Probability Theory for Machine Learning

Chris Cremer

September 2015

Outline

- Motivation
- Probability Definitions and Rules
- Probability Distributions
- MLE for Gaussian Parameter Estimation
- MLE and Least Squares

Material

- Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning Christopher M. Bishop
- All of Statistics Larry Wasserman
- Wolfram MathWorld
- Wikipedia

Motivation

- Uncertainty arises through:
 - Noisy measurements
 - Finite size of data sets
 - Ambiguity: The word bank can mean (1) a financial institution, (2) the side of a river, or (3) tilting an airplane. Which meaning was intended, based on the words that appear nearby?
 - Limited Model Complexity
- Probability theory provides a consistent framework for the quantification and manipulation of uncertainty
- Allows us to make optimal predictions given all the information available to us, even though that information may be incomplete or ambiguous

Sample Space

- The sample space Ω is the set of possible outcomes of an experiment. Points ω in Ω are called sample outcomes, realizations, or elements. Subsets of Ω are called Events.
- Example. If we toss a coin twice then $\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$. The event that the first toss is heads is A = $\{HH, HT\}$
- We say that events A1 and A2 are disjoint (mutually exclusive) if Ai ∩ Aj = {}
 - Example: first flip being heads and first flip being tails

Probability

- We will assign a real number P(A) to every event A, called the probability of A.
- To qualify as a probability, P must satisfy three axioms:
 - Axiom 1: $P(A) \ge 0$ for every A
 - Axiom 2: P(Ω) = 1
 - Axiom 3: If A1, A2, . . . are disjoint then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(A_i)$$

Joint and Conditional Probabilities

- Joint Probability
 - P(X,Y)
 - Probability of X and Y
- Conditional Probability
 - P(X|Y)
 - Probability of X given Y

Independent and Conditional Probabilities

- Assuming that P(B) > 0, the **conditional** probability of A given B:
- P(A|B)=P(AB)/P(B)
- P(AB) = P(A|B)P(B) = P(B|A)P(A)
 - Product Rule
- Two events A and B are independent if
- P(AB) = P(A)P(B)
 - Joint = Product of Marginals
- Two events A and B are conditionally independent given C if they are independent after conditioning on C
- P(AB|C) = P(B|AC)P(A|C) = P(B|C)P(A|C)

Example

- 60% of ML students pass the final and 45% of ML students pass both the final and the midterm *
- What percent of students who passed the final also passed the midterm?

Example

- 60% of ML students pass the final and 45% of ML students pass both the final and the midterm *
- What percent of students who passed the final also passed the midterm?
- Reworded: What percent of students passed the midterm given they passed the final?
- P(M|F) = P(M,F) / P(F)
- = .45 / .60
- = .75

* These are made up values.

Marginalization and Law of Total Probability

• Marginalization (Sum Rule)

$$p(x) = \sum_{y} p(x, y)$$

• Law of Total Probability

$$p(x) = \sum_{y} p(x \mid y) \cdot p(y)$$

P(A|B) = P(AB) / P(B)(Conditional Probability)P(A|B) = P(B|A)P(A) / P(B)(Product Rule) $P(A|B) = P(B|A)P(A) / \Sigma P(B|A)P(A)$ (Law of Total Probability)

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A) P(B|A)}{P(B)}$$
$$P(B) = \sum_{j} P(B | A_j) P(A_j)$$

Bayes' Rule

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A) P(B|A)}{P(B)}$$
$$p(\theta|x) = \frac{p(x|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(x)}.$$

Posterior = Likelihood * Prior Evidence

Posterior probability \propto Likelihood \times Prior probability

Example

- Suppose you have tested positive for a disease; what is the probability that you actually have the disease?
- It depends on the accuracy and sensitivity of the test, and on the background (prior) probability of the disease.
- P(T=1|D=1) = .95 (true positive)
- P(T=1|D=0) = .10 (false positive)
- P(D=1) = .01 (prior)
- P(D=1|T=1) = ?

Example

- P(T=1|D=1) = .95 (true positive)
- P(T=1|D=0) = .10 (false positive)
- P(D=1) = .01 (prior)

Bayes' Rule

- P(D|T) = P(T|D)P(D) / P(T)
- = .95 * .01 / .1085

= .087

Law of Total Probability

- $P(T) = \Sigma P(T|D)P(D)$
- = P(T|D=1)P(D=1) + P(T|D=0)P(D=0)
- = .95*.01 + .1*.99
- = .1085

The probability that you have the disease given you tested positive is 8.7%

Random Variable

- How do we link sample spaces and events to data?
- A random variable is a mapping that assigns a real number $X(\omega)$ to each outcome ω
- Example: Flip a coin ten times. Let $X(\omega)$ be the number of heads in the sequence ω . If ω = HHTHHTHHTT, then $X(\omega)$ = 6.

