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## Review

confidentiality: only sender, intended receiver should "understand" message contents

- sender encrypts message
- receiver decrypts message
authentication: sender, receiver want to confirm identity of each other
message integrity: sender, receiver want to ensure message not altered (in transit, or afterwards) without detection
access and availability: services must be accessible and available to users


## Friends and enemies: Alice, Bob, Trudy

* well-known in network security world
* Bob, Alice (lovers!) want to communicate "securely"
* Trudy (intruder) may intercept, delete, add messages



## Who might Bob, Alice be?

* ... well, real-life Bobs and Alices!
* Web browser/server for electronic transactions (e.g., on-line purchases)
* on-line banking client/server
* DNS servers
* routers exchanging routing table updates
* other examples?


## There are bad guys (and girls) out there!

Q: What can a "bad guy" do?
A: A lot!

- eavesdrop: intercept messages
- actively insert messages into connection
- impersonation: can fake (spoof) source address in packet (or any field in packet)
- hijacking: "take over" ongoing connection by removing sender or receiver, inserting himself in place
- denial of service: prevent service from being used by others (e.g., by overloading resources)


## The language of cryptography


m plaintext message
$K_{A}(m)$ ciphertext, encrypted with key $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}$ $m=K_{B}\left(K_{A}(m)\right)$

## Breaking an encryption scheme

* cipher-text only attack: Trudy has ciphertext she can analyze
* two approaches:
- brute force: search through all keys
- statistical analysis
* known-plaintext attack: Trudy has plaintext corresponding to ciphertext
- e.g., in monoalphabetic cipher, Trudy determines pairings for $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{o}$,
* chosen-plaintext attack: Trudy can get ciphertext for chosen plaintext


## Symmetric key cryptography


symmetric key crypto: Bob and Alice share same (symmetric) key: K

* e.g., key is knowing substitution pattern in mono alphabetic substitution cipher
Q: how do Bob and Alice agree on key value?


## Simple encryption scheme

substitution cipher: substituting one thing for another

- monoalphabetic cipher: substitute one letter for another
plaintext: abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz $\downarrow$ |
ciphertext: mnbvcxzasdfghjklpoiuytrewq
e.g.: Plaintext: bob. i love you. alice ciphertext: nkn. s gktc wky. mgsbc

Encryption key: mapping from set of 26 letters to set of 26 letters

## A more sophisticated encryption approach

* $n$ substitution ciphers, $M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{n}$
* cycling pattern:
- e.g., $n=4: M_{1}, M_{3}, M_{4}, M_{3}, M_{2} ; M_{1}, M_{3}, M_{4}, M_{3}, M_{2} ;$.
* for each new plaintext symbol, use subsequent subsitution pattern in cyclic pattern
- dog: d from $M_{1}$, o from $M_{3}$, g from $M_{4}$

Encryption key: n substitution ciphers, and cyclic pattern

- key need not be just n-bit pattern


## Symmetric key crypto: DES

DES: Data Encryption Standard

* US encryption standard [NIST 1993]
* 56-bit symmetric key, 64-bit plaintext input
* block cipher with cipher block chaining
* how secure is DES?
- DES Challenge: 56-bit-key-encrypted phrase decrypted (brute force) in less than a day
- no known good analytic attack
* making DES more secure:
- 3DES: encrypt 3 times with 3 different keys


## Symmetric key crypto: DES

- DES operation
initial permutation
16 identical "rounds" of function application, each using different 48 bits of key
final permutation



## AES: Advanced Encryption Standard

* symmetric-key NIST standard, replacied DES (Nov 2001)
* processes data in 128 bit blocks
* I28, I92, or 256 bit keys
* brute force decryption (try each key) taking I sec on DES, takes 149 trillion years for AES


## Public Key Cryptography

symmetric key crypto

* requires sender \& receiver know shared secret key
* Q: how to agree on key in first place (particularly if never "met")?
public key crypto
* radically different approach [DiffieHellman76, RSA78]
* Sender \& receiver do not share secret key
* public encryption key known to all
* private decryption key known only to receiver


## Public key cryptography



## Public key encryption algorithms

requirements:
(1) need $K_{B}^{+}(\cdot)$ and $K_{B}^{-}($.$) such that$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}^{-}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}^{+}(\mathrm{m})\right)=\mathrm{m}
$$

(2) given public key $K_{B}^{+}$, it should be impossible to compute private key $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}^{-}$

