

CSC2414 - Metric Embeddings*

Lecture 1: Embedding trees into Euclidian space

Notes taken by

Summary: In this tutorial we discuss a result of Bourgain which says that every binary tree can be embedded with distortion $O(\sqrt{\log \log n})$ into ℓ_2 .

1 Introduction

In this tutorial we discuss a result of Bourgain regarding the distortion of the Euclidian embeddings of a binary tree. First let us notice that every tree metric is ℓ_1 .

Theorem 1.1. *Let T be a tree. There exists an isometric embedding of T into ℓ_1^n .*

Proof. The proof is by induction on n , the number of vertices. The base of induction is trivial. Let T be a tree on n vertices and v be a leaf of T . By induction hypothesis there exists an isometric embedding f from $T - v$ to ℓ_1^{n-1} . Define the map g from f to ℓ_1^n by $g(u) := f(u) \oplus 0$ for every $u \in T - v$ and $g(v) := f(w) \oplus 1$ where w is the unique neighbor of v in T . Now it is easy to see that g is an isometric embedding of T into ℓ_1^n . \square

Now let us consider the Euclidian space, ℓ_2 . Let T be a complete binary tree and f be its embedding into ℓ_1^n as it is defined in the proof of Theorem 1.1. What is the distortion of the embedding f when we consider it as a mapping into ℓ_2^n ? It is easy to see that the new distance of two vertices u and v in ℓ_2 is $\sqrt{d_T(u,v)}$. Since the maximum value of $d_T(u,v)$ is $2 \log_2 n$, we conclude that the distortion of f is $\sqrt{2 \log_2 n}$. But this is not optimal. Bourgain in a short paper showed that it is possible to improve this bound to $O(\sqrt{\log \log n})$, and this is sharp.

Theorem 1.2. *Let T be the complete binary tree of height h . It is possible to embed T into ℓ_2 with distortion $O(\sqrt{h})$.*

Proof. Label the vertices of T by $1, \dots, n$, where $n = 2^{h+1} - 1$ is the number of vertices, and denote by $h(i)$ the distance of i from the root of the tree. Consider the embedding $f : T \rightarrow \ell_2^n$ defined as

$$f(i)_j = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 + h(i) - h(j)} & j \text{ is an ancestor of } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

* Lecture Notes for a course given by Avner Magen, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Toronto.

For example if T is a complete binary tree with three vertices labelled as 1, 2, 3 (where 1 is the root). Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) &= (1, 0, 0) \\ f(2) &= (\sqrt{2}, 1, 0) \\ f(3) &= (\sqrt{2}, 0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

First let us notice that the contraction of f is a constant. Consider two vertices i and j of distance d , and the shortest path between them $i_0(=i), i_1, \dots, i_{d-1}, i_d(=j)$. Suppose that i_r is the common ancestor of i and j . Then for $0 \leq k < r$ we have $f(i)_{i_k} = \sqrt{k+1}$ while $f(j)_{i_k} = 0$. Also for $r < k \leq d$, we have $f(i)_{i_k} = 0$ while $f(j)_{i_k} = \sqrt{d-k+1}$. This shows that

$$\|f(i) - f(j)\|_2 \geq \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (k+1) + \sum_{k=r+1}^d (d-k+1)} \geq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{d/2} k} \geq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}.$$

So it remains to compute the expansion of f . Lemma 1.3 below shows that we only need to compute the expansion on the edges of the tree. Let ij be an edge of the tree where i is the parent of j . The two vectors $f(i)$ and $f(j)$ are the same except on the coordinates corresponding to the vertices of the path from i to the root. For any vertex t on this path $f(j)_t = \sqrt{1+h(j)-h(t)}$ while $f(i)_t = \sqrt{1+h(i)-h(t)} = \sqrt{h(j)-h(t)}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(i) - f(j)\|_2 &= \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{h(j)} (\sqrt{k+1} - \sqrt{k})^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{h(j)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1} + \sqrt{k}}\right)^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{h(j)} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{k+1}}\right)^2} \approx \frac{\sqrt{\ln h(j)}}{2} \leq \sqrt{h}/2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1.3. *Let G be a weighted graph and d , its corresponding metric. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space and $f : (G, d) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$. Then the expansion of f is*

$$A := \max_{uv \in G} \frac{\rho(f(u), f(v))}{d(u, v)}$$

Proof. Consider two arbitrary vertices v and w and the shortest path between them $v_1(=v), \dots, v_k(=w)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho(f(v), f(w))}{d(v, w)} &\leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \rho(v_i, v_{i+1})}{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d(v_i, v_{i+1})} \leq \\ &\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d(v_i, v_{i+1}) \left(\max_{uv \in G} \frac{\rho(f(u), f(v))}{d(u, v)} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d(v_i, v_{i+1})} = \max_{uv \in G} \frac{\rho(f(u), f(v))}{d(u, v)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

N. Linial, A. Magen and M. Saks showed that every tree can be embedded into the Euclidian space with distortion $O(\log \log n)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let T be a tree on n vertices. It is possible to embed T into ℓ_2 with distortion $O(\log \log n)$.*

The result is improved by Matoušek.

Theorem 1.5. *Let T be a tree on n vertices. It is possible to embed T into ℓ_2 with distortion $O(\sqrt{\log \log n})$.*