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Recall

- Use all resources available to you
  - Before it becomes too late!
- What resources?
  - Office Hours: R 10-12 BA4222
  - The course web page and its many hyperlinks!
  - The CS Help Centre
  - The course forum
  - The TAs mailing list: csc148ta @ cdf.toronto.edu
  - Email ahchinaei @ cs.torotno.edu

- Note:
  - Today, May 26, the Bahen building is among some other buildings that will be closed from ~9pm to May 27, due to a power turn-off. It will NOT affect our lecture though.
Review

- So far
  - Recap of basic Python (see ramp_up slides)
  - Introduction to OO D/P
  - Special methods
  - Manage attributes
  - Introduction to composition and inheritance

- Today
  - Managing attributes
  - More on composition and inheritance
  - Inheriting, extending, and overriding
  - Stack and Sack ADTs
Key terms

- **Class: (abstract/advanced/compound) data type**
  - It models a thing or concept (let’s call it object), based on its common (or important) attributes and actions in a specific project
  - In other words, it bundles together attributes and methods that are relevant to each instance of those objects

- In OO world, **objects** are often **active** agents
  - In other words, actions are invoked on objects
  - e.g. you invoke an action on your phone to dial a number
  - e.g. you invoke an action on your alarm to wake you up
  - e.g. you invoke an action on your fridge to get you ice
OOP Features

- Encapsulation
  - Hiding instance attributes from clients
    - by making them private
  
  - Pythonic way of thinking of attributes is
    - to leave them public
  
  - However, if you wish, you can make them kind of private
    - and use getters, setters, and properties to access them
    - This is useful when you think their implementation can change in future—without changing their interface
Recall the Rational class:

- We had two public attributes there:
  - `num` and `denom`
- Let’s see how we can make them kind of private

```python
class Rational:
    ""
    A rational number
    ""

    Public Attributes:
    =================
    :type num: int
    the numerator of the rational number
    :type denom: int
    the denominator of the rational number
    ""
```
Encapsulation  (by getters, setters, properties)

- Recall the Rational class:
  - We had two public attributes there:
    - num and denom
  - Let’s see how we can make them kind of private

```python
class Rational:
    """
    A rational number
    """
    # Public Attributes:
    # ================
    # :type num: int
    # the numerator of the rational number
    # :type denom: int
    # the denominator of the rational number
```
def _get_num(self):
    # """
    # Return numerator num.
    # :rtype: int
    # >>> Rational(3, 4)._get_num()  # 3
    # """
    return self._num
Setter to manage _num

```python
def _set_num(self, num):
    # """
    # Set numerator of Rational self to num.
    # 
    # :param num: the numerator of Rational self
    # :type num: int
    # :rtype: None
    # """
    self._num = int(num)

num = property(_get_num, _set_num)
```
Getter to manage \_denom

```python
def \_get\_denom(self):
    # """
    # Return denominator of Rational self.
    #
    # :rtype: int
    #
    # >>> Rational(3, 4).\_get\_denom()
    # 4
    # """
    return self._denom
```

Setter to manage 


def _set_denom(self, denom):
    # ""
    # Set denominator of Rational self to denom.
    # :param denom: the denominator of Rational self
    # :type denom: int
    # :rtype: None
    # ""
    if denom == 0:
        raise Exception("Zero denominator!")
    else:
        self._denom = int(denom)

denom = property(_get_denom, _set_denom)
OOP Features

- Encapsulation
  - So, *num* and *denom* are now managed attributes,
    - kind of private!
    - clients *should* not use them directly
  - If you want to make an attribute *read-only*, do not provide the setter for it.
  - If you want to make an attribute really *private*, use __ as its name prefix, but not as its name postfix
Let’s move on to other OOP Features
OOP Features

Composition and Inheritance

- A rectangle has some vertices (points)
- A triangle has some vertices (points)
- A triangle is a shape
- A rectangle is a shape

has_a vs is_a relationship

a shape has a perimeter

- A rectangle can inherit the perimeter from a shape
- A triangle too

a shape has an area

- Can be area of a rectangle (or triangle) easily abstracted to the shape level?
More specific example

- Assume you are reading a project specification which is about defining, drawing, and animating some geometrical shapes …

- For now, assume it concerns only two shapes: squares and right angled triangles.
Square

Squares have four vertices (corners), have a perimeter, an area, can move themselves by adding an offset point to each corner, and can draw themselves.

