



DB2 Autonomic Computing

✓ Goal -- Make DB2 Autonomic ★ The Project: ✓ Multi-Platform (Linux, Unix, Windows, mainframe)

1



- A caders:
 A caders:
 A caders:
 A control Lab: Sam Lightstone, Randy Horman, Mark Wilding
 SVL: Jim Teng (z/OS), Bryan Smith (tools)
 Research: Guy Lohman (ARC), Joe Hellerstein (Watson)
- History:
 Index Advisor prototyped in 1998

Multi-Division (Research, Development)

- Project formed in early 2000
- Previously called <u>Self-Managing And Resource Tuning (SMART)</u> IBM-wide Autonomic Computing initiative Evolutionary: Multi-Release Rollout 1
- 1
- Refn: SMART: Making DB2 (More) Autonomic, VLDB 2002

Autonomic Computing

An Autonomic DB2: What's our Focus?

• Up and Running • pre-purchase capacity planning tools

• Design

4 Charles Arctico 1

- advise on logical and physical design Maintenance • automatic tuning for queries, resources

• automate install and initial configuration

- physical maintenance (statistics collection, reorganization, ...) Problem Determination and Resolution
 - detecting existing, and predicting future
 - user notification
 - self-correcting features
- Availability and Disaster Recovery
 - availability
 - backup and log management

Autonomic Computing

Approach

- LOTS of ideas & prototypes underway!
- Leverage existing infrastructure in DB2 Optimizer's detailed model of run-time environment
 - Monitoring tools
- Workload captured for DB2 Index Advisor DB2 Control Center GUIs, Data Management Tools • Exploit IBM's strength in software research
 - •Tough problems in: Database, Control Theory, Optimization, Operations
- Research, Artificial Intelligence, Operating Systems, Usability. • Get something out there, & improve it over time!
- Where the need is greatest
- Where we have ideas/skills Earn the DBA's trust
- Create tools that speed/simplify/improve DBA's job
- "Free the DBA!" -- DBA retains ultimate decision power
- Longer-term goal is complete automation




Index Selection: The Problem

- Huge number of possible indexes
 - Dependent upon workload (queries) anticipated
 For each query, <u>user</u> has to trade off:
 - Benefits:

- Apply predicates efficiently (save reading entire table) Provide a row ordering needed by query for certain operations Index-only access (avoid fetching data pages) Enforce uniqueness (e.g., primary keys)
- Costs:
- Storage space
 Updating
 More plans for the optimizer to evaluate
- Time-consuming trial & error process to choose the best set of indexes
 Create index (system sorts entire table on key of the index)
 Collect statistics on it (system scans entire table AND all indexes)
 Re-optimize all queries in all apps that might benefit
 See if

 - Index was used
 Performance improves
 Iterate!
- C CLOWN HALL





Index Advisor (DB2 V6) – The Math

Variant of well-known "Knapsack" Problem
 Greedy "bang-for-buck" solution is optimal, when integrality of objects (indexes) is relaxed

For each query Q:

- > Baseline: Explain each query w/ existing indexes, to get cost E(Q) > Unconstrained: Explain each query in RECOMMEND INDEXES mode, to get cost U(Q)

- Improvement ("benefit") B(Q) = E(Q) U(Q)
 For each index I used by one or more queries:
 If query Q used index I, assign "benefit" B(Q) to index I: B(I) = B(I) + B(Q)
 - >Assign "cost" C(I) = size of index in bytes
- Order indexes by decreasing B(I) / C(I) ("bang for buck")
 Cut off where cumulative C(I) exceeds disk budget
 Iterative improvement: exchange handfuls of "winners" with "losers"
- REFN: "DB2 Advisor: An Optimizer Smart Enough to Recommend its Own Indexes", ICDE 2000
 (San Diego), Valentin, Zuliani, Zilio, Lohman, et al.

Autonomic Computing

Configuration Parameters

The Problem:

10

- Almost 150 configuration parameters in DB2 UDB Users didn't know:
 - How to choose the right values Possible interactions between them
 - Had to stop and restart DB2 to have them go into effect
- × Bad for availability, too!

Solution(1):

- Make many configuration parameters dynamic! > No need to stop and restart DB2 to change them
- Not easy to implement, e.g. shrinking buffer pool
- Shipped in DB2 UDB V8.1 (2002)
- Prerequisite to automatically tuning them



Autonomic Computing

Solution(2): Configuration Advisor (V8.1, 2002)

• What is it?


- Sets ~36 configuration parameters key to performance, including: • Memory heaps (buffer pool, sort heap, statement cache)
- Connections (max and average, remote/local)
- Based upon answers to 7 high-level questions • Equations from performance experts relate parameters
- Enhanced in V8.1:

 - Available in V7 as "Performance Configuration Wizard" More sophisticated model in V8.1
 - Easier to invoke via:
 - CREATE DATABASE command extension
 - AUTOCONFIGURE command
 - Better decisions for OLTP and DSS workloads
 - Surprising benchmark results
 - (well-known, industry-standard OLTP workload)

Configuration Advisor: The Questions

- Percentage of Real Memory to dedicate to DBMS
- OLTP vs. Complex query vs. Mixed
- Length of Transaction (typical # of SQL queries per transaction)
- Relative priority of Recovery vs. Query speed
- Number of Local and Remote Connections
- Whether the database is populated or not
- Isolation Level

