

# 1 List Coloring in Bipartite Graphs

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph. We say that  $G$  is  $k$ -list-colorable if for every assignment of  $k$  colors  $S(v)$  to every  $v \in V$ , there exists a valid coloring  $\chi$  such that  $\chi(v) \in S(v)$ <sup>1</sup>. The list-coloring number (aka correspondence number) of  $G$ , denoted  $\chi_\ell(G)$  is the minimum  $k$  for which  $G$  is  $k$ -list-colorable.

In the following, we explore a collection of list-coloring results pertaining to bipartite graphs.

## 1.1 Dinitz Conjecture

**Conjecture 1.** (Dinitz Conjecture.) *For every  $n \times n$  grid, if every cell  $(i, j)$  was assigned  $n$  colors  $S(i, j)$ , does there exist a valid coloring  $\chi$  such that  $\chi(i, j) \in S(i, j)$  with every color appearing at most once in every row or column.*

The quite ingenious proof that such a coloring exists is due to Galvin (1995). He made use of two previously known results: (1) a list-colorability result due to Janssen (1992) and (2) the Gale-Shapely stable-matching algorithm.

First let us translate the statement using the language of list-coloring. Observe that the  $n \times n$  grid has associated adjacency matrix  $K_{n,n}$ . Next the color of each cell, i.e. edge  $e$  in  $K_{n,n}$ , is restricted by those cells in the same row and column, i.e. all edges incident to same endpoints of  $e$ . Let  $L_G$  be the line graph of  $G$ . The vertices of  $L_G$  are the edges of  $G$  and there exists an edge between  $(i, j)$  and  $(i', j')$  in  $L_G$  iff  $i = i'$  or  $j = j'$ . Thus Conjecture 1 asks:  $\chi_\ell(L_{K_{n,n}}) \leq n$ ? Observe that  $\chi_\ell(L_{K_{n,n}}) \geq \chi(L_{K_{n,n}}) = n$  so in-fact  $\chi_\ell(L_{K_{n,n}}) = \chi(L_{K_{n,n}}) = n$ .

First some definitions which will be useful in Lemma 2. For every subset  $A \subset V$ , let  $G_A$  be the induced subgraph of  $A$  in  $G$ . Let  $\vec{G}$  be a directed graph whose underlying undirected graph is  $G$ . For every vertex  $v$ , let  $\deg^+(v)$  and  $\deg^-(v)$  be the out- and in-degrees of  $v$  in  $\vec{G}$  respectively. A *kernel* of  $\vec{G}$  is a subset  $K \subset V$  such that (1)  $K$  is an independent set and (2) for every vertex  $v \in V \setminus K$ , there exists a  $u \in K$  such that  $vu$  is a directed edge.

**Lemma 2.** (Kernel of Induced Subgraphs and List Colorability.) *If every vertex  $v$  of  $\vec{G}$  is assigned a set of colors  $S(v)$  such that  $|S(v)| \geq \deg^+(v) + 1$  and every induced subgraph of  $\vec{G}$  has a kernel, then  $G$  there exists a list-coloring with color-list  $S(v)$  for each  $v$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on the number of vertices in  $G$ . There is nothing to show when  $|V| = 1$ . Suppose the lemma is true for all graphs with  $|V| = k$ . Pick a graph on  $k + 1$  vertices. Let  $c$  be a color in  $S(v)$  for some  $v$ . Further let  $A_c = \{v : c \in S(v)\}$  i.e. the set of vertices with color set containing  $c$ . By assumption,  $G_{A_c}$  has a kernel  $K$ . Color every vertex of  $K$  color  $c$ . This is possible since  $K$  is an independence set. Note that for all vertices  $v \in A_c \setminus K$ ,  $S(v) \setminus c \geq \deg^+(v)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>You might wonder why we do not specify the total number of colors  $C$  available. This is because  $C$  is implicitly taken to be arbitrarily large. Note however that there should be a valid coloring for *every* assignment of  $S(v)$ , thus  $|C|$  can be taken small enough so that the  $k$ -list-coloring is realized.

Further the number of colors available on every  $u \notin A_c$  did not change so the condition holds for all vertices not in  $K$ . Thus we can remove the vertices of  $K$  and apply the induction hypothesis on the remaining vertices.  $\square$

The road-map for proving Dinitz' Conjecture is clear: find an orientation of  $L_{K_{n,n}}$  such that  $\deg^+(v) \leq n - 1$  for all  $v \in V$  and every induced subgraph has a kernel.

The orientation  $L_{K_{n,n}}$  will be inspired by an  $n \times n$  Latin square; these are  $n \times n$  matrices such that the numbers 1 through  $n$  appears exactly once in every row and column (think Sudoku without the block constraints). Every  $n \times n$  matrix has a corresponding Latin square; simply let each row be a cyclic permutation of  $(1, \dots, n)$ . Now we extract an orientation as follows. We have directed edge  $(i, j) \rightarrow (i, j')$  if  $j < j'$  and directed edge  $(i, j) \rightarrow (i', j)$  if  $i > i'$  (edges are directed from small to large along the rows and large to small along the columns).

First we observe that the out-degree of each vertex is exactly  $n - 1$ . In particular if entry  $(i, j)$  of the Latin square is  $k$  then the out-degree of  $(i, j)$  to the vertices in the same row is exactly  $n - k$  and in the same column is exactly  $k - 1$ .

Thus it remains to show that every induced subgraph of this orientation of  $L_{K_{n,n}}$  has a kernel. For this, recall that every bipartite graph has a stable matching by the Gale-Shapely algorithm. That is a matching  $M$  such that for every  $uv \notin M$ , either  $M(u) > v$  or  $M(v) > u$  in the preference list of  $u$  and  $v$  respectively. Consider an induced subgraph in our orientation of  $L_{K_{n,n}}$ . We claim that a stable matching in the underlying undirected graph is a kernel in the induced subgraph. We define the preference list for each vertex in the natural way: in every row (resp. column) the larger (resp. smaller) numbers in the corresponding entries of the Latin Square is more preferable. To see that the stable matching is indeed a kernel, note that (1) the edges in a matching have distinct endpoints and (2) for every  $uv$  not in the matching, it must be the case that there exists an edge  $u'v$  or  $uv'$  where  $u'$  and  $v'$  are endpoints of edges in the matching.

This proof can be extended to show that the line graph of any bipartite graph  $G$  satisfies  $\chi_\ell(L_G) = \chi_\ell(G)$ . A well know open problem asks if this is true for general graphs  $G$ .

## 1.2 Lowerbound on List Coloring Number as a Function of Degree

This does not really pertain only to bipartite graphs, but it is suspected to be tight for bipartite graphs since it is known that  $\chi_\ell(K_{d,d}) = (1 + o(1)) \log d$ .

**Theorem 3.** (Lowerbound on List Coloring Base on Degree.) *For graph  $G$  with minimum degree at least  $d$ , the list-coloring number satisfies  $\chi_\ell(G) > s$  if*

$$d > s^6 2^{2s}. \tag{1}$$

**Corollary 4.** *For a simple graph  $G$  with minimum degree  $d$ ,  $\chi_\ell(G) \geq (1/2 + o(1)) \log_2 d$  with constant of multiplicity off by at most 2.*

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We are going to assign color-lists of size  $s$  to each vertices in  $G$  from among  $S = \{1, \dots, s^2\}$  different colors. We will pay particular attention to two sets of vertices  $A$  and  $B$ . Each vertex of  $G$  will be added to  $B$  with probability  $1/\sqrt{d}$ . For every vertex  $b \in B$ , we will assign it a color-list  $S(b)$  from among the  $\binom{s^2}{s}$  sets of size  $s$  from  $S$  uniformly at random. A vertex  $v \in A$  if: (1)  $v \notin B$  and (2) for every subset  $T \subset S$  of size  $\lceil s^2/2 \rceil$  there exists some neighbour  $b \in B$  of  $v$  such that  $S(b) \subset T$ .

**Probability that  $v \notin A$ :** Condition on whether or not  $v \in B$ . If  $v \in B$ , then  $v \notin A$ . This occurs with probability  $1/\sqrt{d}$ . Conversely, if  $v \notin B$ , then  $v \notin A$  if for every one of the  $\binom{s^2}{\lceil s^2/2 \rceil}$  sets  $T$ , it must be the case that  $u \in N(v)$  is either not in  $B$  or in  $B$  and has set  $S(u) \not\subset T$ . Thus we have

$$\mathbb{P}[v \notin A] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \binom{s^2}{\lceil s^2/2 \rceil} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \frac{\lceil s^2/2 \rceil \cdot (\lceil s^2/2 \rceil - 1) \cdots (\lceil s^2/2 \rceil - s + 1)}{s^2 \cdot (s^2 - 1) \cdots (s^2 - s + 1)}\right)^d.$$

