

CSC358 Intro. to Computer Networks

Lecture 3: Web and HTTP App(continued), FTP App, DNS App

Amir H. Chinnai, Winter 2016

ahchinnai@cs.toronto.edu
<http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~ahchinnai/>

Many slides are (inspired/adapted) from the above source
© all material copyright; all rights reserved for the authors

Office Hours: T 17:00–18:00 R 9:00–10:00 BA4222

TA Office Hours: W 16:00–17:00 BA3201 R 10:00–11:00 BA7172
csc358ta@cdf.toronto.edu
<http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~ahchinnai/teaching/2016jan/csc358/>



Review

- ❖ Internet (“service” view) provides a networking infrastructure for software applications that run on different machines
 - such apps run on the top layer of the infrastructure, called **application layer**
 - details of the lower layer(s) are encapsulated to apps
 - i.e., such apps just use services of the lower layer, through the provided interfaces
- ❖ Countless number of applications running on the Internet today,
 - We just review a few: HTTP, FTP, DNS, and P2P

Application Layer 1-2

More network apps

- ❖ e-mail
- ❖ web
- ❖ text messaging
- ❖ remote login
- ❖ P2P file sharing
- ❖ multi-user network games
- ❖ streaming stored video (YouTube, Hulu, Netflix)
- ❖ voice over IP (e.g., Skype)
- ❖ real-time video conferencing
- ❖ social networking
- ❖ search
- ❖ ...
- ❖ ...

Application Layer 2-3


Apps architectures

possible structure of applications:

- ❖ client-server
- ❖ peer-to-peer (P2P)
- ❖ Hybrid

Application Layer 2-4

Client-server architecture



server:

- ❖ always-on host
- ❖ permanent IP address
- ❖ data centers for scaling

clients:

- ❖ communicate with server
- ❖ may be intermittently connected
- ❖ may have dynamic IP addresses
- ❖ do not communicate directly with each other

Application Layer 2-5


C/S: infrastructure intensive



Application Layer 2-6

P2P architecture

- ❖ no always-on server
- ❖ arbitrary end systems directly communicate
- ❖ peers request service from other peers, provide service in return to other peers
 - **self scalability** – new peers bring new service capacity, as well as new service demands
- ❖ peers are intermittently connected and change IP addresses
- ❖ **cons:** complex management, not ISP friendly, security challenges, requires incentive design
- ❖ Hybrid models



Application Layer 2-7

Processes communicating

- process:** program running within a host
- ❖ within same host, two processes communicate using **inter-process communication** (defined by OS)
 - ❖ processes in different hosts communicate by exchanging **messages**

clients, servers

client process: process that initiates communication
server process: process that waits to be contacted

- ❖ applications with P2P architectures have client processes & server processes too

Application Layer 2-8


Addressing processes

- ❖ to receive messages, process must have **identifier**
- ❖ host device has unique 32-bit IP address
- ❖ **Q:** does IP address of host on which process runs suffice for identifying the process?
 - **A:** ?
- ❖ **identifier** includes both **IP address** and **port numbers** associated with process on host.
- ❖ example port numbers:
 - HTTP server: 80
 - mail server: 25
- ❖ to send HTTP message to gaia.cs.umass.edu web server:
 - **IP address:** 128.119.245.12
 - **port number:** 80
- ❖ more shortly...

Application Layer 2-9

Socket: a software interface

- ❖ process sends/receives messages to/from its **socket**
- ❖ socket analogous to door
 - sending process shoves message out door
 - sending process relies on transport infrastructure on other side of door to deliver message to socket at receiving process



Application Layer 2-10

App-layer protocol defines

- ❖ **types of messages exchanged,**
 - e.g., request, response
- ❖ **message syntax:**
 - what fields in messages & how fields are delineated
- ❖ **message semantics**
 - meaning of information in fields
- ❖ **rules** for when and how processes send & respond to messages
- ❖ **open protocols:**
 - ❖ defined in RFCs
 - ❖ allows for interoperability
 - ❖ e.g., HTTP, SMTP
- ❖ **proprietary protocols:**
 - ❖ e.g., Skype

Application Layer 2-11

What transport service does an app need?

reliable data transfer

- ❖ some apps (e.g., file transfer, web transactions) require 100% reliable data transfer
- ❖ other apps (e.g., audio) can tolerate some loss

timing

- ❖ some apps (e.g., Internet telephony, interactive games) require low delay to be "effective"

throughput

- ❖ some apps (e.g., multimedia) require a minimum amount of throughput to be "effective"
- ❖ other apps ("elastic apps") make use of whatever throughput they get

security

- ❖ encryption, data integrity, ...

