# **Online Learning**

#### Introduction

- Intersection of Online Algorithms & Machine Learning
- Make decisions with limited information about the past
- Connections to Game Theory, Information Theory

Large scale applications:

- Advertisement placement
- Web ranking
- Online recommendation

# **Classical Machine Learning**

- Batch of training examples
- Separation between training and predicting phase
- ▶ e.g. PAC learning

# **Online Learning**

General Theme

- Regret Analysis
- Prediction from expert advice
- Multi Armed Bandits
- Noisy Models

## **Online Learning Model**

- Sequence of consecutive rounds.
- Learner given a question and is required to provide an answer.
- Correct answer is revealed and learner suffers a loss.

```
Instance domain: \mathcal{X}
Target domain: \mathcal{Y}
Prediction domain: \mathcal{D} \supseteq \mathcal{Y}
for t=1,2,...
receive question x_t \in \mathcal{X}
predict p_t \in \mathcal{D}
receive answer y_t \in \mathcal{Y}
suffer loss l(p_t, y_t)
```

# Learning From Examples

- Sequence of Examples
- Realizability Assumption
  - ▶ All answers are generated by some hypothesis  $h^*: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$
  - ▶ Hypothesis (or Concept) class *H* is known to the learner.

*Goal:* Make as few mistakes as possible assuming  $h^*$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  chosen by adversary.

Other models assume various levels of *noise* (adversarial/random).

#### Mistake Bound Model

Hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$ , online learning algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , integer  $\mathcal{T}$ .

#### Definition (Mistake bound)

Given sequence  $S = ((x_1, y_1), ..., (x_T, y_T))$ , let  $M_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$  be the number of mistakes algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  makes on S. Denote by  $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{H})$  the supremum of  $M_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$  over all sequences of the above form. A bound of the form  $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{H}) \leq B < \infty$  is called a *mistake bound*.

#### Definition (Online learnability)

A hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  is *online learnable* if there exists an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  for which  $M_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{H}) \leq B < \infty$ .

## **Online Binary Classification**

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$$

• Loss function:  $l(p_t, y_t) = |p_t - y_t|$ .

```
Algorithm: Consistent

input: A finite hypothesis class \mathcal{H}

initialize: V_1 = \mathcal{H}

for t = 1, 2, ...

receive x_t

choose any h \in V_t

predict p_t = h(x_t)

receive true answer y_t = h^*(x_t)

update V_{t+1} = \{h \in V_t : h(x_t) = y_t\}
```

Algorithm **Consistent** enjoys the mistake bound of  $M_{Consistent}(\mathcal{H}) \leq |\mathcal{H}| - 1.$ 

## Halving

Algorithm: Halving input: A finite hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$ initialize:  $V_1 = \mathcal{H}$ for t = 1, 2, ...receive  $x_t$ predict  $p_t = argmax_{r \in \{0,1\}} | h \in V_t : h(x_t) = r |$ receive true answer  $y_t$ update  $V_{t+1} = \{h \in V_t : h(x_t) = y_t\}$ 

Algorithm **Halving** enjoys the mistake bound of  $M_{Halving}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \log_2(|\mathcal{H}|).$ 

#### Winnow Algorithm

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class of monotone disjunctions over  $\{0,1\}^n$ .

#### Algorithm: Winnow

Initialize the weights  $w_1, ..., w_n$  of the variables to 1.

Given an example  $x = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ 

if 
$$(w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + ... + w_nx_n \ge n)$$
 output 1

output 0 otherwise.

If the algorithm makes a mistake:

(a) If the algorithm predicts negative on a positive example, then for each  $x_i$  equal to 1, double the value of  $w_i$ .

(b) If the algorithm predicts positive on a negative example, then for each  $x_i$  equal to 1, cut the value of  $w_i$  in half. Repeat

The Winnow Algorithm learns the class of disjunctions in the Mistake Bound model, making at most 2 + 3r(1 + lgn) mistakes when the target hypothesis is a disjunction of r variables.

# **Online Learnability**

- Littlestone's Dimension
- Standard Optimal Algorithm
- VC Dimension

#### Unrealizable Case

- Agnostic learning.
- Competitive with the best hypothesis in  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- *Regret* of the algorithm.

The regret of the algorithm relative to h when running on a sequence of T examples is defined as:

$$Regret_{T}(h) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} l(p_{t}, y_{t}) - \sum_{t=1}^{T} l(h(x_{t}), y_{t})$$

The regret of the algorithm relative to a hypothesis class  ${\cal H}$  is:

$$Regret_{T}(\mathcal{H}) = max_{h \in \mathcal{H}}Regret_{T}(h)$$

Goal: algorithm with regret sublinear in T.

Cover's Impossibility Result

• No algorithm can obtain regret sublinear in T even if  $|\mathcal{H}| = 2$ .

Solution: Randomize

## Predicting from Expert Advice

- Learner has to choose from the advice of *d* given experts.
- Pay cost corresponding to the advice of the expert.
- Competitive with the cost of best fixed expert.
- Randomize choice and get expected regret.

## Weighted Majority

- 1. Initialize the weights  $w_1, ..., w_n$  of all experts to 1.
- 2. Given set of predictions  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ , output 1 if

$$\sum_{i:x_i=1} w_i \ge \sum_{i:x_i=0} w_i$$

output 0 otherwise.

3. Penalize each mistaken expert by multiplying its weight by 1/2. Goto 2.

#### Theorem

The number of mistakes M made by the Weighted Majority algorithm described above is never more than 2.41(m + lg(n)), where m is the number of mistakes made by the best expert so far.

#### Multi Armed Bandits

- Partial information.
- Adversarial or stochastic.
- Exploration-exploitation trade-off.

# **Online Convex Optimization**

- Perceptron algorithm (must define correct hypothesis class)
- Convexification techniques: randomization surrogate loss functions
- Follow-the-leader
- Online Gradient Descent