RSA: Rivest, Shamir, Adelson algorithm

## Prerequisite: modular arithmetic

* $\quad \mathrm{x} \bmod \mathrm{n}=$ remainder of x when divide by n
* facts:
$(a+b) \bmod n=[(a \bmod n)+(b \bmod n)] \bmod n$
$(a-b) \bmod n=[(a \bmod n)-(b \bmod n)] \bmod n$
$\left(a^{*} b\right) \bmod n=[(a \bmod n) *(b \bmod n)] \bmod n$
$\star$ thus

$$
a^{d} \bmod n=(a \bmod n)^{d} \bmod n
$$

* example: $x=14, n=10, d=2$ :
$(x \bmod n)^{d} \bmod n=4^{2} \bmod 10=6$
$x^{d}=14^{2}=196 \quad x^{d} \bmod 10=6$


## RSA: getting ready

* message: just a bit pattern
* bit pattern can be uniquely represented by an integer number
* thus, encrypting a message is equivalent to encrypting a number.
example:
* $\mathrm{m}=10010001$. This message is uniquely represented by the decimal number 145.
* to encrypt m, we encrypt the corresponding number, which gives a new number (the ciphertext).


## RSA: Creating public/private key pair

I. choose two large prime numbers $p, q$.
(e.g., I024 bits each)
2. compute $n=p q, z=(p-I)(q-I)$
3. choose $e$ (with $e<n$ ) that has no common factors with $z$ ( $e, z$ are "relatively prime").
4. choose $d$ such that ed-I is exactly divisible by $z$. (in other words: ed $\bmod z=1$ ).
5. public key is $\underbrace{(n, e) \text {. private key is } \underbrace{(n, d)}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}^{-}} \text {. }}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}^{+}}$

## RSA: encryption, decryption

0 . given $(n, e)$ and $(n, d)$ as computed above
I. to encrypt message $m(<n)$, compute

$$
c=m^{e} \bmod n
$$

2. to decrypt received bit pattern, c, compute $m=c^{d} \bmod n$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { magic } \\
\text { happens! }
\end{gathered} m=(\underbrace{m^{e} \bmod n}_{c})^{d} \bmod n
$$

## RSA example:

Bob chooses $p=5, q=7$. Then $n=35, z=24$. $e=5$ (so $e, z$ relatively prime). $d=29$ (so ed-1 exactly divisible by $z$ ). encrypting 8-bit messages.


## Why does RSA work?

* must show that $c^{d} \bmod n=m$ where $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{e}} \bmod \mathrm{n}$
* fact: for any $x$ and $y: x^{y} \bmod n=x^{(y \bmod z)} \bmod n$
- where $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{pq}$ and $\mathrm{z}=(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{I})(\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{I})$
* thus,
$c^{d} \bmod n=\left(m^{e} \bmod n\right)^{d} \bmod n$

$$
=m^{e d} \bmod n
$$

$$
=m^{(e d \bmod z)} \bmod n
$$

$=m^{\prime} \bmod n$
$=\mathrm{m}$

## RSA: another important property

The following property will be very useful later:

$$
\underbrace{\mathrm{K}_{B}^{-}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}^{+}(\mathrm{m})\right.})=\mathrm{m}=\underbrace{\mathrm{K}_{B}^{+}\left(\mathrm{K}_{B}^{-}(\mathrm{m})\right)}
$$

use public key first, followed by private key
use private key first, followed by public key

## result is the same!

## Why $K_{B}^{-}\left(K_{B}^{+}(m)\right)=m=K_{B}^{+}\left(K_{B}^{-}(m)\right)$ ?

follows directly from modular arithmetic:
$\left(m^{e} \bmod n\right)^{d} \bmod n=m^{e d} \bmod n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =m^{d e} \bmod n \\
& =\left(m^{d} \bmod n\right)^{e} \bmod n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Why is RSA secure?

* suppose you know Bob's public key (n,e). How hard is it to determine d ?
* essentially need to find factors of $n$ without knowing the two factors $p$ and $q$
- fact: factoring a big number is hard


## RSA in practice: session keys

* exponentiation in RSA is computationally intensive
* DES is at least 100 times faster than RSA
* use public key crypto to establish secure connection, then establish second key symmetric session key - for encrypting data


## session key, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}$

* Bob and Alice use RSA to exchange a symmetric key $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$
* once both have $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}$, they use symmetric key cryptography


## Chapter 8 roadmap

8.I What is network security?
8.2 Principles of cryptography
8.3 Message integrity, authentication
8.4 Securing e-mail
8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL
8.6 Network layer security: IPsec
8.7 Securing wireless LANs
8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS

## Authentication

Goal: Bob wants Alice to "prove" her identity to him
Protocol ap 1.0: Alice says "I am Alice"


Failure scenario??

