CSC 373 Lecture 31

Announcements:

Next assignment due Friday, Dec 2; term test 3 on Monday, Dec 5. last class Wed, Dec 7.

Today

- Go over question 2 of assignment
- Finish randomized algorithm for 2SAT and sketch extension to k-SAT
- Start compositeness (primality) testing

Random walk algorithm for 2-SAT

 It is not difficult to show that 2-SAT (determining if a 2CNF formula is satisfiable) is efficiently computable whereas we know that 3SAT is NP complete. We will provide a conceptually simple randomized algorithm to show that 2SAT is computationally easy. The same basic approach can be used to derive a randomized (which in turn leads to a deterministic) algorithm for 3SAT that runs in time [poly(n) $(4/3)^n$]. It is a big open question if one can get time $2^{n}\{o(n)\}$ algorithm for 3-SAT. The best known randomized time bound for 3-SAT is around (1.324)ⁿ.

Stationary distribution

- Fact: If G is not bipartite, a stationary distribution exists for a uniform random walk on graph and that distribution is the vector
 - $pi = \langle (d_1)/2m, ..., (d_n)/2m \rangle$ where $d_i = \text{degree of } v_i$ and m = |E|.
- Can deal with bipartite graphs by looking at two step walks.
- Theorem: (Aleliunas, Karp, Lipton, Lovasz, Rackoff) The cover time $C(G) \le m^* (2n-1)$
 - Corollary: Undirected connectivity in O(log n) space
 - Corollary: Cover time for line graph is O(n^2)

Application to 2SAT

```
RWALK (randomized algorithm to test if 2CNF F is satisfiable)

Choose a random (or arbitrary) initial truth assignment tau

For i = 1 .. c *n^2 (for c sufficiently large)

If tau satisfies F, report that a satisfying assignment has been found

Else find an unsatisfied clause and choose one of its literals ell_i at random. Change tau by flipping ell_i

End For
```

Claim: Let tau^* be a truth assignment satisfying F. Then we can view RWALK as a uniform random walk on a line graph (with nodes 0,1,...,n) that is trying to reach node n where node i indicates that tau matches tau^* in i coordinates.

Better than 2ⁿ for k-Sat

- Schoening utilizes this idea to show that for every k, there is a randomized algorithm with expected time O*(2(k-1)/k)^n for k-SAT.
- The idea is to start at a random tau and analyze the Prob[RWALK will reach tau* | conditioned on the initial tau being r from tau*]

3SAT analysis

- Schoening shows for every k, there is a randomized algorithm with expected time $O^*(2(k-1)/k)^n$ for k-SAT. For 3-Sat, start at a random tau and analyze the Prob[RWALK will reach $tau^*|$ conditioned on the initial tau being r from tau*]. Consider walking 3r steps. Prob of success is at least $\binom{r+2i}{i} \binom{1}{3} \binom{r+i}{2} \binom{2}{3} i$
- This is maximized at i = r so that the Prob of success is at least $\binom{3r}{r}(\frac{1}{3})^{2r}(\frac{2}{3})^r$
- To better understand this bound we need to estimate $\binom{3r}{r} = \frac{(3r)!}{r!(2r)!}$

Finishing the 3-SAT analysis

Using Stirling's approximation for the factorial,

$$\binom{3r}{r} = \Theta\left(\frac{(3^{3r})^{3r}}{\sqrt{r}2^{2r}}\right)$$

The probability then that we reach tau^* in 3r steps is $Omega^*(1/[sqrt\{r\}\ 2^r])$ conditioned on the initial tau being r from tau*. The prob that the random tau will be distance r from tau* is $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{r}{r} 2^{-r}$.

The (unconditioned) probability will be at least

$$\Theta^*(\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_r \binom{n}{r}\frac{1}{2^r}) = \Theta^*(\frac{1}{2^n}(1+\frac{1}{2})^n) = \Theta^*(3/4)^n$$

• Using usual $(1-1/t)^t$ bound with $t = O^*[(4/3)^n]$ shows that we can get constant probability within time $O^*[(4/3)^n]$

Primality Testing

- I now want to turn attention to one of the most influential randomized algorithms, namely a poly time randomized algorithm for primality (or perhaps better called compositeness) testing.
- History of polynomial time algorithms:
 - 1-sided error with prob[ALG says N composite | N prime] = 0; prob[ALG say N prime | N composite] <= delta < 1. Can then repeat.</p>
 - Independently shown by Solovay and Strassen, and Rabin ~ 1974
 - The Rabin test is related to an algorithm by Miller ~1976 that gives a det poly time alg assuming (the unproven) ERH
 - 0-sided error alg (expected poly time) by Goldwasser and Kilian
 ~1986
 - deterministic poly time alg by Agarwal, Kayal and Saxena ~ 2002

 Even though there is a deterministic alg, it is not nearly as efficient as the 1-sided error algs which are used in practice and which also spurred the interest in this topic, had a major role in various cryptographic developments (which required random primes) and more generally became the impetus for the major interest in randomized algorithms.