GrowingLeaf: Supporting Requirements Evolution over Time

<u>Alicia M. Grubb</u>, Gary Song, Marsha Chechik {amgrubb, gary, chechik}@cs.toronto.edu

iStar'16 - Sep. 12, 2016



Problem

- Assumptions of early-phase requirements modeling:
 - ➡ all model elements have a value
 - model values are constant
- In reality intentions and relationships in the environments are not constant.

- 1. Is it possible to satisfy *Goal-A* and partially satisfy *Goal-B*? and how?
- 2. How does completing *Task-A* and *Task-B* but not *Task-C* affect the top level goals?
- 3. How do changes in *Actor-A*'s dependums affect the *Actor-A*'s root-level goals over time?
- 4. Which possible scenarios always satisfy *Goal-A* even if *Goal-B* becomes denied in the future?
- 5. Does the satisfaction order of *Goal-C* and *Goal-D* matter?

- Is it possible to satisfy *Goal-A* and partially satisfy *Goal-B*? and how?
- 2. How does completing Task-A and Task-B but not Task-C affect the top level goals?
- 3. How do changes in *Actor-A*'s dependums affect the *Actor-A*'s root-level goals over time?
- 4. Which possible scenarios always satisfy *Goal-A* even if *Goal-B* becomes denied in the future?
- 5. Does the satisfaction order of *Goal-C* and *Goal-D* matter?

- Is it possible to satisfy *Goal-A* and partially satisfy *Goal-B*? and how?
- How does completing Task-A and Task-B but not Task-C affect the top level goals?
- How do changes in Act the Actor A?
 Use Qualitative Evaluation Labels s affect with Forward Analysis and Backward Analysis Guiled in the future?
 Does the satisfaction and the future for a first statisfaction and for a first statisfactin and for a first statisfactin and for a first statisfaction an
 - 5. Does the satisfaction order of *Goal-C* and *Goal-D* matter?

- 1. Is it possible to satisfy *Goal-A* and partially satisfy *Goal-B*? and how?
- 2. How does completing *Task-A* and *Task-B* but not *Task-C* affect the top level goals?
- 3. How do changes in *Actor-A*'s dependums affect the *Actor-A*'s root-level goals over time?
- 4. Which possible scenarios always satisfy *Goal-A* even if *Goal-B* becomes denied in the future?
- 5. Does the satisfaction order of *Goal-C* and *Goal-D* matter?

- 1. Is it possible to satisfy *Goal-A* and partially satisfy *Goal-B*? and how?
- 2. How does completing *Task-A* and *Task-B* but not *Task-C* affect the top level goals?
- 3. How do changes in *Actor-A*'s dependums affect the *Actor-A*'s root-level goals over time?
- 4. Which possible scenarios always satisfy *Goal-A* even if *Goal-B* becomes denied in the future?
- 5. Does the satisfaction order of *Goal-C* and *Goal-D* matter?

- 1. Is it possible to satisfy *Goal-A* and partially satisfy *Goal-B*? and how?
- 2. How does completing *Task-A* and *Task-B* but not *Task-C* affect the top level goals?
- 3. How do <u>changes</u> in *Actor-A*'s dependums affect the *Actor-A*'s root-level goals <u>over time</u>?
- 4. Which possible scenarios <u>always</u> satisfy *Goal-A* even if *Goal-B* becomes denied in the future?
- <u>Does the satisfaction order</u> of *Goal-C* and *Goal-D* matter?

- 1. Is it possible to satisfy *Goal-A* and partially satisfy *Goal-B*? and how?
- 2. How does completing *Task-A* and *Task-B* but not *Task-C* affect the top level goals?
- How do <u>changes</u> in *Actor-A*'s dependums affect the *Actor-A*'s root-level goals <u>over time</u>?
- 4. Which possible scenarios <u>always</u> satisfy *Goal-A* even if *Goal-B* becomes denied in the future?
- 5. <u>Does the satisfaction order</u> of *Goal-C* and *Goal-D* matter?

Contributions

Provide tooling to:

- enrich goal models intentions with dynamically changing evaluation
- analyze the impacts of dynamically changing intentions on decision making

Why another modeling tool?









- Surveyed previous tools
 - Extend their iStar meta-model
 - Add icons/labels on top of intentions
- Web-based tool
 - Framework vs. self-built
 - Multi-view vs. multi-tab

Introducing GrowingLeaf



Introducing GrowingLeaf



Introducing GrowingLeaf



Outline

- Modeling Problem and Tool Justification
- Tool Introduction
- Dynamic Intentions and Analysis
- Tool Functionality
- Discussion and Validation
- Status and Future Work

Modeling Dynamic Intentions



Modeling Dynamic Intentions



Modeling Dynamic Intentions

Stochastic (R)



Modeling Dynamic Intentions

Elementary Functions



Modeling Dynamic Intentions

Denied-Satisfied (DS)



Modeling Dynamic Intentions

Denied-Satisfied (DS)





Modeling Dynamic Intentions

Monotonic Negative (MN)



Common Compound Functions

Denied-Satisfied (DS) the satisfaction evaluation remains Denied until t_i and then remains Satisfied

Monotonic Negative (MN) changes in satisfaction evaluation become "less true" to a *maxValue* at t_i and then remains constant at *constantValue*

Common Compound Functions

Satisfied-Denied (SD)	the satisfaction evaluation remains Satisfied until t_i and then remains Denied
Denied-Satisfied (DS)	the satisfaction evaluation remains <i>Denied</i> until t _i and then remains <i>Satisfied</i>
Stochastic-Constant (RC)	changes in satisfaction evaluation are stochastic or random until t _i and then remains constant at <i>constantValue</i>
Constant-Stochastic (CR)	the satisfaction evaluation remains constant at <i>constantValue</i> until t _i and then changes in evaluation are stochastic or random
Monotonic Positive (MP)	changes in satisfaction evaluation become "more true" to a <i>maxValue</i> at t _i and then remains constant at <i>constantValue</i>
Monotonic Negative (MN)	changes in satisfaction evaluation become "less true" to a <i>maxValue</i> at t _i and then remains constant at <i>constantValue</i>

Modeling Dynamic Intentions



Analysis Strategies

(Strategy 1: Leaf Simulation) create a **random path** given initial states in the model

(Strategy 2: CSP Analysis) create a path given **desired properties** of the **intermediate state** (with optional properties over the initial or final state)

(Strategy 3: CSP History) create a path which is **different than the previously seen path** over the same constraints

Outline

- Modeling Problem and Tool Justification
- Tool Introduction
- Dynamic Intentions and Analysis
- Tool Functionality
- Discussion and Validation
- Status and Future Work

GrowingLeaf - Modeling Demo

GrowingLeaf	Undo Redo	o Clear Save	e Load Zoom I	n Zoom Out	Open as SVG	Export .leaf	Font Size	Model Constraints	Analysis
Stencil					A March 1997				
	11010110				Modellin	ig Relatio	nships		
Goal									
(Task)	• • • • • • • • • •								
(Soft Goal									
Resource									
	3								
	11000000								
(Actor)									
X /									
				. 9 . 9 . 8					
Copyright 2015-2016									
University of Toronto									
All rights reserved.									
Powered by:									
client IO. All rights reserved.									
JointJS: an HTML 5 diagramming component									
http://jointjs.com									

GrowingLeaf - Modeling Demo

GrowingLeaf	Undo Redo	o Clear Save	e Load Zoom I	n Zoom Out	Open as SVG	Export .leaf	Font Size	Model Constraints	Analysis
Stencil					A March 1997				
	11010110				Modellin	ig Relatio	nships		
Goal									
(Task)	• • • • • • • • • •								
(Soft Goal									
Resource									
	3								
	11000000								
(Actor)									
X /									
				. 9 . 9 . 8					
Copyright 2015-2016									
University of Toronto									
All rights reserved.									
Powered by:									
client IO. All rights reserved.									
JointJS: an HTML 5 diagramming component									
http://jointjs.com									



Node name: Positive City Image Initial Satisfaction Value: None

©Α



Node name: Positive City Image Initial Satisfaction Value: None

©Α

GrowingLeaf - Modeling Demo Summary

- Drag and drop interface
- Naming and adding elements
- Loading, saving, exporting, and zooming models
- Resizing label fonts
- Changing initial satisfaction values
- Changing dynamic function types
- Creating User Defined functions

GrowingLeaf - Analysis Demo



GrowingLeaf - Analysis Demo



GrowingLeaf - Analysis Demo Summary

- How to run analysis
- Adjust simulation length
- Types of analysis
- Scrolling through analysis results

Improving Analysis with Constraints

- Undesirable results due to EB ordering
- Add constraints over EB order
 - Adding model links is inappropriate
 - Test relationship before updating the model
- Used on rare occasions



GrowingLeaf - Model Constraints Demo



GrowingLeaf - Model Constraints Demo



GrowingLeaf - Constraints Demo Summary

• Adding constraints between EBs

Outline

- Modeling Problem and Tool Justification
- Tool Introduction
- Dynamic Intentions and Analysis
- Tool Functionality
- Discussion and Validation
- Status and Future Work

Architecture



Design Decisions

- Browser versions and updates
- JointJS data model and constrains

Usability

- Two rounds user testing
- Found issues with
 - resizing
 - 'enter' key
 - 'backspace'/'delete' key
 - selecting analysis techniques
- Further user studies are ongoing
- Built several models and examples

Examples and Case Studies

- City transportation planning
- Network maintenance
- Software supply chains
- Technical debt
- Compliance
- Sustainability

Further case studies are ongoing....

Ongoing Validation

- Evaluate usability / effectiveness with controlled experiment
- Prototype study at this week at iStar and RE
- Please Participate!!

http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~amgrubb/restudy.htm

Where do I get the tool?

http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~amgrubb/growing-leaf

Where do I get the tool?

GrowingLeaf

Click here to be redirected to a live version of the tool.

http://w



g-leaf

GrowingLeaf: is an iStar modeling and analysis tool focused on understanding model evolution and how the evaluations of intentional elements change over time. GrowingLeaf was developed as an extension to Leaf (beta).

Where do I get the tool?



GrowingLeaf: is an iStar modeling and analysis tool focused on understanding model evolution and how the evaluations of intentional elements change over time. GrowingLeaf was developed as an extension to Leaf (beta).

Where do I get the tool?



Where do I get the tool?



Where do I get the tool?

http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~amgrubb/growing-leaf

Join the development team.

Future Work

- Update tool to use iStar 2.0 Language Guide
- External industrial case study
- Improve server connection (security)
- Multiple users to simultaneously edit
- Development for other browsers

Questions?

GrowingLeaf: Supporting Requirements Evolution over Time

GrowingLeaf

http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~amgrubb/growing-leaf

Tool Study at RE'16:

http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~amgrubb/restudy.htm



Alicia M. Grubb amgrubb@cs.toronto.edu