## Authentication

Goal: Bob wants Alice to "prove" her identity to him Protocol ap I.O: Alice says "I am Alice"


in a network, Bob can not "see" Alice, so Trudy simply declares herself to be Alice

## Authentication: another try

Protocol ap2.0: Alice says "I am Alice" in an IP packet containing her source IP address


Failure scenario??

## Authentication: another try

Protocol ap2.0: Alice says "I am Alice" in an IP packet containing her source IP address


Trudy can create a packet
"spoofing"
Alice's address

## Authentication: another try

Protocol ap3.0: Alice says "I am Alice" and sends her secret password to "prove" it.


Failure scenario??

## Authentication: another try

## Protocol ap3.0: Alice says "I am Alice" and sends her secret password to "prove" it.



## Authentication: yet another try

## Protocol ap3.I: Alice says "I am Alice" and sends her encrypted secret password to "prove" it.



Failure scenario??

## Authentication: yet another try

Protocol ap3.I: Alice says "I am Alice" and sends her encrypted secret password to "prove" it.


## Authentication: yet another try

Goal: avoid playback attack
nonce: number ( R ) used only once-in-a-lifetime ap4.0: to prove Alice "live", Bob sends Alice nonce, R. Alice must return R , encrypted with shared secret key


## Authentication: ap5.0

ap4.0 requires shared symmetric key

* can we authenticate using public key techniques? ap5.0: use nonce, public key cryptography


Bob computes

$$
K_{A}^{+}\left(K_{A}^{-}(R)\right)=R
$$

and knows only Alice could have the private key, that encrypted R
such that
$K_{A}^{+}\left(K_{A}^{-}(R)\right)=R$

## ap5.0: security hole

man (or woman) in the middle attack: Trudy poses as Alice (to Bob) and as Bob (to Alice)


## ap5.0: security hole

man (or woman) in the middle attack: Trudy poses as Alice (to Bob) and as Bob (to Alice)

difficult to detect:

* Bob receives everything that Alice sends, and vice versa. (e.g., so Bob, Alice can meet one week later and recall conversation!)
* problem is that Trudy receives all messages as well!


## Digital signatures

cryptographic technique analogous to hand-written signatures:

* sender (Bob) digitally signs document, establishing he is document owner/creator.
* verifiable, nonforgeable: recipient (Alice) can prove to someone that Bob, and no one else (including Alice), must have signed document


## Digital signatures

## simple digital signature for message m :

* Bob signs $m$ by encrypting with his private key $K_{\bar{B}}$, creating "signed" message, $\mathrm{K}_{\bar{B}}(\mathrm{~m})$



## Digital signatures

* suppose Alice receives msg m , with signature: $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}^{-}(\mathrm{m})$
* Alice verifies $m$ signed by Bob by applying Bob's public key $K_{B}^{+}$to $K_{B}^{-}(m)$ then checks $K_{B}^{+}\left(K_{B}^{-}(m)\right)=m$.
* If $K_{B}^{+}\left(K_{B}^{-}(m)\right)=m$, whoever signed $m$ must have used Bob' $s$ private key.

Alice thus verifies that:
$\checkmark$ Bob signed $m$
$\checkmark$ no one else signed $m$
$\checkmark$ Bob signed $m$ and not $m$ ‘
non-repudiation:
$\checkmark$ Alice can take $m$, and signature $K_{B}(m)$ to court and
Network Securit) (hat Bob signed m

## Message digests

computationally expensive to public-key-encrypt long messages
goal: fixed-length, easy- to"ompute digital "fingerprint"

* apply hash function H to $m$, get fixed size message digest, $H(m)$.


