

Abstract

- Software Development has traditionally been implementation-driven in the sense that the programming paradigm of the day (structured programming, object-oriented programming) dictated the design and requirements analysis techniques widely used (structured analysis and design, object-oriented analysis and design respectively).
- We speculate on what a software development methodology might look like if it was founded on early requirements analysis concepts and techniques. For our purposes, we adopt *i** [Yu94] as modeling framework. *i** supports concepts such as those of actor, agent, position and role, also resource, task and goaldependencies among actors. The presentation suggests elements of late requirements analysis, architectural and detailed design through examples, and notes a number of areas where such a methodology might break new ground with respect to traditional software development techniques, as well as agent-oriented programming.

© 1999 John Mylopoulos

Software Development Techniques

- Software development techniques offer concepts, tools and methods for building software systems.
- Traditionally, such techniques have been implementation-driven.
- This means that the programming paradigm of the day dictated the design and requirements paradigms.
- So, structured programming led to structured design and structured (requirements) analysis, while object-oriented programming led to object-oriented design and analysis.
- Aligning the paradigms used for requirements, design and implementation makes perfect sense. But why start with implementation?

<u>What would requirements-driven</u> software development look like??

© 1999 John Mylopoulos





- + Early requirements amount to the definition of a search space ("scoping") and a search among alternatives within that space.
- + Late requirements amount to refining, disambiguating and completing the description of the chosen alternative.
- Structured and object-oriented analyses are OK for late ÷ requirements.
- **Goal-oriented analysis** is more appropriate for early requirements ÷ analysis because it focuses on the definition and exploration of a space of alternatives

© 1999 John Mylopoulos

Requirements-Driven Software Development -- 5





















- The system is now represented as one or more actors which participate in a strategic dependency model.
- Resource, task and softgoal dependencies correspond naturally to functional and non-functional requirements.
- Leaving (some) goal dependencies between software system actors and other actors is a novelty. Traditionally, functional goals are "operationalized" during late requirements, and quality softgoals are either operationalized or "metricized".
- Leaving goal dependencies with system actors as dependees makes sense whenever there is a foreseeable need for flexibility in the performance of a task on the part of the system.

Requirements-Driven Software Development -- 15

© 1999 John Mylopoulos















Implementing Agent-Oriented Software

- Agents are implemented using some agent-oriented implementation platform. Such platforms offer a communication protocol, possibly a negotiation protocol, perhaps some generic planning facility, a generic agent architecture, knowledge base management facilities, and more [Wickler99].
- If there are dangling goal dependencies, I.e., goal dependencies for which no one has undertaken the responsibility to fulfill, build into the responsible agent skills for meeting these goals.
 - E.g., a communication goal might be met through repeated email, asking a third party to communicate etc.
- If there are dangling softgoal dependencies, build into the responsible agent skills for addressing such softgoals.
 - E.g., a security agent would have a number of ways of meeting security goals

Requirements-Driven Software Development -- 23

© 1999 John Mylopoulos

A Multi-Perspective View of Software * We are working towards an agent-oriented software development methodology, founded on the key concepts of actor goal (goal task, resource) dependency, etc. Software is viewed from four perspectives: • Organizational -- who are the relevant actors, what do they want? What are their obligations? ... capabilities?? • Intentional -- what are the relevant goals and how they interrelate? How are they being met? ... by whom?? • Process-oriented -- what are the relevant business/computer processes? Who is responsible for what? • Object-oriented -- relevant objects We have focused on organizational and intentional perspectives because they are novel. For the others we propose to use UMLtype modelling techniques. Requirements-Driven Software Development -- 24 © 1999 John Mylopoulos

From Diagrams to Formal Specs

- Diagrams are not complete nor formal as software specifications.
- We propose to offer three levels of software modelling:
 - Diagrams, as discussed
 - Partially formal annotations, to complement diagrammatic notations, e.g., annotations maty specify that some obligation takes precedence over another
 - Formal specs, using some form of logic, which are amenable to analysis
- Diagrams are great for communication, partially formal annotations can help in defining some forms of analysis, formal specs can serve as foundation for a range of analysis techniques, including proofs of correctness, process simulation, goal analysis etc.

© 1999 John Mylopoulos

Requirements-Driven Software Development -- 25

Tropos

- A research project whose aim is to develop a software development methodology for agent-oriented systems.
- The list of participants includes Eric Yu (University of Toronto), Yves Lesperance (York University), also Alex Borgida (Rutgers), Matthias Jarke and Gerhard Lakemeyer (Technical University of Aachen)
- The concepts of *i** will be embedded in a modeling framework which also supports generalization, aggregation, classification and contexts. Some elements of UML will be adopted as well for modeling object and process perspectives.

© 1999 John Mylopoulos



From a Software Engineering perspective, this proposal, however speculative, has advantages:

- Leads to more flexible, robust and open software architectures;
- Offers a coherent framework which encompasses all phases of software development, from early requirements to implementation
- Is consistent with the next generation programming paradigm, I.e., agent-oriented programming.
- This paradigm is already gaining a foothold in key application areas, such as telecommunications, electronic commerce and webbased systems.

Requirements-Driven Software Development -- 27

© 1999 John Mylopoulos



References

- [Bubenko93] Bubenko, J., "Extending the Scope of Information Modelling", Proceedings Fourth International Workshop on the Deductive Approach to Information Systems and Databases, Costa Brava, 1993.
- [Chung93] Chung, L., Representing and Using Non-Functional Requirements: A Process-Oriented Approach, PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto, June 1993.
- ✤ [Dardenne93] Dardenne, A., van Lamsweerde, A. and Fickas, S.,"Goal-Directed Requirements Acquisition", in *The Science of Computer Programming 20*, 1993.
- [Feather87] Feather, M., "Language Support for the Specification and Development of Composite Systems", ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems 9(2), 1987.
- [Fickas92] Fickas, S. and Helm, R., "Knowledge Representation and Reasoming in the Design of Composite Systems", *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering 18(6)*, June 1992.
- [Greenspan84] Greenspan, S., Requirements Modelling: A Knowledge Representation Approach to to Software Requirements Definition, PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto, 1994.

© 1999 John Mylopoulos