Discrete vs Continuous Random Variables

- Discrete: can only take a countable number of values
- Example: number of heads
- Distribution defined by probability mass function (pmf)
- Marginalization: $p(x) = \sum p(x, y)$
- Continuous: can take infinitely many values (real numbers)
- Example: time taken to accomplish task
- Distribution defined by probability density function (pdf)
- Marginalization:

$$p(x) = \int_{y} p(x, y) \, dy$$

Probability Distribution Statistics

- Mean: $E[x] = \mu = \text{first moment} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xf(x) dx$ Univariate continuous random variable $= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i p_i$ Univariate discrete random variable • Variance: Var(X) = $E[(X - \mu)^2]$ $= E[(X - E[X])^2]$ $= E[(X - E[X])^2]$
 - $= \mathbf{E} \left[(X \mathbf{E}[X])^2 \right]$ $= \mathbf{E} \left[X^2 2X \mathbf{E}[X] + (\mathbf{E}[X])^2 \right]$ $= \mathbf{E} \left[X^2 \right] 2 \mathbf{E}[X] \mathbf{E}[X] + (\mathbf{E}[X])^2$ $= \mathbf{E} \left[X^2 \right] (\mathbf{E}[X])^2$
- Nth moment = $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-c)^n f(x) dx$.

Bernoulli Distribution

- Input: $x \in \{0, 1\}$
- Parameter: μ
- Example: Probability of flipping heads (x=1)

$$\operatorname{Bern}(x|\mu) = \mu^x (1-\mu)^{1-x}$$

- Mean = $E[x] = \mu$
- Variance = $\mu(1 \mu)$



Discrete Distribution

Binomial Distribution

- Input: m = number of successes
- Parameters: N = number of trials

 μ = probability of success



- Example: Probability of flipping heads m times out of N independent flips with success probability μ

$$\operatorname{Bin}(m|N,\mu) = \binom{N}{m} \mu^m (1-\mu)^{N-m}$$

- Mean = $E[x] = N\mu$
- Variance = $N\mu(1 \mu)$

Multinomial Distribution

- The multinomial distribution is a generalization of the binomial distribution to k categories instead of just binary (success/fail)
- For n independent trials each of which leads to a success for exactly one of k categories, the multinomial distribution gives the probability of any particular combination of numbers of successes for the various categories
- Example: Rolling a die N times

Multinomial Distribution

- Input: m₁ ... m_K (counts)
- Parameters: N = number of trials

 $\mu = \mu_1 \dots \mu_K$ probability of success for each category, $\Sigma \mu = 1$

Mult
$$(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_K | \mu, N) = \binom{N}{m_1 m_2 \dots m_K} \prod_{k=1}^K \mu_k^{m_k}$$

- Mean of m_k : $N\mu_k$
- Variance of m_k : $N\mu_k(1-\mu_k)$

Gaussian Distribution

- Aka the normal distribution
- Widely used model for the distribution of continuous variables
- In the case of a single variable x, the Gaussian distribution can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{N}(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right\}$$



- where μ is the mean and σ^2 is the variance

Gaussian Distribution

• Gaussians with different means and variances



Multivariate Gaussian Distribution

 For a D-dimensional vector x, the multivariate Gaussian distribution takes the form

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}$$

- $\ensuremath{\bullet}$ where μ is a D-dimensional mean vector
- Σ is a D × D covariance matrix
- $|\Sigma|$ denotes the determinant of Σ





Inferring Parameters

- We have data X and we assume it comes from some distribution
- How do we figure out the parameters that 'best' fit that distribution?
 - Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

 $\tilde{\pi}_{MLE} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\pi} P(\mathcal{X}|\pi)$

• Maximum a Posteriori (MAP)

$$\tilde{\pi}_{MAP} = \underset{\pi}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(\pi | X)$$

See 'Gibbs Sampling for the Uninitiated' for a straightforward introduction to parameter estimation: http://www.umiacs.umd.edu/~resnik/pubs/LAMP-TR-153.pdf

I.I.D.