Application Layer 2-12

Transport service requirements: common apps

application	data loss	throughput	time sensitive
file transfer	no loss	elastic	no
e-mail	no loss	elastic	no
Web documents	no loss	elastic	no
real-time audio/video	loss-tolerant	audio: 5kbps-1Mbps video: 10kbps-5Mbps	yes, 100' s msec
stored audio/video	loss-tolerant	same as above	yes, few secs
interactive games	loss-tolerant	few kbps up	yes, 100' s msec
text messaging	no loss	elastic	yes and no

Application Layer 2-13

Internet transport protocols services

TCP service:

- ❖ **reliable transport** between sending and receiving process
- ❖ **flow control**: sender won't overwhelm receiver
- ❖ **congestion control**: throttle sender when network overloaded
- ❖ **does not provide**: timing, minimum throughput guarantee, or security
- ❖ **connection-oriented**: setup required between client and server processes

UDP service:

- ❖ **unreliable data transfer** between sending and receiving process
- ❖ **does not provide**: reliability, flow control, congestion control, timing, throughput guarantee, security, or connection setup,

Q: why bother? Why is there a UDP?

Application Layer 2-14

Internet apps: application, transport protocols

application	application layer protocol	underlying transport protocol
e-mail	SMTP [RFC 2821]	TCP
remote terminal access	Telnet [RFC 854]	TCP
Web	HTTP [RFC 2616]	TCP
file transfer	FTP [RFC 959]	TCP
streaming multimedia	HTTP (e.g., YouTube), RTP [RFC 1889]	TCP or UDP
Internet telephony	SIP, RTP, proprietary (e.g., Skype)	TCP or UDP

Application Layer 2-15

Securing TCP

TCP & UDP

- ❖ no encryption
- ❖ cleartext passwds sent into socket traverse Internet in cleartext

TLS

- ❖ provides encrypted TCP connection
 - data integrity
 - end-point authentication

TLS is at app layer

- ❖ Apps use TLS libraries, which "talk" to TCP

TLS socket API

- ❖ cleartext passwds sent into socket traverse Internet encrypted
- ❖ Chapter 8

Application Layer 2-16

Web and HTTP

First, a review...

- ❖ **web page** consists of **objects**
- ❖ object can be HTML file, JPEG image, Java applet, audio file,...
- ❖ web page consists of **base HTML-file** which includes **several referenced objects**
- ❖ each object is addressable by a **URL**, e.g.,

www.someschool.edu / someDept/pic.gif

host name path name

Application Layer 2-17

HTTP overview

HTTP: hypertext transfer protocol

- ❖ web's application layer protocol
- ❖ client/server model
 - **client**: browser that requests, receives, and "displays" web objects
 - **server**: web server sends objects in response to requests
 - using HTTP protocol



Application Layer 2-18

HTTP overview (continued)

uses TCP:

- ❖ client initiates TCP connection (creates socket) to server, port 80
- ❖ server accepts TCP connection from client
- ❖ HTTP messages (application-layer protocol messages) exchanged between browser (HTTP client) and Web server (HTTP server)
- ❖ TCP connection closed

HTTP is "stateless"

- ❖ server maintains no information about past client requests

protocols that maintain "state" are complex! ^{aside}

- ❖ past history (state) must be maintained
- ❖ if server/client crashes, their views of "state" may be inconsistent, must be reconciled

Application Layer 2-19

HTTP connections

non-persistent HTTP

- ❖ at most one object sent over TCP connection
 - connection then closed
- ❖ downloading multiple objects required multiple connections


persistent HTTP

- ❖ multiple objects can be sent over single TCP connection between client, server

Application Layer 2-20


Non-persistent HTTP

suppose user enters URL: `www.someSchool.edu/someDepartment/home.index` (contains text, references to 10 jpeg images)



Application Layer 2-21

Non-persistent HTTP (cont.)




