CSC 458/2209 – Computer Networks Handout # 13: Congestion Control



Professor Yashar Ganjali Department of Computer Science University of Toronto



yganjali@cs.toronto.edu

http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~yganjali

Announcements

- Problem Set 2
 - Posted on class website.
 - Due: Nov. 15th at 5pm
 - Submit electronically as ps2.pdf
- Programming Assignment 2
 - Will be posted later in the week
 - New assignment to avoid relying on first assignment
 - Simpler, and completed individually.
 - Due: Nov. 22nd at 5pm

Announcements

- Problem Set 1
 - Marks are released on MarkUs.
 - Please contact Nafiseh and Kasra for remark requests.
- Programming Assignment 1
 - Marks will be posted next week
- Midterm
 - Marked.
 - Pick up your exam paper during the tutorial.
- Tutorials:
 - PS2 review, sample problems, and midterm exam papers.

Today's Lecture

- Principles of congestion control
 - Learning that congestion is occurring
 - Adapting to alleviate the congestion
- TCP congestion control
 - Additive-increase, multiplicative-decrease
 - Slow start and slow-start restart
- Related TCP mechanisms
 - Nagle's algorithm and delayed acknowledgments
- Active Queue Management (AQM)
 - Random Early Detection (RED)
 - Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN)

What is Congestion?



CSC 458/CSC 2209 – Computer Networks

Flow Control vs. Congestion Control

Flow control

- Keeping one fast sender from overwhelming a slow receiver
- Congestion control
 - Keep a set of senders from overloading the network

- Different concepts, but similar mechanisms
 - TCP flow control: receiver window
 - TCP congestion control: congestion window
 - TCP window: min{congestion window, receiver window}

Time Scales of Congestion

Too many users using a link during a peak hour

TCP flows filling up all available bandwidth

Two packets colliding at a router – also referred to as contention



Dealing with Congestion

Example: two flows arriving at a router



Congestion is Unavoidable

- Two packets arrive at the same time
 - The node can only transmit one
 - ... and either buffer or drop the other
- If many packets arrive in a short period of time
 - The node cannot keep up with the arriving traffic
 - ... and the buffer may eventually overflow



Arguably Congestion is Good!

- We use packet switching because it makes efficient use of the links. Therefore, buffers in the routers are frequently occupied.
- If buffers are always empty, delay is low, but our usage of the network is low.
- If buffers are always occupied, delay is high, but we are using the network more efficiently.
- So how much congestion is too much?

Congestion Collapse

- Definition: Increase in network load results in a decrease of useful work done
- Many possible causes
 - Spurious retransmissions of packets still in flight
 - Classical congestion collapse
 - Solution: better timers and TCP congestion control
 - Undelivered packets
 - Packets consume resources and are dropped elsewhere in network
 - Solution: congestion control for ALL traffic

What Do We Want, Really?

- High throughput
 - Throughput: measured performance of a system
 - E.g., number of bits/second of data that get through
- Low delay
 - Delay: time required to deliver a packet or message
 - E.g., number of msec to deliver a packet
- These two metrics are sometimes at odds
 - E.g., suppose you drive a link as hard as possible
 - ... then, throughput will be high, but delay will be, too

Load, Delay, and Power

Typical behavior of queuing systems with random arrivals:

A simple metric of how well the network is performing:



Goal: maximize power

Fairness

- Effective utilization is not the only goal
 - We also want to be fair to the various flows
 - ... but what the heck does that mean?
- Simple definition: equal shares of the bandwidth
 - N flows that each get 1/N of the bandwidth?
 - But, what if the flows traverse different paths?



Resource Allocation vs. Congestion Control

- Resource allocation
 - How nodes meet competing demands for resources
 - E.g., link bandwidth and buffer space
 - When to say no, and to whom
- Congestion control
 - How nodes prevent or respond to overload conditions
 - E.g., persuade hosts to stop sending, or slow down
 - Typically has notions of fairness (i.e., sharing the pain)

Simple Resource Allocation

- Simplest approach: FIFO queue and drop-tail
- Link bandwidth: first-in first-out queue
 - Packets transmitted in the order they arrive



- Buffer space: drop-tail queuing
 - If the queue is full, drop the incoming packet





Simple Congestion Detection

- Packet loss
 - Packet gets dropped along the way
- Packet delay
 - Packet experiences high delay
- How does TCP sender learn this?
 - Loss
 - Timeout
 - Triple-duplicate acknowledgment
 - Delay
 - Round-trip time estimate

Options for Congestion Control

- Implemented by host versus network
- Reservation-based, versus feedback-based
- Window-based versus rate-based.

TCP Congestion Control

- TCP implements host-based, feedback-based, window-based congestion control.
- TCP sources attempts to determine how much capacity is available
- TCP sends packets, then reacts to observable events (loss).

