, pick a variable at random upon which that clause depends, and flip the value of that variable. This gives us at least a 1/3 chance flipping a variable in which x and x^* differ. This might not sound like a very good chance, but it is good when x and x^* differ in fewer than 1/3 of their values.

And, it is good enough for the following algorithm to do quite well.

Algorithm 1.

Pick a truth assignment x at random from {0,1}ⁿ

For i = 1, ..., n

Let C be an unsatisfied clause, if there is one.

Choose a random variable in C, and flip its value.

We will show that with probability at least $(2/3)^n$, this algorithm finds a satisfying assignment, if one exists. Actually, we'll do the proof in the case when the satisfying assignment, x^* , is unique. But, the general proof is not too different.

First, assume that our random x differs from x^* in exactly u variables. Note that the chance of this happening is:

$$2^{-n} \binom{n}{u}$$

Now, let's consider the probability that in each of the first u iterations of the algorithm, it chooses to flip a variable in which x and x^* differ. In each step, the probability of this is at least 1/3. So, the probability that it happens in each of the first u steps is $(1/3)^u$. So, the probability that the initial random x differs from x^* in u variables and then corrects each of those variables over the first u iterations is

$$2^{-n}(\Omega)3^{-n}$$

So, the chance that Algorithm 1 finds x* is at least

$$\sum_{u=0}^{n} \sum_{u=0}^{n} \binom{n}{u} 3^{-u} = \sum_$$

This means that the expected number of times we need to run algorithm 1 to find x^* is at most $(3/2)^n$.

To find an algorithm with a better analysis, we'll be slightly less conservative. Instead of asking for the chance that the algorithm finds the solution in the first u steps, we'll ask for the chance that it finds the solution in the first 3u steps. We will say that a step is "good" if it flips a variable in which x and x* differ, and "bad" if it flips a variable in which x and x* are the same. We'll also call a step "good" if the algorithm has satisfied all the clauses. If at some iteration, the number of good steps exceeds the number of bad steps by u, then x* has been found. In particular, if 2u of the first 3u steps are good, then the algorithm finds a satisfying assignment. One can show that the probability that 2u of the first 3u steps are good is at least

By applying Stirling's formula, one can show that for $u \ge 2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3u \\ u \end{pmatrix} \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{5u}} \frac{3^{3u}}{2^{2u}}$$

So, if we define an algorithm 2 that runs for 3n iterations instead of n iterations, the probability it finds a satisfying assignment is at least

$$\sum_{u=0}^{n} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{3u}{u} \right) \left(\frac{3u}{3} \right)^{u} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{u}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{5n} 2^{-n} \sum_{u=0}^{n} \left(\frac{n}{u} \right) \frac{3^{3u}}{2^{2u}} \frac{1}{3^{2u}} \frac{2^{u}}{3^{u}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{5n} 2^{-n} \sum_{u=0}^{n} \left(\frac{n}{u} \right) \frac{1}{2^{u}} = \frac{1}{5n} 2^{-n} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}} \right)^{n} = \frac{1}{5n} \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^{n}$$

So, the expected number of times we need to call Algorithm 2 to find a satisfying assignment is at most $(4/3)^n * (5n)^{1/2}$, which is roughly $(4/3)^n$

To compare this with naïve iteration through all 2ⁿ truth assignments, note that

$$l_{94/3} 2 \approx 2.4$$

So, $2^{\circ} \approx \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{2.4}$

That means that Schöning's algorithm can solve instances with about 2.4 times as many variables as the naïve algorithm.