Right angled triangle

Right angled triangles have three vertices (corners), have a perimeter, an area, can move themselves by adding an offset point to each corner, and can draw themselves.
Abstraction

- Obviously, we need to define two classes
  - Square and RightAngledTriangle
  before rushing to do so, let’s rethink …

- Squares and RightAngledTriangles have something in common:
  - composed of some corners (points)
  - also, some common features (actions) are applicable to them: \textit{perimeter, area, move, draw}

- That can be abstracted to a more general class, let’s call it \textit{Shape}
Shape class

- Develop the common features into an abstract class `Shape`
  - Points, perimeter, area

- Remember to follow the *class design recipe*
  - Read the project specification carefully
  - Define the class with a short description and some client code examples to show how to use it …
  - Develop API of all methods including the special ones, `__eq__`, `__str__`, …
    - Remember to follow the *function design recipe*, just don’t implement it until your API is (almost) complete
  - Then, implement it
from point import Point
from turtle import Turtle

class Shape:
    """
    A Shape shape that can draw itself, move, and report area and perimeter.
    """

    """
    Attributes
    """
    :type corners: list[Point]
        corners that define this Shape
    :type area: float
        area of this Shape
    :type perimeter: float
        perimeter of this Shape
    """

    if __name__ == "__main__":
        import doctest
        doctest.testmod()
        s = Shape([[Point(0, 0)]]

developing Shape API
def __init__(self, corners):
    """
    Create a new Shape self with defined by its corners.
    
    :param corners: corners that define shape self
    :type corners: list [Points]
    :rtype: None
    """
    pass
API, then, implementation

- continue with API of
  
  `__eq__`(self, other)
  `__str__`(self)

  `_set_perimeter`(self)
  `_get_perimeter`(self)
  `_set_area`(self)
  `_get_area`(self)

  `move_by`(self, offset_point)
  `draw`(self)

- then, start implementing it; however …
Shape implementation

- So far, we implemented the common features of Square and RightAngledTriangle

- However, how about differences?
  - For instance, the area of a Square is calculated differently than that of a RightAngledTriangle

- In class Shape, do not implement _set_area; instead, put a place-holder
def _set_area(self):
    # """
    # Set the area of Shape self to the Shape of
    # its sides.
    #
    # :rtype: None
    # """
    # impossible area to satisfy PyCharm...
    self._area = -1.0
    raise NotImplementedError("Set area in subclass!!!")

def _get_area(self):
    # """
    # Return the area of Shape self.
    #
    # :rtype: float
    #
    # >>> Shape([Point(1, 1), Point(2, 1), Point(2, 2), Point(1, 2)]).area
    # 1.0
    # """
    return self._area

    # area is immutable --- no setter method in property
area = property(_get_area)
Inheritance

- So, we developed a super class that is abstract
  - it defines the common features of subclasses
  - but it’s missing some features that must be defined in subclasses

- Square and RightAngledTriangle are two subclass examples of Shape from which inheriting the identical features

  ```python
  class Square(Shape):
      ...
  class RightAngledTriangle(Shape):
      ...
  ```

- Develop Square and RightAngledTriangle
  - Remember to follow the recipes
from shape import Shape

class Square(Shape):
    """
    A square Shape.
    """

if __name__ == '__main__':
    import doctest
doctest.testmod()
s = Square([Point(0, 0)])
... def __init__(self, corners):
    """Create Square self with vertices corners.
    Assume all sides are equal and corners are square.
    Extended from Shape.
    :
    :param corners: corners that define this Square
    :type corners: list[Point]
    >>> s = Square([Point(0, 0), Point(1, 0), Point(1, 1), Point(0, 1)])
    """
    Shape.__init__(self, corners)
def _set_area(self):
    """
    Set Square self's area.
    
