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## What did we see in class last week?

## Local features

- Detection: Identify the interest points.
- Description: Extract vector feature descriptor around each interest point.
- Matching: Determine correspondence between descriptors in two views.

[Source: K. Grauman]


## Detecting features

- Harris corner detector: looks at the singular values of the autocorrelation matrix
- Laplacian of Gaussians: Detects blobs
- Difference of Gaussians: fast approximation of the LOG


## Properties of the ideal feature

- Local: features are local, so robust to occlusion and clutter (no prior segmentation).
- Invariant: to certain transformations, e.g, scale, rotation.
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## Describing features

- Normalized gray-scale
- SIFT
- PCA-SIFT
- GLOH


## The ideal feature descriptor

- Repeatable (invariant/robust)
- Distinctive
- Compact
- Efficient


## Matching local features

Once we have extracted features and their descriptors, we need to match the features between these images.

- Matching strategy: which correspondences are passed on to the next stage
- Devise efficient data structures and algorithms to perform this matching


Figure: Images from K. Grauman

## Matching local features

- To generate candidate matches, find patches that have the most similar appearance (e.g., lowest SSD)
- Simplest approach: compare them all, take the closest (or closest k, or within a thresholded distance)

[Source: K. Grauman]


## Feature Distance

How to define the difference between two features $f_{1}, f_{2}$ ?

- Simple approach: L2 distance, $\left\|\mid f_{1}-f_{2}\right\|_{2}$
- can give good scores to ambiguous (incorrect) matches

$I_{1}$

$I_{2}$
[Source: N. Snavely]


## Feature Distance

Better approach: ratio distance $\frac{\left\|f_{1}-f_{2}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|f_{1}-f_{2}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}}$

- $f_{2}$ is best SSD match to $f_{1}$ in $I_{2}$
- $f_{2}^{\prime}$ is 2 nd best SSD match to $f_{1}$ in $I_{2}$
- gives large values for ambiguous matches

$I_{1}$

$I_{2}$
[Source: N. Snavely]


## Matching Example



## 51 matches

[Source: N. Snavely]

## Matching Example



## 58 matches

[Source: N. Snavely]

## How to measure performance

- How can we measure the performance of a feature matcher?

[Source: N. Snavely]


## Measuring performance

- Area under the curve (AUC) is a way to summarize ROC with 1 number.
- Mean average precision, which is the average precision (PPV) as you vary the threshold, i.e., area under the curve in the precision-recall curve.
- The equal error rate is sometimes used as well.


Figure: Images from R. Szeliski

Let's look at image alignment

## Readings

- Chapter 3.6, 4.3 and 6.1 of Szeliski's book


## Image Alignment

Why don't this images line up exactly?

[Source: N. Snavely]

## What is the geometric relationship between these images?

- Answer: Similarity transformation (translation, rotation, uniform scale)

[Source: N. Snavely]


## What is the geometric relationship between these images?


[Source: N. Snavely]

## What is the geometric relationship between these images?



Very important for creating mosaics!
[Source: N. Snavely]

## Image Warping

- Image filtering: change range of image

$$
g(x)=h(f(x))
$$



[Source: R. Szeliski]
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## Parametric (global) warping

- Examples of parametric warps:

translation

rotation

aspect
- Why is it call parametric?


## Parametric (global) warping


$p=(x, y)$


$p^{\prime}=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$

- Transformation $T$ is a coordinate-changing machine:

$$
p^{\prime}=T(p)
$$

- What does it mean that T is global?
- Is the same for any point p
- Can be described by just a few numbers (parameters)
[Source: N. Snavely]


## Image Warping

- Given a transformation specified by $x^{\prime}=h(x)$ and a source image $f(x)$, how do we compute the values of the pixels in the new image

$$
g(x)=f(h(x))
$$



## Forward Warping

- Send each pixel $f(x)$ to its corresponding location $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=T(x, y)$ in $g\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$
procedure forwardWarp $(f, \boldsymbol{h}$, out $g)$ :
For every pixel $\boldsymbol{x}$ in $f(\boldsymbol{x})$

1. Compute the destination location $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{x})$.
2. Copy the pixel $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ to $g\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)$.

- What are the problems with this?
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What should we do?

## Inverse-Warping

procedure inverseWarp $(f, h$, out $g)$ :
For every pixel $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}$ in $g\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)$

1. Compute the source location $x=\hat{h}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$
2. Resample $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ at location $\boldsymbol{x}$ and copy to $g\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)$

- Each pixel at the destination is sampled from the original image
- How does this differ from forward mapping?
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## Inverse-Warping

- What if pixel comes from between two pixels?
- Resampling an image at non-integer locations is a well-studied problem (i.e., image interpolation) high-quality filters that control aliasing can be used



## How to computer the inverse-warping?

- Often $\hat{h}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ can simply be computed as the inverse of $h(x)$.
- In other cases, it is preferable to formulate as resampling a source image $f(x)$ given a mapping $x=\hat{h}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ from destination pixels $x^{\prime}$ to source pixels $x$.


## How to computer the inverse-warping?

- Often $\hat{h}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ can simply be computed as the inverse of $h(x)$.
- In other cases, it is preferable to formulate as resampling a source image $f(x)$ given a mapping $x=\hat{h}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ from destination pixels $x^{\prime}$ to source pixels $x$.
- Let's see some examples of the former


## How to computer the inverse-warping?

- Often $\hat{h}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ can simply be computed as the inverse of $h(x)$.
- In other cases, it is preferable to formulate as resampling a source image $f(x)$ given a mapping $x=\hat{h}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ from destination pixels $x^{\prime}$ to source pixels $x$.
- Let's see some examples of the former
- Lets consider linear transformations (can be represented by a 2D matrix):

$$
p^{\prime}=\mathbf{T} p \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{T}\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]
$$

## How to computer the inverse-warping?

- Often $\hat{h}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ can simply be computed as the inverse of $h(x)$.
- In other cases, it is preferable to formulate as resampling a source image $f(x)$ given a mapping $x=\hat{h}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ from destination pixels $x^{\prime}$ to source pixels $x$.
- Let's see some examples of the former
- Lets consider linear transformations (can be represented by a 2D matrix):

$$
p^{\prime}=\mathbf{T} p \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{T}\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Common linear transformations

- Uniform scaling by s

$$
\mathbf{S}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
s & 0 \\
0 & s
\end{array}\right]
$$



- What's the inverse?
[Source: N. Snavely]


## Common linear transformations

- Rotation by an angle $\theta$ (about the origin)

$$
\mathbf{R}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right]
$$



- What's the inverse?

$$
\mathbf{R}^{-1}=\mathbf{R}^{T}
$$

[Source: N. Snavely]

## $2 \times 2$ Matrices

What types of transformations can be represented with a $2 \times 2$ matrix?

- 2D mirror about Y axis?

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x^{\prime}=-x & \mathbf{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
y^{\prime}=y & y
\end{array}
$$

- 2D mirror across line $y=x$ ?

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x^{\prime} & =y & T=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
y^{\prime}=x &
\end{array}
$$

[Source: N. Snavely]
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## All 2D Linear Transformations

Linear transformations are combinations of

- Scale,
- Rotation
- Shear
- Mirror

$$
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x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]
$$

[Source: N. Snavely]
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## What about the translation?

[Source: N. Snavely]
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[Source: N. Snavely]

## Homogeneous coordinates

Trick: add one more coordinate:

$$
(x, y) \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

homogeneous image coordinates


Converting from homogeneous coordinates

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right] \Rightarrow(x / w, y / w)
$$

[Source: N. Snavely]

## Translation

- Solution: homogeneous coordinates to the rescue

$$
\mathbf{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & t_{x} \\
0 & 1 & t_{y} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus we can write

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & t_{x} \\
0 & 1 & t_{y} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x+t_{x} \\
y+t_{y} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

[Source: N. Snavely]

## Affine Transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{T}= {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & t_{x} \\
0 & 1 & t_{y} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { any transformation with } \\
\text { last row [0 0 1 ] we call an } \\
\text { affine transformation }
\end{array} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a & b & c \\
d & e & f \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] }
\end{aligned}
$$

[Source: N. Snavely]

## Basic Affine Transformations

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & t_{x} \\
0 & 1 & t_{y} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]} & {\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=} & {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
s_{x} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & s_{y} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]} \\
\text { Translation } & \text { Scale } \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]} & {\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & s h_{x} & 0 \\
s h_{y} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]} \\
\text { 2D in-plane rotation } & \text { Shear }
\end{array}
$$

[Source: N. Snavely]

## Affine Transformations

Affine transformations are combinations of

- Linear transformations, and
- Translations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
w
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c \\
d & e & f \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right]
$$

Properties of affine transformations:

- Origin does not necessarily map to origin
- Lines map to lines
- Parallel lines remain parallel
- Ratios are preserved
- Closed under composition
[Source: N. Snavely]


## Is this an affine Tranformation?


[Source: N. Snavely]

## What's next?


[Source: N. Snavely]

## Homography

- Also called Projective Transformation or Planar Perspective Map


Called a homography

(or planar perspective map)

[Source: N. Snavely]

## Image warping with homographies


[Source: N. Snavely]

## Homographies


[Source: N. Snavely]

## Projective Transformations

- Affine transformations and Projective warps

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c \\
d & e & f \\
g & h & i
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right]
$$

Properties of affine transformations:

- Origin does not necessarily map to origin
- Lines map to lines
- Parallel lines do not necessarily remain parallel
- Ratios are not preserved
- Closed under composition
[Source: N. Snavely]


## 2D Image Tranformations



| Transformation | Matrix | \# DoF | Preserves | Icon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| translation | $[\boldsymbol{I} \mid \boldsymbol{t}]_{2 \times 3}$ | 2 | orientation |  |
| rigid (Euclidean) | $[\boldsymbol{R} \mid \boldsymbol{t}]_{2 \times 3}$ | 3 | lengths |  |
| similarity | $[s \boldsymbol{R} \mid \boldsymbol{t}]_{2 \times 3}$ | 4 | angles |  |
| affine | $[\boldsymbol{A}]_{2 \times 3}$ | 6 | parallelism |  |
| projective | $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}]_{3 \times 3}$ | 8 | straight lines |  |

- These transformations are a nested set of groups
- Closed under composition and inverse is a member


## Homographies

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c \\
d & e & f \\
g & h & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]} \\
\\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { What happens when } \\
\text { the denominator is } \mathbf{0} ?
\end{array}
\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{a x+b y+c}{g x+h y+1} \\
\frac{d x+e y+f}{g x+h y+1} \\
1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## Points at infinity

- Points at infinity become finite i.e., vanishing points

[Source: N. Snavely]


## Image warping with homographies



## Computing transformations

Given a set of matches between images $A$ and $B$

- How can we compute the transform T from A to B ?
- Find transform T that best agrees with the matches

[Source: N. Snavely]


## Computing Transformations


[Source: N. Snavely]
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## Simple Case: Translations



How do we solve for
$\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{y}_{t}\right)$ ?
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## Matrix Formulation

- We can also write as a matrix equation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\vdots \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{t} \\
y_{t}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{1} \\
y_{1}^{\prime}-y_{1} \\
x_{2}^{\prime}-x_{2} \\
y_{2}^{\prime}-y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\mathbf{A}}{2 n \times 2} \\
x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{n} \\
y_{n}^{\prime}-y_{n}
\end{array}\right]} \\
\underset{2 \times 1}{\mathbf{4}}=\underset{2 n \times 1}{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$
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\mathbf{A t}=\mathbf{b}
$$

- We want to find the optimal $\mathbf{t}$ by

$$
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## Least squares: generalized linear regression


[Source: N. Snavely]

## Linear regression


[Source: N. Snavely]

## Linear regression

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} & 1 \\
x_{2} & 1 \\
\vdots & \\
x_{n} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
m \\
b
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

[Source: N. Snavely]
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## Affine Transformation Cost Function

- We can write the residuals as

$$
\begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
$$

- Cost function
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C(a, b, c, d, e, f)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(r_{x_{i}}(a, b, c, d, e, f)^{2}+r_{y_{i}}(a, b, c, d, e, f)^{2}\right)
$$
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## Matrix form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 \\
x_{2} & y_{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{2} & y_{2} & 1 \\
& & \vdots & & \\
x_{n} & y_{n} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{n} & y_{n} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
a \\
b \\
c \\
d \\
e \\
f
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{\prime} \\
y_{1}^{\prime} \\
x_{2}^{\prime} \\
y_{2}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}^{\prime} \\
y_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

[Source: N. Snavely]

## Next class ... more sophisticated matching