13



Autonomic Computing


Health Monitoring




- The Problem:
 - How do you know if DB2 is running okay, performing well?
 - What do you do if you do manage to figure out it's "unhealthy"?
 - Too difficult to determine what to monitor and when to monitor it
 - Need to set up monitors, notification & resolution mechanisms
- The Solution: Health Center
 - DB2 monitors its own health right out of the box
 - Notifies user upon encountering unhealthy conditions
 - Advises on severity of condition, and suggests resolutions
 - Initiates corrective action if required, requested
 - Easy installation: just provide an e-mail or pager address
 - User can modify thresholds for notification

alth Center	Health Center Command Center			IN Setty Tressey did Inc.
th Center Street and	Unite Administrative Restance (A	-See Dated	Station.	Alext Type ALARM Health informer Database under Stall retroiter 2018 Party JA
80 84	Cheen Junax - Cerver Alere			
WONDER	Aleri Tya Aleri Tya Aleri Tya	Talue Calegory Shipet/Dane	Parkies ShjeetType Think2	
CIB2	Alass Container Day is moning and of space.		9 Database Arrows 9 Database MTORE	
ARTISAN	A Waving Conferent Terrain moving relial spore.	KIN No Ibba Adam	0 Database MTGBE	
SALES				6
Contra				
				-
	(horman@healthy) /home/he	and a did and health	manufact for DBM	
			anapanot for have	
	Database Mar	ager Nealth Snapshot		
	Node type		- Database Server w	ith local clients
	Instance name Snapshot timestamp		horman 03+27+2002 13+24+	
	phapenot timestamp		03-27-2002 13:24:	51.799160
	Database Manager Health 1	indicators:		
	Bealth Indicator ID		2 (db2.sort privm	em util)
	Value		- 86	
	Evaluation timests		03+27+2002 13-20-	













• Conclusions

19

Autonomic Computing


Design Advisor ("Stinger")

- An extension of existing Index Advisor (V6)
 Headquarters for <u>all</u> physical database design
 Recommends <u>any</u> combination of:


- Reconfiniterius any combination of ...
 ✓Indexes
 ✓Materialized Views (Materialized Query Tables (MQTs))
 >Called Automatic Summary Tables (ASTs) before V8.1
 ✓ Partitioning of tables (in partitioned environment)
 ✓ Multi-Dimensional Clustering (MDC) storage method (New in V8.1)
 Takes interactions of these into consideration
- Status:
- ✓ Coming soon ("Stinger")!
- ✓ Beta testing on customer databases now!

Beta testing on customer databases now!
 Instruction of the second seco













Autonomic Computing Design Advisor: Partition Advisor Scope: • DB2 "partitioned environment" (was called EEE prior to V8.1) • "Shared-nothing" parallelism • Data stored horizontally <u>partitioned</u> • in a partition group, spread across specified partitions • Based upon hashing of partitioning roup • Need to co-locate similar values for joins, aggregation in queries • Partitioning required for a given table may be different • Even within a query (joined on different columns)! • Problem: What is <u>optimal</u> partitioning for each table, given: • Workload of queries • Statistics on database • Statistics on database Reference: "Automating Physical Database Design in a Parallel Database", ACM SIGMOD 2002 (Madison, WI, June 2002)





Autonomic Computing	IBI
Automating Statistics Collection:	
Problem:	
>Optimizer requires that statistics on database be	
 Up to date (after updates) 	
- Complete (multi-column)	
➤User must invoke RUNSTATS	
Solution: Automate RUNSTATS	
Invocation scheduled and prioritized	
Run silently as a background daemon	
 Throttled based upon workload 	
> LEO the LEarning Optimizer determines which statistics needed	
- Based upon learning from past queries	
 Groups of columns 	
 Enables correlation detection 	
- Communicated to RUNSTATS via statistical "profiles" Shipping in DB2 "Stinger"	
 Refn: "Automated Statistics Collection in DB2 Stinger", VLDB 2004 	
25	© 2004 IBM Corporation




Autonomic Computing **LEO Motivation**


Cost depends heavily on number of rows processed (cardinality)
 Optimizer's model limited by simplifying assumptions

- Especially due to statistical correlation between columns
 EXAMPLE: WHERE Make = 'Honda' AND Model = 'Accord'
- Impossible to know a priori which columns are correlated!


- Why not use <u>actual</u> results from <u>executed</u> queries to
 Validate statistics and assumptions
 Advise when/how to run expensive statistics collection
 - Gather statistics that reflect the workload
 - Repair the model for optimizing "similar" future queries
- Could achieve <u>automatically</u> + Better quality plans
 - + Reduced customer tuning & administration time
- + Reduced IBM support time Part of Automated RUNSTATS in "Stinger"
































SBCR _10	mputing	SUGR	_ [] ×
action row Ingeland as	Progressive Optimization (POP)		-cier
HERE (20) F VERCO METE (2) METE (2) DEBUL (20)(2)	CHECKpoints for cardinality estimates at TEMP tables Pre-computed validity range for this plan	(199(2)) (199(2)) (199(1)) (199(1)) (199(2)) (199(2))	
storvall organization section	 When check <u>fails</u>, Treat partial results as MQTs 	FIFEIR)	
huters)	Replace <u>estimated</u> cardinality with <u>actual</u> for the MQTs	STRACT)	
	Re-optimize the currently running query	rEmiteri Somotol	FILTERIEZ
Merzizal Merzizal Merzizal Merzizal	► <u>Reuse</u> results from partial execution	-	Sow231
CENTER C	Refn: "Robust Query Processing through Progressive Optimization". ACM SIGMOD 2004	THE	EREISI

Conclusions & Future Directions

- Autonomic features of DB2:
 - Key to lowering Total Cost of Ownership
 - A major DB2 differentiator
 - Now in DB2 are the "tip of the iceberg"!
 - Many more on the way in technology stream from
 Development
 - Research
 - Universities
 - Rollout prioritized by Customers ("Free the DBAs!")
 - Beginning to integrate IBM components autonomically
 - Ultimate goal is complete automation!