Idea of TCP Congestion Control

- Each source determines the available capacity
 - ... so it knows how many packets to have in transit
- Congestion window
 - Maximum # of unacknowledged bytes to have in transit
 - The congestion-control equivalent of receiver window
 - MaxWindow = min{congestion window, receiver window}
 - Send at the rate of the slowest component: receiver or network
- Adapting the congestion window
 - Decrease upon losing a packet: backing off
 - Increase upon success: optimistically exploring

Additive Increase, Multiplicative Decrease

- How much to increase and decrease?
 - Increase linearly, decrease multiplicatively
 - A necessary condition for stability of TCP
 - Consequences of over-sized window are much worse than having an under-sized window
 - Over-sized window: packets dropped and retransmitted
 - Under-sized window: somewhat lower throughput
- Multiplicative decrease
 - On loss of packet, divide congestion window in half
- Additive increase
 - On success for last window of data, increase linearly

Additive Increase



Actually, TCP uses bytes, not segments to count: When ACK is received:

$$cwnd + = MSS\left(\frac{MSS}{cwnd}\right)$$

Leads to the TCP "Sawtooth"



Congestion Window Evolution



CSC 458/CSC 2209 – Computer Networks

Congestion Window Evolution



Practical Details

- Congestion window
 - Represented in bytes, not in packets (Why?)
 - Packets have MSS (Maximum Segment Size) bytes
- Increasing the congestion window
 - Increase by MSS on success for last window of data
 - In practice, increase a fraction of MSS per received ACK
 - # packets per window: CWND / MSS
 - Increment per ACK: MSS * (MSS / CWND)
- Decreasing the congestion window
 - Never drop congestion window below 1 MSS

TCP Sending Rate

- What is the sending rate of TCP?
- Acknowledgement for sent packet is received after one RTT
- Amount of data sent until ACK is received is the current window size W
- Therefore sending rate is R = W/RTT
- Is the TCP sending rate saw tooth shaped as well?

TCP Sending Rate and Buffers



CSC 458/CSC 2209 – Computer Networks

University of Toronto – Fall 2019

Getting Started

Need to start with a small CWND to avoid overloading the network.





"Slow Start" Phase

- Start with a small congestion window
 - Initially, CWND is 1 MSS
 - So, initial sending rate is MSS/RTT
- That could be pretty wasteful
 - Might be much less than the actual bandwidth
 - Linear increase takes a long time to accelerate
- Slow-start phase (really "fast start")
 - Sender starts at a slow rate (hence the name)
 - ... but increases the rate exponentially
 - ... until the first loss event

Slow Start in Action

Double CWND per round-trip time

Increase CWND by 1 for each ACK received



Slow Start and the TCP Sawtooth

Window



Why is it called slow-start? Because TCP originally had no congestion control mechanism. The source would just start by sending a whole window's worth of data.

Two Kinds of Loss in TCP

- Triple duplicate ACK
 - Packet n is lost, but packets n+1, n+2, etc. arrive
 - Receiver sends duplicate acknowledgments
 - ... and the sender retransmits packet n quickly
 - Do a multiplicative decrease and keep going
- Timeout
 - Packet n is lost and detected via a timeout
 - E.g., because all packets in flight were lost
 - After the timeout, blasting away for the entire CWND
 - ... would trigger a very large burst in traffic
 - So, better to start over with a low CWND

Repeating Slow Start After Timeout



Slow-start restart: Go back to CWND of 1, but take advantage of knowing the previous value of CWND.

Repeating Slow Start After Idle Period

- Suppose a TCP connection goes idle for a while
 - E.g., Telnet session where you don't type for an hour
- Eventually, the network conditions change
 - Maybe many more flows are traversing the link
 - E.g., maybe everybody has come back from lunch!
- Dangerous to start transmitting at the old rate
 - Previously-idle TCP sender might blast the network
 - ... causing excessive congestion and packet loss
- So, some TCP implementations repeat slow start
 - Slow-start restart after an idle period

Other TCP Mechanisms

Nagle's Algorithm and Delayed ACK

Motivation for Nagle's Algorithm

- Interactive applications
 - Telnet, ssh and rlogin
 - Generate many small packets (e.g., keystrokes)
- Small packets are wasteful
 - Mostly header (e.g., 40 bytes of header, 1 of data)
- Appealing to reduce the number of packets
 - Could force every packet to have some minimum size
 - ... but, what if the person doesn't type more characters?
- Need to balance competing trade-offs
 - Send larger packets
 - ... but don't introduce much delay by waiting

Nagle's Algorithm

- Wait if the amount of data is small
 - Smaller than Maximum Segment Size (MSS)
- And some other packet is already in flight
 - I.e., still awaiting the ACKs for previous packets
- That is, send at most one small packet per RTT
 - ... by waiting until all outstanding ACKs have arrived



Influence on performance

- Interactive applications: enables batching of bytes
- Bulk transfer: transmits in MSS-sized packets anyway

Motivation for Delayed ACK

- TCP traffic is often bidirectional
 - Data traveling in both directions
 - ACKs traveling in both directions
- ACK packets have high overhead
 - 40 bytes for the IP header and TCP header
 - ... and zero data traffic
- Piggybacking is appealing
 - Host B can send an ACK to host A
 - ... as part of a data packet from B to A

TCP Header Allows Piggybacking



Example of Piggybacking



Increasing Likelihood of Piggybacking

- Increase piggybacking
 - TCP allows the receiver to wait to send the ACK
 - ... in the hope that the host will have data to send
- Example: rlogin or telnet
 - Host A types characters at a UNIX prompt
 - Host B receives the character and executes a command
 - ... and then data are generated
 - Would be nice if B could send the ACK with the new data



Delayed ACK

- Delay sending an ACK
 - Upon receiving a packet, the host B sets a timer
 - Typically, 200 msec or 500 msec
 - If B's application generates data, go ahead and send
 - And piggyback the ACK bit
 - If the timer expires, send a (non-piggybacked) ACK
- Limiting the wait
 - Timer of 200 msec or 500 msec
 - ACK every other full-sized packet

Conclusions

- Congestion is inevitable
 - Internet does not reserve resources in advance
 - TCP actively tries to push the envelope
- Congestion can be handled
 - Additive increase, multiplicative decrease
 - Slow start, and slow-start restart
- Active Queue Management can help
 - Random Early Detection (RED)
 - Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN)