# Statement of Shannon's Noisy Coding Theorem for the BSC

Consider a BSC with probability of correct transmission of P > 1/2, and hence probability of error of Q = 1 - P < 1/2. This channel has capacity C = 1 - H(P) = 1 - H(Q).

For any desired closeness to capacity,  $\epsilon>0$ , and for any desired limit on error probability,  $\delta>0$ , there is a code of some length n that has rate, R, of at least  $C-\epsilon$ , and for which the probability of error using nearest-neighbor decoding,  $P_E$ , is less than  $\delta$ .

I'll now sketch a proof of this, roughly following the sketch given by Jones & Jones in Section 5.4. Details are in Appendix C of Jones & Jones.

## Strategy for Proving the Theorem

Rather than showing how to construct a specific code for any values of Q,  $\epsilon$ , and  $\delta$ , we will consider choosing a code of an appropriate length, n, and rate,  $R = \log_2(M)/n$ , at random, from among all subsets of  $F_2^n$  of size M.

We consider the following scenario:

- 1. We randomly pick a code, C, which we give to both the sender and the receiver.
- 2. The sender randomly picks a codeword  $u\in \mathcal{C}, \text{ and transmits it through the channel}.$
- 3. The channel randomly generates an error pattern, e, and delivers v = u + e to the receiver.
- 4. The receiver decodes  $\mathbf{v}$  to a codeword,  $\mathbf{u}^*$ , that is nearest to  $\mathbf{v}$  in Hamming distance.

If the probability that this process leads to  ${\bf u}^* \ne {\bf u}$  is less than  $\delta$ , then there must be some specific code for which  $P_E < \delta$ .

#### How to Choose n and M

Given Q,  $\epsilon$ , and  $\delta$ , we need to choose the length of the codewords, n, and the number of codewords, M. How do we do this so that the proof will work?

- 1. We choose a value  $\eta>0$  so that  $Q+\eta<1/2$  and  $1-H(Q+\eta)\geq C-\epsilon/3$ . Our aim is to almost always correct up to a fraction  $Q+\eta$  of errors slightly more than the average.
- 2. We choose n to be big enough that the Law of Large Numbers guarantees that the probability of getting more than  $n(Q+\eta)$  errors is less than  $\delta/2$ .
- 3. We also make sure  $n > -(3/\epsilon) \log_2(\delta/2)$ .
- 4. We choose the number of codewords, M, so that the rate,  $R = \log_2(M)/n$ , satisfies  $C \epsilon \le R \le C (2/3)\epsilon$ . If necessary, we make n even bigger than needed above so that this is possible.

### Rearranging the Order of Choices

It will be convenient to rearrange the order in which random choices are made, as follows:

- 1. We randomly pick *one* codeword,  $\mathbf{u}$ , which is the one the sender transmits.
- The channel randomly generates an error pattern, e, that is added to u to give the received data, v. Let the number of transmission errors, d(u, v), be e.
- 3. We now randomly pick the other M-1 codewords. If the Hamming distance from  ${\bf v}$  of all these codewords is greater than e, nearest-neighbor decoding will make the correct choice.

We chose  $\eta$  so the probability that  $e>n(Q+\eta)$  is less than  $\delta/2$ . We need to show that **if**  $e\leq n(Q+\eta)$ , the probability is less than  $\delta/2$  that **any** of the M-1 codewords chosen in step (3) has distance from  ${\bf v}$  of e or less.

## Probability of A Codeword Being Close to the Received Vector

Consider the probability that a randomly chosen codeword,  $\mathbf{u}'$ , will have Hamming distance from  $\mathbf{v}$  of no more than  $n(Q+\eta)$ , when the Hamming distance from  $\mathbf{v}$  to  $\mathbf{u}$  is also no more than this.

This probability satisfies

$$\Pr\left(d(\mathbf{u}',\mathbf{v}) \le n(Q+\eta)\right) < \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n(Q+\eta)\rfloor} \binom{n}{i}$$

Here,  $2^n$  is the number of possible codewords. The sum counts the number of these at Hamming distances from 0 up to the largest integer no bigger than  $n(Q+\eta)$ . From each of these, we should subtract one, because we're considering a codeword *other* than the one actually transmitted. That decreases the probability, so we write < rather than =.

#### Bounding this Probability

Exercise 5.7 in Jones & Jones shows that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda n} \binom{n}{i} \leq 2^{nH(\lambda)}$$

where H is the binary entropy function,  $H(\lambda) = -\lambda \log_2(\lambda) - (1-\lambda) \log_2(1-\lambda)$ .

We can use this to bound the probability of another codeword besides  $\mathbf{u}$  being too near  $\mathbf{v}$ :

$$\Pr(d(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}) \le n(Q+\eta)) < \frac{1}{2^n} 2^{nH(Q+\eta)}$$

## Now We Consider All M-1Other Codewords

The probability that any of the M-1 codewords other than  $\mathbf{u}$ , the one actually transmitted, will be as near to  $\mathbf{v}$  as  $\mathbf{u}$  is no more than M-1 times the probability that a single codeword other than  $\mathbf{u}$  will be that near.

So the probability of any other codeword being too near  $\mathbf{v}$  is bounded as follows

Pr(some 
$$\mathbf{u}' \neq \mathbf{u}$$
 is too near  $\mathbf{v}$ )
$$< (M-1)\frac{1}{2^n}2^{nH(Q+\eta)}$$

$$< \frac{M}{2^n}2^{nH(Q+\eta)}$$

$$= \frac{2^{nR}}{2^n}2^{nH(Q+\eta)}$$

$$= 2^{n(R-(1-H(Q+\eta)))}$$

Here, we use the fact that  $R = \log_2(M)/n$  to replace M by  $2^{nR}$ .

#### Finishing the Proof

Now, recall that we chose  $\eta$  so that

$$1 - H(Q + \eta) > C - \epsilon/3$$

So our upper bound on the probability of a codeword other than the right one being too near  $\mathbf{v}$  can be changed as follows:

Pr(some 
$$\mathbf{u}' \neq \mathbf{u}$$
 is too near  $\mathbf{v}$ )
$$< 2^{n(R-(1-H(Q+\eta)))}$$

$$< 2^{n(R-(C-\epsilon/3))}$$

We also chose R so that  $R \leq C - (2/3)\epsilon$ , which implies that  $R - (C - \epsilon/3) \leq -\epsilon/3$ . Recalling that  $n > -(3/\epsilon)\log_2(\delta/2)$ , we get:

Pr(some 
$$\mathbf{u}' \neq \mathbf{u}$$
 is too near  $\mathbf{v}$ )  $< 2^{-n\epsilon/3}$   
 $< 2^{\log_2(\delta/2)}$   
 $= \delta/2$ 

We've bounded the probabilities of the two ways an error can occur by  $\delta/2$ , so the overall error probability must be less than  $\delta$ .