Maximum Flow Problems II.

Review: (directed) graph G = (V, E), st-path, edge capacity $u : E \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

G = (V, E), edges in E are ordered pairs from $V \times V$ path = sequence (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_m) where v_i distinct and $v_{i-1}v_i \in E$ for $i \in \{1 \ldots m\}$ st-path = a path (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_m) with $v_0 = s$ and $v_m = t$ write $e \in P$ where e is an edge and $P = (v_0, \ldots, v_m)$ is a path and say "the path P goes through (contains) e" if $e = v_{i-1}v_i$ for some $i \in \{1 \ldots m\}$ edge capacity $u : E \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, capacitated graph/network G = (V, E, u)

Goal: (P_1, \ldots, P_k) collection of *st*-paths

for $v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$ and path P_i containing v, exactly <u>one</u> edge coming into v and <u>one</u> edge going out of v



 \Rightarrow #of paths P_i coming into v = #of paths P_i going out of v

Idea: instead of looking for paths directly we only count, for each edge e, the number of paths going through $e \rightsquigarrow$ we call this a *flow*

Formally:

(s,t)-flow or just flow = a function $x: E \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying $\forall v \in V \setminus \{s,t\}$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{\substack{w \in V \\ wv \in E}}^{\text{amount}} x_{wv}}_{\text{out of } v} - \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{w \in V \\ vw \in E}}^{\text{out of } v} x_{vw}}_{ww \in E} = 0 \quad \text{(Flow Conservation Law)}$$

$$\underbrace{\text{net flow (excess) at } v}_{\text{denoted by } f_x(v)}$$

- i.e., x is a flow if $f_x(v) = 0$ for all v except s and t.
- if x assigns only integral values (values from \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow x is an *integral flow*
 - $s \neq \underline{source}$ and $t \neq \underline{target}$

value of a flow x = the excess $f_x(t)$ at t (equal to $-f_x(s)$ by the conservation law)

feasible (s, t)-flow = an (s, t)-flow that respects capacities, i.e.,

$$0 \le x_e \le u_e \qquad \forall e \in E$$

Theorem 1. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) There exists a collection (P_1, \ldots, P_k) of st-paths such that for each edge $e \in E$, the number of paths P_i containing e is at most u_e (in symbols, $|\{i \mid P_i \ni e\}| \le u_e$).
- (ii) There exists a feasible integral (s, t)-flow of value k.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) define $x_e = |\{i \mid P_i \ni e\}|$ for all $e \in E$, and note $0 \leq x_e \leq u_e$ by our assumption $\Rightarrow x$ is a feasible integral (s, t)-flow of value k.

 (\Leftarrow) let x be feasible integral (s, t)-flow of value k with smallest $\sum_{e \in E} x_e$. Recall:

$$f_x(v) = \sum_{\substack{w \in V \\ wv \in E}} x_{wv} - \sum_{\substack{w \in V \\ vw \in E}} x_{vw}, \qquad k = f_x(t) = -f_x(s), \quad f_x(v) = 0 \text{ for } v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$$

Assume $k \ge 1$ (o/w done). We find an *st*-path (v_0, \ldots, v_m) with $x_{v_{i-1}v_i} > 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1 \ldots m\}$

Initially let $v_0 = s$ and since $k = -f_x(s) > 0 \Rightarrow \exists v_1$ with $x_{sv_1} > 0$.

Assume we have constructed v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_j where $j \ge 1 \Rightarrow$ we find v_{j+1} or done.

- If $v_j = t$, then done (m := j).
- If $v_j = s$, then $\forall i$ decrease $x_{v_{i-1}v_i}$ by 1 (recall $x_{v_{i-1}v_i} > 0$ and integral) \Rightarrow feasible integral (s, t)-flow x with smaller $\sum_{e \in E} x_e$, a contradiction.
- Thus $v_j \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$ and $f_x(v_j) = 0$. Since $x_{v_{j-1}v_j} > 0 \Rightarrow \exists v_{j+1}$ with $x_{v_iv_{j+1}} > 0$.

 \Rightarrow add (v_0, \ldots, v_m) to the collection of paths, and $\forall i$ decrease $x_{v_{i-1}v_i}$ by $1 \Rightarrow$ a feasible integral (s, t)-flow of value k - 1, repeat.

Maximum Flow Problem:

Maximize
$$f_x(t) = \sum_{\substack{w \in V \\ wt \in E}} x_{wt} - \sum_{\substack{w \in V \\ tw \in E}} x_{tw}$$

subject to $f_x(v) = \sum_{\substack{w \in V \\ wv \in E}} x_{wv} - \sum_{\substack{w \in V \\ vw \in E}} x_{vw} = 0 \qquad \forall v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$
$$0 < x_e < u_e \qquad \forall e \in E$$