    Overrides Shape._set_area
    """

    :rtype: float

    >>> s = Square([Point(0,0), Point(10,0),
                   Point(10,10), Point(0,10)])
    >>> s.area
    100.0
    """

    self._area = self.corners[-1].distance(self.corners[0])**2
A **Shape** is a composition of some **Points**

**Square** and **RightAngledTriangle** inherit from **Shape**

- They **inherit** the perimeter, area, move and draw from **Shape**
- They (slightly) **extend** the constructor of **Shape**
- They **override** the `_set_area` of **Shape**

---

The client code can use subclasses **Square** and **RightAngledTriangle**, to construct different objects (instances), get their perimeter and area, move them around, and draw them

- What other subclasses can inherit from **Shape**?
Final note

- Don't maintain documentation in two places, e.g. superclass and subclass, unless there's no other choice:
  - Inherited methods, attributes
    - no need to document again
  - extended methods
    - document that they are extended and how
  - overridden methods, attributes
    - document that they are overridden and how
Let’s move on to another topic
Stack definition

A stack contains items of various sorts. New items are added onto the top of the stack, items may only be removed from the top of the stack. It's a mistake to try to remove an item from an empty stack, so we need to know if it is empty. We can tell how big a stack is.
A **stack** contains **items** of various sorts. New items are **added on to the top** of the **stack**, items may only be **removed from the top** of the **stack**. It's a mistake to try to remove an item from an empty **stack**, so we need to know **if it is empty**. We can tell **how big a stack** is.
class Stack:
    
    Last-in, first-out (LIFO) stack.

if __name__ == "__main__":
    import doctest
    doctest.testmod()
    s = Stack()
    s.add(5)
class Stack:
    ...    
    def __init__(self):
        
        """
        Create a new, empty Stack self.
        """
        pass

    def add(self, obj):
        
        """
        Add object obj to top of Stack self.
        
        :param obj: object to place on Stack
        :type obj: Any
        :rtype: None
        """
        pass
class Stack:
    ...
    ...

def remove(self):
    """
    Remove and return top element of Stack self.
    Assume Stack self is not empty.
    :rtype: object
    """
    >>> s = Stack()
    >>> s.add(5)
    >>> s.add(7)
    >>> s.remove()
    7
    """
    pass
class Stack:
    ...
    ...
    ...

    def is_empty(self):
        """
        Return whether Stack self is empty.
        ::rtype: bool
        """
        pass

if __name__ == "__main__":
    import doctest
    doctest.testmod()
    s = Stack()
s.add(5)
Sack (or bag) definition

sack contains items of various sorts. New items are added on to a random place in the sack, so the order items are removed from the sack is completely unpredictable. It's a mistake to try to remove an item from an empty sack, so we need to know if it is empty. We can tell I how big a sack is
sack contains items of various sorts. New items are added on to a random place in the sack, so the order items are removed from the sack is completely unpredictable. It's a mistake to try to remove an item from an empty sack, so we need to know if it is empty. We can tell how big a sack is
class Sack:
    """
    A Sack with elements in no particular order.
    """

if __name__ == "__main__":
    import doctest
doctest.testmod()
s = Sack()
s.add(5)
class Sack:
    ...  
    def __init__(self):
        """
        Create a new, empty Sack self.
        """
        pass

    def add(self, obj):
        """
        Add object obj to some random location of Sack self.

        :param obj: object to place on Sack
        :type obj: Any
        :rtype: None
        """
        pass
```python
class Sack:
    ...
    ...

    def remove(self):
        """
        Remove and return some random element of Sack self.
        Assume Sack self is not empty.
        """
        @param Sack self: this Sack
        @rtype: object

        >>> s = Sack()
        >>> s.add(7)
        >>> s.remove()
        7
        """
        pass
```

---

*developing Sack API*
class Sack:
    ...
    ...
    ...

def is_empty(self):
    
        """
        Return whether Sack self is empty.
        :rtype: bool
        """
    pass

if __name__ == "__main__":
    import doctest
    doctest.testmod()
    s = Sack()
    s.add(5)
Compare Slides 33-36 with 39-42

What are the similarities and the differences?
Implementation thoughts

- The public interface should be constant, but inside we could implement Stack and Sack in various ways
  - Use a python list, which has certain methods that can be used in certain ways to be useful for Stack or Sack needs.
  - Use a python dictionary, with integer keys 0, 1, ..., keeping track of the indexes in certain ways to satisfy Stack or Sack needs.
Next

- How Stack and Sack can be abstracted to a more general Container

- More on testing

- ...