Hash function properties:

* many-to-I
* produces fixed-size msg digest (fingerprint)
* given message digest x , computationally infeasible to find $m$ such that $x=H(m)$


## Internet checksum: poor crypto hash function

Internet checksum has some properties of hash function:
$\checkmark$ produces fixed length digest (16-bit sum) of message
$\checkmark$ is many-to-one
But given message with given hash value, it is easy to find another message with same hash value:

| message | ASCII format | message | ASCII format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOU1 | 49 4F 5531 | IOU 9 | 49 4F 5539 |
| 00.9 | 3030 2E 39 | 00.1 | 30302 El |
| 9 BOB | 3942 D2 42 | 9 BOB | 3942 D2 42 |
|  | B2 C1 D2 AC $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { different messages }- \text { B2 } \\ \text { but identical checksums! }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |

## Digital signature $=$ signed message digest

Bob sends digitally signed message:


Alice verifies signature, integrity of digitally signed message:
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## Hash function algorithms

* MD5 hash function widely used (RFC I32I)
- computes 128 -bit message digest in 4 -step process.
- arbitrary 128 -bit string $x$, appears difficult to construct msg $m$ whose MD5 hash is equal to $x$
* SHA-I is also used
- US standard [NIST, FIPS PUB 180-I]
- I60-bit message digest


## Recall: ap5.0 security hole

man (or woman) in the middle attack: Trudy poses as Alice (to Bob) and as Bob (to Alice)


## Public-key certification

* motivation: Trudy plays pizza prank on Bob
- Trudy creates e-mail order:

Dear Pizza Store, Please deliver to me four pepperoni pizzas. Thank you, Bob

- Trudy signs order with her private key
- Trudy sends order to Pizza Store
- Trudy sends to Pizza Store her public key, but says it's Bob's public key
- Pizza Store verifies signature; then delivers four pepperoni pizzas to Bob
- Bob doesn't even like pepperoni


## Certification authorities

* certification authority (CA): binds public key to particular entity, E .
* E (person, router) registers its public key with CA.
- E provides "proof of identity" to CA.
- CA creates certificate binding E to its public key.
- certificate containing E's public key digitally signed by CA - CA says "this is E's public key"



## Chapter 8 roadmap

8.I What is network security?
8.2 Principles of cryptography
8.3 Message integrity, authentication
8.4 Securing e-mail
8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL
8.6 Network layer security: IPsec
8.7 Securing wireless LANs
8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS

## Secure e-mail

* Alice wants to send confidential e-mail (secrecy), m, to Bob.


Alice:

* generates random symmetric private key, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}$
* encrypts message with $K_{S}$ (for efficiency)
* also encrypts $K_{s}$ with Bob's public key
* sends both $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{m})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ to Bob


## Secure e-mail

* Alice wants to send confidential e-mail (secrecy), m, to Bob.


Bob:

* uses his private key to decrypt and recover $K_{s}$
* uses $K_{s}$ to decrypt $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{m})$ to recover $m$


## Secure e-mail (continued)

* Alice wants to provide sender authentication and message integrity

* Alice digitally signs message
* sends both message (in the clear) and digital signature


## Secure e-mail (continued)

* Alice wants to provide secrecy, sender authentication, and message integrity.


Alice uses three keys: her private key, Bob's public key, newly created symmetric key

## Chapter 8 roadmap

8.I What is network security?
8.2 Principles of cryptography
8.3 Message integrity
8.4 Securing e-mail
8.5 Securing TCP connections: SSL
8.6 Network layer security: IPsec
8.7 Securing wireless LANs
8.8 Operational security: firewalls and IDS

## SSL: Secure Sockets Layer

* widely deployed security protocol
- supported by almost all browsers, web servers
- https
- billions \$/year over SSL
* mechanisms: [Woo I994], implementation: Netscape
* variation -TLS: transport layer security, RFC 2246
* provides
- confidentiality
- integrity
- authentication
* original goals:
- Web e-commerce transactions
- encryption (especially credit-card numbers)
- Web-server authentication
- optional client authentication
- minimum hassle in doing business with new merchant
* available to all TCP applications
- secure socket interface


## SSL and TCP/IP


normal application

application with SSL

* SSL provides application programming interface (API) to applications
* C and Java SSL libraries/classes readily available


## Could do something like PGP:


\% but want to send byte streams \& interactive data

* want set of secret keys for entire connection
* want certificate exchange as part of protocol: handshake phase


## SSL: a simple secure channel

* handshake: Alice and Bob use their certificates, private keys to authenticate each other and exchange shared secret
* key derivation: Alice and Bob use shared secret to derive set of keys
* data transfer: data to be transferred is broken up into series of records
* connection closure: special messages to securely close connection


## Big Picture: a simple handshake

hello
public key certificate

$$
\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{+} \mathrm{MS}\right)=\mathrm{EMS}
$$

