

**Problem 1.** If graph  $G$  is connected and contains more than  $n - 1$  edges (where  $n = |V|$ , as usual), and if there is a unique edge  $e$  with minimum cost, then is  $e$  guaranteed to be in every MST of  $G$ ? If so, give a convincing argument. If not, provide a counter-example. In this case, what other conditions can you put on  $G$  to guarantee that  $e$  will be in every MST of  $G$ ?

**Solution.** Proof. For a contradiction, suppose  $T$  is a MST that does not contain  $e$ . Then  $T$  contains some path between the endpoints of  $e$ . Pick some edge  $e'$  on this path. Then  $T' = T \cup \{e\} - \{e'\}$  is a spanning tree. But  $c(T') = c(T) + c(e) - c(e') < c(T)$  because  $c(e') > c(e)$  (by assumption,  $c(e)$  is minimum and unique). This contradicts the fact that  $T$  is a MST.

**Problem 2.** If graph  $G$  is connected and contains more than  $n - 1$  edges (where  $n = |V|$ , as usual), and if there is a unique edge  $e$  with maximum cost, then is  $e$  guaranteed *not* to be in any MST of  $G$ ? If so, give a convincing argument. If not, provide a counter-example. In this case, what other conditions can you put on  $G$  to guarantee that  $e$  will be in no MST of  $G$ ?

**Solution.** Counter-example:

$$G = \begin{array}{cccc} a & \text{---}1\text{---} & b & \text{---}2\text{---} & c & \text{---}4\text{---} & d \\ & & & & \backslash & \text{-----}3\text{-----} & / \end{array}$$

Additional condition:

If  $e$  belongs to some cycle  $C$  in  $G$ , then  $e$  belongs to no MST.

Proof. For a contradiction, suppose  $e$  belongs to a MST  $T$ . Consider  $T - \{e\}$ . This is made up of two connected components. Because  $e$  belongs to some cycle  $C$ , there is a way to get from one endpoint of  $e$  to the other along this cycle. So there is at least one edge  $e'$  of  $C$  that connects both components. Then  $T' = T \cup \{e'\} - \{e\}$  is a spanning tree, and  $c(T') = c(T) + c(e') - c(e) < c(T)$  because  $c(e') < c(e)$  (by assumption,  $c(e)$  is maximum and unique). This contradicts the fact that  $T$  is a MST.

**Problem 3.** For every graph  $G$  whose edge weights are all distinct, every MST of  $G$  contains the two edges  $e_1, e_2$  with the two smallest weights. If this is true, give a convincing argument. If not, provide a counter-example. In this case, what other conditions can you put on  $G$  to guarantee that  $e_1, e_2$  will be in every MST of  $G$ ?

**Solution.** TRUE. Suppose  $G$  is a graph whose edge weights are all distinct. Let  $e_1, e_2$  be the two edges with smallest weights ( $c(e_1) < c(e_2) < \text{cost of every other edge}$ ).

For a contradiction, suppose  $T$  is a MST that does not contain both  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . WLOG, suppose  $T$  does not contain  $e_2$ . Consider the endpoints  $(u, v)$  of  $e_2$ . They are connected by a path  $P$  in  $T$ . This path contains at least two edges (it cannot contain just one as this would just be  $e_2$  itself). Since  $e_1, e_2$  have the two smallest edge costs, there is at least one edge  $e'$  on  $P$  with  $c(e') > c(e_2)$ . But then,  $T' = T \cup \{e_2\} - \{e'\}$  is a spanning tree and  $c(T') < c(T)$ .

This contradicts the fact that  $T$  is a MST. Hence, every MST of  $G$  contains both  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ .