

# **Predicate Logic: Soundness and Completeness of Natural Deduction**

Alice Gao  
Lecture 17

# Outline

## Soundness and Completeness of Natural Deduction

- The Learning Goals

- The soundness of an inference rule

- Satisfiable set of formulas

- Revisiting the Learning Goals

# Learning goals

By the end of this lecture, you should be able to:

- ▶ Define soundness and completeness.
- ▶ Prove that an inference rule is sound or not sound.
- ▶ Prove that a semantic entailment holds using the soundness and completeness theorems.
- ▶ Show that no natural deduction proof exists for a semantic entailment using the soundness and completeness theorems.

## CQ Choosing concrete formulas

**True/False:** Let  $\alpha$  be a Predicate formula. There exists an interpretation and environment under which  $\alpha$  is true.

- (A) True
- (B) False
- (C) Not enough information

## CQ A set of formulas is unsatisfiable

Suppose that a set of formulas  $\Sigma$  is unsatisfiable.

Which of the following is correct?

- (A) For every pair  $(I, E)$ , at least one formula in  $\Sigma$  is false.
- (B) For one pair  $(I, E)$ , at least one formula in  $\Sigma$  is false.
- (C) For every pair  $(I, E)$ , at least one formula in  $\Sigma$  is a contradiction.
- (D) For one pair  $(I, E)$ , at least one formula in  $\Sigma$  is a contradiction.
- (E) None of the above

## CQ Proving unsatisfiability

We want to prove that a set of formulas  $\Sigma$  is unsatisfiable. See the beginning of our proof below:

Consider any interpretation and environment  $(I, E)$ . Consider two cases.

1. At least one formula in  $\Sigma$  is false under  $(I, E)$ .
2. ...

What is the other case?

- (A) Every formula in  $\Sigma$  is true under  $(I, E)$ .
- (B) Every formula in  $\Sigma$  is false under  $(I, E)$ .
- (C) At least one formula in  $\Sigma$  is true under  $(I, E)$ .
- (D) At least one formula in  $\Sigma$  is false under  $(I, E)$ .
- (E) None of the above

## Revisiting the learning goals

By the end of this lecture, you should be able to:

- ▶ Define soundness and completeness.
- ▶ Prove that an inference rule is sound or not sound.
- ▶ Prove that a semantic entailment holds using the soundness and completeness theorems.
- ▶ Show that no natural deduction proof exists for a semantic entailment using the soundness and completeness theorems.