By Stirling's approximation, we have

$$\binom{s^2}{\lceil s^2/2 \rceil} \leq \frac{2^{s^2}}{\sqrt{\lceil s^2/2 \rceil}} \leq \frac{2^{s^2}}{4}.$$

We can bound the probability that  $S(b) \subset T$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[S(b) \subset T] &= \frac{\lceil s^2/2 \rceil \cdot (\lceil s^2/2 \rceil - 1) \cdots (\lceil s^2/2 \rceil - s + 1)}{s^2 \cdot (s^2 - 1) \cdots (s^2 - s + 1)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^s} \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{s^2 - 2i}{s^2 - i} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^s} \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{s^2 - i}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^s} \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} i}{s^2 - s}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^{s+1}} \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows by removing the ceilings, and the second inequality on (line 4) can be seen by consider the coefficient of  $x$  in  $\prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \left(1 - \frac{ix}{s^2 - i}\right)$ . Thus  $\mathbb{P}[v \notin A]$  can be bounded as

$$\mathbb{P}[v \in A] \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} + \frac{2^{s^2}}{4} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}2^{s+1}}\right)^d \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} + \frac{2^{s^2}}{4} \left(\exp \sqrt{d}/2^{s+1}\right) < \frac{1}{2}$$

by our choice of  $s$  in 1.

**Finding a Set of Color-List Which has no Proper Coloring.** Let  $X_A$  and  $X_B$  be the random variables counting the number of vertices in  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Since the  $\mathbb{P}[v \notin A] \leq 1/4$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[n - |X_A|] < n/4$ . By Markov inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}[n - |X_A| > n/2] < \frac{1}{2} \text{ and thus } \mathbb{P}[|X_A| > n/2] > 1/2.$$

Similarly,  $\mathbb{E}[|X_B|] = n/\sqrt{d}$  so

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_B| > 2n/\sqrt{d}] < \frac{1}{2} \text{ and thus } \mathbb{P}[|X_B| \leq 2n/\sqrt{d}] > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Together, there exists some random choice of  $B$  and list-colors  $S(b)$  for  $b \in B$  such that simultaneously  $|X_A| > n/2$  and  $|X_B| \leq 2n/\sqrt{d}$ . In the following, fix such a set  $B$  and list-colors  $S(b)$ .

We randomly assign color-lists  $S(a)$  to vertices in  $A$  and show that there exists a random assignment such that vertices in  $A \cup B$  cannot be properly list-colored. Consider any coloring  $c(b)$  for the vertices in  $B$ . There are  $s^{|B|}$  such colorings. For an  $a \in A$ , let  $T_a = \cup_{b \in N(a), b \in B} c(b)$  be the set of colors on the neighbours of  $a$  in  $B$ . If  $S(a) \subset T_a$  then  $a$  cannot be properly colored. In order for this to happen, we will show that  $T_a$  is large. Remember that  $a \in A$  since for every  $T \subset S$  of size  $\lceil s^2/2 \rceil$  there exists some  $b \in N(a) \cap B$  such that  $S(b) \subset T$ . Since  $S(b) \subset T$ ,  $c(b) \in T$ . Thus there cannot be a set  $T$  of  $\lceil s^2/2 \rceil$  colors such that no  $c(b) \in T$ . This also means that  $|T_a| \geq \lceil s^2/2 \rceil$ .

Finally let us calculate the probability  $a \in A$  can be properly colored. Note that

$$\mathbb{P}[S(a) \subset T_a] = \frac{\lceil s^2/2 \rceil \cdot (\lceil s^2/2 \rceil - 1) \cdots (\lceil s^2/2 \rceil - s + 1)}{s^2 \cdot (s^2 - 1) \cdots (s^2 - s + 1)} \geq \frac{1}{2^{s+1}}$$

as above. Since there are at least  $n/2$  vertices in  $A$  and they are all independent, the expected number colorings which results in a valid coloring for all  $a \in A$  (denoted  $Y_A$ ) is

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_A] \leq e^{|B|} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s+1}}\right)^{n/2} \leq e^{\frac{2n}{\sqrt{d}} - \frac{n}{2^{s+1}}} < 1$$

by our choice of  $s$  from  $s$  in 1. Thus there exists some random choice of  $B$  and the color-lists  $S(b)$  such that there exists some  $a \in A$  such that  $S(b) \subset T_a$  for every coloring  $c(b)$  with  $c(b) \in S(b)$ .  $\square$