Application Layer 2-22

Non-persistent HTTP: response time

RTT (definition): time for a small packet to travel from client to server and back

HTTP response time:

- ❖ one RTT to initiate TCP connection
- ❖ one RTT for HTTP request and first few bytes of HTTP response to return
- ❖ file transmission time
- ❖ non-persistent HTTP response time = $2RTT + \text{file transmission time}$



Application Layer 2-23

Persistent HTTP

non-persistent HTTP issues:

- ❖ requires 2 RTTs per object
- ❖ OS overhead for each TCP connection
- ❖ browsers often open parallel TCP connections to fetch referenced objects

persistent HTTP:

- ❖ server leaves connection open after sending response
- ❖ subsequent HTTP messages between same client/server sent over open connection
- ❖ client sends requests as soon as it encounters a referenced object
- ❖ as little as one RTT for all the referenced objects

Application Layer 2-24

HTTP request message

- ❖ two types of HTTP messages: *request, response*
- ❖ **HTTP request message:**
 - ASCII (human-readable format)

request line (GET, POST, HEAD commands) → GET /index.html HTTP/1.1\r\n

header lines → Host: www-net.cs.umass.edu\r\n
User-Agent: Firefox/3.6.10\r\n
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml\r\n
Accept-Language: en-us,en;q=0.5\r\n
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate\r\n
Accept-Charset: ISO-8859-1,utf-8;q=0.7\r\n
Keep-Alive: 115\r\n
Connection: keep-alive\r\n
\r\n


carriage return, line feed at start of line indicates end of header lines → \r\n

carriage return character line-feed character → \r\n

self-reading to Page 32

Application Layer 2-25

HTTP request message: general format



Application Layer 2-26

Uploading form input

POST method:

- ❖ web page often includes form input
- ❖ input is uploaded to server in entity body

URL method:

- ❖ uses GET method
- ❖ input is uploaded in URL field of request line:

www.somesite.com/animalsearch?monkeys&banana

Application Layer 2-27

Method types

HTTP/1.0:

- ❖ GET
- ❖ POST
- ❖ HEAD
 - asks server to leave requested object out of response

HTTP/1.1:

- ❖ GET, POST, HEAD
- ❖ PUT
 - uploads file in entity body to path specified in URL field
- ❖ DELETE
 - deletes file specified in the URL field

Application Layer 2-28

HTTP response message

status line (protocol status code status phrase) → HTTP/1.1 200 OK\r\n

header lines → Date: Sun, 26 Sep 2010 20:09:20 GMT\r\n
Server: Apache/2.0.52 (CentOS)\r\n
Last-Modified: Tue, 30 Oct 2007 17:00:02 GMT\r\n
ETag: "17dc6-a5c-bf716880"\r\n
Accept-Ranges: bytes\r\n
Content-Length: 2652\r\n
Keep-Alive: timeout=10, max=100\r\n
Connection: Keep-Alive\r\n
Content-Type: text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1\r\n
\r\n

data, e.g., requested HTML file → data data data data ...

Application Layer 2-29

HTTP response status codes

- ❖ status code appears in 1st line in server-to-client response message.
- ❖ some sample codes:

200 OK

- request succeeded, requested object later in this msg

301 Moved Permanently

- requested object moved, new location specified later in this msg (Location:)

400 Bad Request

- request msg not understood by server

404 Not Found

- requested document not found on this server

505 HTTP Version Not Supported

Application Layer 2-30

Trying out HTTP (client side) for yourself

1. Telnet to your favorite Web server:

```
telnet cis.poly.edu 80
```

opens TCP connection to port 80 (default HTTP server port) at cis.poly.edu. anything typed in sent to port 80 at cis.poly.edu

2. type in a GET HTTP request:

```
GET /~ross/ HTTP/1.1
Host: cis.poly.edu
```

by typing this in (hit carriage return twice), you send this minimal (but complete) GET request to HTTP server

3. look at response message sent by HTTP server!

(or use Wireshark to look at captured HTTP request/response)

Application Layer 2-31

User-server state: cookies

many Web sites use cookies

four components:


- 1) cookie header line of HTTP response message
- 2) cookie header line in next HTTP request message
- 3) cookie file kept on user's host, managed by user's browser
- 4) back-end database at Web site

example:

- ❖ Susan visits specific e-commerce site for first time
- ❖ when initial HTTP requests arrives at site, site creates:
 - unique ID
 - entry in backend database for ID
- ❖ Send the cookie info to Susan's browser
- ❖ Susan's browser saves it and uses it in the following requests

Application Layer 2-32

Cookies: keeping "state" (cont.)



Cookies (continued)

what cookies can be used for:

- ❖ authorization
- ❖ shopping carts
- ❖ recommendations
- ❖ user session state (Web e-mail)

cookies and privacy: aside

- ❖ cookies permit sites to learn a lot about you
- ❖ you may supply name and e-mail to sites

how to keep "state":


- ❖ protocol endpoints: maintain state at sender/receiver over multiple transactions
- ❖ cookies: http messages carry state

Application Layer 2-34

Web caches (proxy server)

goal: satisfy client request without involving origin server

- ❖ user sets browser: Web accesses via cache
- ❖ browser sends all HTTP requests to cache
 - object in cache: cache returns object
 - else cache requests object from origin server, then returns object to client



More about Web caching

- ❖ cache acts as both client and server
 - server for original requesting client
 - client to origin server
- ❖ typically cache is installed by ISP (university, company, residential ISP)

why Web caching?

- ❖ reduce response time for client request
- ❖ reduce traffic on an institution's access link
- ❖ Internet dense with caches: enables "poor" content providers to effectively deliver content (so too does P2P file sharing)

Application Layer 2-36


Caching example:

assumptions:

- avg object size: 100K bits
- avg request rate from browsers to origin servers: 15/sec
- avg data rate to browsers: 1.50 Mbps
- RTT from institutional router to any origin server: 2 sec
- access link rate: 1.54 Mbps

consequences:

- LAN utilization: ?
- access link utilization = ? *problem!*
- total delay = LAN_{outbound} delay + access_{outbound} delay + Internet delay + access_{inbound} delay + LAN_{inbound} delay = ?



Application Layer 2-37


Caching example: fatter access link

assumptions:

- avg object size: 100K bits
- avg request rate from browsers to origin servers: 15/sec
- avg data rate to browsers: 1.50 Mbps
- RTT from institutional router to any origin server: 2 sec
- access link rate: ~~1.54 Mbps~~ 154 Mbps

consequences:

- LAN utilization: ?
- access link utilization = ?
- total delay = 2 sec + minutes + μsecs



Cost: increased access link speed (not cheap!)

Application Layer 2-38

Caching example: install local cache

assumptions:


- avg object size: 100K bits
- avg request rate from browsers to origin servers: 15/sec
- avg data rate to browsers: 1.50 Mbps
- RTT from institutional router to any origin server: 2 sec
- access link rate: 1.54 Mbps

consequences:

- LAN utilization: 15%
- access link utilization = ?
- total delay = ?

How to compute link utilization, delay?

Cost: web cache (cheap!)




Application Layer 2-39

Caching example: install local cache

Calculating access link utilization, delay with cache:

- suppose cache hit rate is 0.4
 - 40% requests satisfied at cache, 60% requests satisfied at origin
- access link utilization:
 - 60% of requests use access link
- data rate to browsers over access link = $0.6 * 1.50 \text{ Mbps} = .9 \text{ Mbps}$
 - utilization = $0.9 / 1.54 = .58$
- total delay
 - = $0.6 * (\text{delay from origin servers}) + 0.4 * (\text{delay when satisfied at cache})$
 - = $0.6 * (2.01) + 0.4 * (\sim \mu\text{secs})$
 - = $\sim 1.2 \text{ secs}$
 - less than with 154 Mbps link (and cheaper too!)




Application Layer 2-40

Conditional GET

- Goal: don't send object if cache has up-to-date cached version
 - no object transmission delay
 - lower link utilization
- cache: specify date of cached copy in HTTP request


If-modified-since: <date>
- server: response contains no object if cached copy is up-to-date:

HTTP/1.0 304 Not Modified



Application Layer 2-41

FTP: the file transfer protocol




- transfer file to/from remote host
- client/server model
 - client: side that initiates transfer (either to/from remote)
 - server: remote host
- ftp: RFC 959
- ftp server: port 21

Application Layer 2-42

FTP: separate control, data connections

- ❖ FTP client contacts FTP server at port 21, using TCP
- ❖ client authorized over control connection
- ❖ client browses remote directory, sends commands over control connection
- ❖ when server receives file transfer command, **server** opens 2nd TCP data connection (for file) to client
- ❖ after transferring one file, server closes data connection



- ❖ server opens another TCP data connection to transfer another file
- ❖ control connection: **"out of band"**
- ❖ FTP server maintains "state": current directory, earlier authentication

Application Layer 2-43

FTP commands, responses

sample commands:

- ❖ sent as ASCII text over control channel
- ❖ **USER username**
- ❖ **PASS password**
- ❖ **LIST** return list of file in current directory
- ❖ **RETR filename** retrieves (gets) file
- ❖ **STOR filename** stores (puts) file onto remote host

sample return codes

- ❖ status code and phrase (as in HTTP)
- ❖ **331 Username OK, password required**
- ❖ **125 data connection already open; transfer starting**
- ❖ **425 Can't open data connection**
- ❖ **452 Error writing file**

Application Layer 2-44

DNS: domain name system

people: many identifiers:

- SSN, name, passport #

Internet hosts, routers:

- IP address (32 bit) - used for addressing datagrams
- "name", e.g., www.yahoo.com - used by humans

Q: how to map between IP address and name, and vice versa ?