- Random variables are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) if they have the same probability distribution as the others and are all mutually independent.
- Example: Coin flips are assumed to be IID

MLE for parameter estimation

 The parameters of a Gaussian distribution are the mean (μ) and variance (σ^2) 1 $- \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x\right)\right\}$

$$\mathcal{N}(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right\}$$

- We'll estimate the parameters using MLE
- Given observations x_1, \ldots, x_N , the likelihood of those observations for a certain μ and σ^2 (assuming IID) is

Likelihood =
$$p(x_1, ..., x_N | \mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left\{\frac{-(x_n - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$

MLE for parameter estimation

$$\mathcal{N}(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right\}$$

Likelihood = $p(x_1,\dots,x_N|\mu,\sigma^2) = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left\{\frac{-(x_n-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$



What's the distribution's mean and variance?

MLE for Gaussian Parameters

Likelihood =
$$p(x_1, ..., x_N | \mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left\{\frac{-(x_n - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$

- \bullet Now we want to maximize this function wrt μ
- Instead of maximizing the product, we take the log of the likelihood so the product becomes a sum

$$\text{Log Likelihood} = \log p(x_1, \dots, x_N | \mu, \sigma^2) = \sum_{n=1}^N \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp \left\{ \frac{-(x_n - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$$

- We can do this because log is monotonically increasing
- Meaning

 $\max L(\theta) = \max \log L(\theta)$

MLE for Gaussian Parameters

• Log Likelihood simplifies to:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma) = -\frac{1}{2}N\log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{(x_n - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

- \bullet Now we want to maximize this function wrt μ
- How?

To see proofs for these derivations: http://www.statlect.com/normal_distribution_maximum_likelihood.htm

MLE for Gaussian Parameters

• Log Likelihood simplifies to:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma) = -\frac{1}{2}N\log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{(x_n - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

- Now we want to maximize this function wrt $\boldsymbol{\mu}$
- Take the derivative, set to 0, solve for μ

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n \qquad \qquad \hat{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \hat{\mu})^2$$

To see proofs for these derivations: http://www.statlect.com/normal_distribution_maximum_likelihood.htm

- Suppose that you are presented with a sequence of data points (X₁, T₁), ..., (X_n, T_n), and you are asked to find the "best fit" line passing through those points.
- In order to answer this you need to know precisely how to tell whether one line is "fitter" than another



• A common measure of fitness is the squared-

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} [t^{(n)} - y^{(n)}]$$

n=1

erro

For a good discussion of Maximum likelihood estimators and least squares see http://people.math.gatech.edu/~ecroot/3225/maximum_likelihood.pdf

y(x,w) is estimating the target t

Red line $y(x, \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + \ldots + w_M x^M = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j x^j$



• Error/Loss/Cost/Objective function measures the squared error

Green lines
$$\ell(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} [t^{(n)} - y^{(n)}]^2$$

- Least Square Regression
 - Minimize L(w) wrt w

- Now we approach curve fitting from a probabilistic perspective
- We can express our uncertainty over the value of the target variable using a probability distribution
- We assume, given the value of x, the corresponding value of t has a Gaussian distribution with a mean equal to the value y(x,w)

$$p(t|x, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(t|y(x, \mathbf{w}), \beta^{-1})$$

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the precision parameter (inverse variance)



 We now use the training data {x, t} to determine the values of the unknown parameters w and β by maximum likelihood

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}\left(t_n | y(x_n, \mathbf{w}), \beta^{-1}\right)$$

• Log Likelihood

$$\ln p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = -\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n \right\}^2 + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi)$$

$$p(t|x, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}\left(t|y(x, \mathbf{w}), \beta^{-1}\right)$$



• Log Likelihood

$$\ln p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = -\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n \right\}^2 + \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi)$$

- Maximize Log Likelihood wrt to w
- Since last two terms, don't depend on w, they can be omitted.
- Also, scaling the log likelihood by a positive constant β/2 does not alter the location of the maximum with respect to w, so it can be ignored
- Result: Maximize $-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) t_n\}^2$



• MLE
• Maximize
$$-\sum_{n=1}^{N} \{y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n\}^2$$

• Least Squares • Minimize $\sum_{n=1}^{N} [t^{(n)} - y^{(n)}]^2$

- Therefore, maximizing likelihood is equivalent, so far as determining w is concerned, to minimizing the sum-of-squares error function
- Significance: sum-of-squares error function arises as a consequence of maximizing likelihood under the assumption of a Gaussian noise distribution

Questions?