MS: master secret
EMS: encrypted master secret

## Big Picture: key derivation

* considered bad to use same key for more than one cryptographic operation
- use different keys for message authentication code (MAC) and encryption
* four keys:
- $K_{c}=$ encryption key for data sent from client to server
- $M_{c}=$ MAC key for data sent from client to server
- $K_{s}=$ encryption key for data sent from server to client
- $M_{s}=$ MAC key for data sent from server to client
* keys derived from key derivation function (KDF)
- takes master secret and (possibly) some additional random data and creates the keys


## Big Picture: data records

* why not encrypt data in constant stream as we write it to TCP?
- where would we put the MAC? If at end, no message integrity until all data processed.
- e.g., with instant messaging, how can we do integrity check over all bytes sent before displaying?
$\%$ instead, break stream in series of records
- each record carries a MAC
- receiver can act on each record as it arrives
* issue: in record, receiver needs to distinguish MAC from data
- want to use variable-length records



## Big Picture: sequence numbers

* problem: attacker can capture and replay record or re-order records
* solution: put sequence number into MAC:
- MAC = MAC(M ${ }_{x}$, sequence||data)
- note: no sequence number field
* problem: attacker could replay all records
* solution: use nonce


## Big Picture: control information

* problem: truncation attack:
- attacker forges TCP connection close segment
- one or both sides thinks there is less data than there actually is.
* solution: record types, with one type for closure
- type 0 for data; type I for closure
* MAC $=$ MAC $\left(M_{x}\right.$, sequence ||type||data)



## SSL: Big Picture summary

hello
certificate, nonce


## Final Exam Prep

# UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO Faculty of Arts and Science 

## April 2016 Examinations

CSC358H1S Introduction to Computer Networks

## Duration-2 hours

No Aids Allowed

You must achieve at least $50 \%$ of this exam or $50 \%$ of the weighted average of this exam and the midterm, to pass this course.

## Student \#

First Name $\qquad$
Last Name $\qquad$

## This exam consists of 7 questions for a total of 50 points.

Write neatly and concisely. If we cannot read it, we cannot grade it.
You will earn 20\% for any question you leave blank or write "I cannot answer this question".

| \#1 | \#2 | \# 3 | \# 4 | \# 5 | \# 6 | \# 7 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 12$ | $1 / 5$ | ! ${ }^{1} / 5$ | "!/8 | "!/8 | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 4$ | $\ldots / 50$ |

## Final exam: questions distribution

$\%$ The structure is similar to that of the midterm.

* 7 questions for a total of 50 points
* \#I (I2 points, 24\% of the exam)
- Mostly concepts from Chapters I, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8
* \#2, \#3 (5 points, I0\% of the exam, each)
* Detailed questions on Chapters 1 and 2 (pre-midterm)
* \#4, \#5, \#6 (8 points, I6\% of the exam, each)
* Detailed questions on Chapters 3, 4, and 5
* \#7 (4 points, 8\% of the exam)
* Detailed questions on Chapter 8 (8.1-8.5)


## Final exam: approach/final answer

* Most questions require to calculate the final answer.
- This is, in fact, good!
- Relatively simple numbers and calculations are required.
- If you end up in complicated calculations, you can conclude that you are probably in a wrong track.
* Also, a final answer with a missing or wrong approach/justification does not receive points.
* Write neatly and concisely, such that you do not lose points unnecessarily.


## Final exam: 50\% rule, difficulty

* Remember: you are required to earn 50\% of the final exam or $50 \%$ of the weighted average of the midterm and final exam to pass the course.
- Example: if a student receives perfect points in all assignments and have collected several bonus points, but has not earned at least $50 \%$ of the above, he/she will receive an $F$ in the course.
* The exam is long \& difficult for students who are not prepared; and, it's fair \& doable in $\sim$ an hour for others.


## Final exam: preparation

* Similar to the midterm;
* In addition to preparation for pre-midterm part (refer to Lecture 5);
* Make sure you understand details/concepts of Assignments 3 to 5, Tutorials 5 to II, reading from the book, and the following problems:
- Ch3: even questions from P2-P40, as well as 4I, 45, and 53
- Ch4: even questions from P2-P40, as well as 43 and 49
- Ch5: P2, P4, PI0, PI4, PI8, P20, P26, P28, P32, P34 and P36
- Ch8: PI-PI2, PI5-PI8, P20-P22
- Reference is the $5^{\text {th }}$ edition


## Last but not the least!

*. If you want to do me a favour: ??

* Thanks and good luck!