Domain Name System:

- ❖ **distributed database** implemented in hierarchy of many **name servers**
- ❖ **application-layer protocol**: hosts, name servers communicate to **resolve** names (address/name translation)
 - note: core Internet function, implemented as application-layer protocol
 - complexity at network's "edge"

Application Layer 2-45

DNS: services, structure

DNS services

- ❖ hostname to IP address translation
- ❖ host aliasing
 - canonical, alias names
- ❖ mail server aliasing
- ❖ load distribution
 - replicated Web servers: many IP addresses correspond to one name


why not centralize DNS?

- ❖ single point of failure
- ❖ traffic volume
- ❖ distant centralized database
- ❖ maintenance

A: **doesn't scale!**

Application Layer 2-46

DNS: a distributed, hierarchical database




client wants IP for www.amazon.com; 1st approx:

- ❖ client queries root server to find com DNS server
- ❖ client queries .com DNS server to get amazon.com DNS server
- ❖ client queries amazon.com DNS server to get IP address for www.amazon.com

Application Layer 2-47

DNS: root name servers

- ❖ contacted by local name server that can not resolve name
- ❖ root name server:
 - contacts authoritative name server if name mapping not known
 - gets mapping
 - returns mapping to local name server



13 root name "servers" worldwide

Application Layer 2-48

TLD, authoritative servers

top-level domain (TLD) servers:

- responsible for com, org, net, edu, aero, jobs, museums, and all top-level country domains, e.g.: uk, fr, ca, jp
- Network Solutions maintains servers for .com TLD
- Educause for .edu TLD

authoritative DNS servers:

- organization's own DNS server(s), providing authoritative hostname to IP mappings for organization's named hosts
- can be maintained by organization or service provider

Application Layer 2-49

Local DNS name server

- ❖ does not strictly belong to hierarchy
- ❖ each ISP (residential ISP, company, university) has one
 - also called "default name server"
- ❖ when host makes DNS query, query is sent to its local DNS server
 - has local cache of recent name-to-address translation pairs (but may be out of date!)
 - acts as proxy, forwards query into hierarchy


Application Layer 2-50

DNS name resolution example

- ❖ host at cis.poly.edu wants IP address for gaia.cs.umass.edu

iterated query:

- ❖ contacted server replies with name of server to contact
- ❖ "I don't know this name, but ask this server"




Application Layer 2-51

DNS name resolution example

recursive query:

- ❖ puts burden of name resolution on contacted name server
- ❖ heavy load at upper levels of hierarchy?



Application Layer 2-52

DNS: caching, updating records

- ❖ once (any) name server learns mapping, it *caches* mapping
 - cache entries timeout (disappear) after some time (TTL)
 - TLD servers typically cached in local name servers
 - thus root name servers not often visited
- ❖ cached entries may be *out-of-date* (best effort name-to-address translation!)
 - if name host changes IP address, may not be known Internet-wide until all TTLs expire
- ❖ update/notify mechanisms proposed IETF standard
 - RFC 2136

Application Layer 2-53

DNS records

DNS: distributed db storing resource records (RR)

RR format: (name, value, type, ttl)

type=A

- **name** is hostname
- **value** is IP address

type=NS

- **name** is domain (e.g., foo.com)
- **value** is hostname of authoritative name server for this domain

type=CNAME

- **name** is alias name for some "canonical" (the real) name
- **www.ibm.com** is really **servereast.backup2.ibm.com**
- **value** is canonical name

type=MX

- **value** is name of mailserver associated with **name**


Application Layer 2-54

DNS protocol, messages

- ❖ *query* and *reply* messages, both with same *message format*


msg header

- ❖ **identification:** 16 bit # for query, reply to query uses same #
- ❖ **flags:**
 - query or reply
 - recursion desired
 - recursion available
 - reply is authoritative



Application Layer 2-55

DNS protocol, messages



Application Layer 2-56

Inserting records into DNS

- ❖ example: new startup "Network Utopia"
- ❖ register name networkutopia.com at *DNS registrar* (e.g., Network Solutions)
 - provide names, IP addresses of authoritative name server (primary and secondary)
 - registrar inserts two RRs into .com TLD server: (networkutopia.com, dns1.networkutopia.com, NS) (dns1.networkutopia.com, 212.212.212.1, A)
- ❖ create authoritative server type A record for www.networkutopia.com; type MX record for networkutopia.com

Application Layer 2-57

Next weeks

- ❖ P2P Apps
- ❖ Followed by Transport Layer Protocols
- ❖ A2 is coming up

Application Layer 2-58