

Structure from Motion

The use of the theoretical rank for a set of observations provides a key insight into the structure from motion problems (see Jepson and Heeger, 1991).

Consider a camera travelling through a stationary environment. Then the scene appears to move with translational velocity \vec{T} and angular velocity $\vec{\Omega}$. In the camera's coordinates, the motion of any scene point \vec{X} is

$$\frac{d\vec{X}}{dt} = \vec{T} + \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{X}.$$

Suppose we observe the motion field $\vec{u}(\vec{x}_k)$ at K image points, $\{\vec{x}_k\}_{k=1}^K$, in this camera's image. Let $\vec{X}(\vec{x}_k)$ be the 3D scene point associated with the k^{th} image point \vec{x}_k . Then it can be shown that $\vec{U}^T \equiv (\vec{u}_1^T, \dots, \vec{u}_K^T)$ satisfies

$$\vec{U} = C(\vec{T}) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{z} \\ \vec{\Omega} \end{pmatrix} = A(\vec{T})\vec{z} + B\vec{\Omega}. \quad (24)$$

Here \vec{z} is a K -vector, with elements $z_k = 1/\|\vec{X}(\vec{x}_k)\|$, $A(\vec{T})$ is a $2K \times K$ matrix that depends linearly on \vec{T} , and B is a $2K \times 3$ matrix that depends only on the image points \vec{x}_k .

Notice, for $\vec{T} = \vec{0}$ we have $A(\vec{T}) = 0$, and (24) states that the flow field \vec{U} must be in the rank 3 subspace formed by the range of the matrix B . Similarly, for nonzero \vec{T} , equation (24) states that the $2K$ -dimensional flow field \vec{U} must be in the $K + 3$ -dimensional subspace formed by the range of $C(\vec{T})$.

This range condition can be used to identify \vec{T} (up to a speed ambiguity, i.e., $\|\vec{T}\|$ remains unknown) and $\vec{\Omega}$ given the motion field \vec{U} . Moreover, given $\vec{T}/\|\vec{T}\|$ and $\vec{\Omega}$, equation (24) can be used to solve for the inverse depths \vec{z} (up to an overall scale ambiguity).

Further Readings

- Hartley and Zisserman, *Multiple View Geometry in Computer Vision*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000.
- Jepson and Heeger, A fast subspace algorithm for recovering rigid motion, Proc. IEEE Workshop on Visual Motion, Princeton, 1991, pp. 124-131.
- Koenderink and van Doorn, Affine structure from motion, *Journal of the Optical Society of America*, 8(2), 1991, pp. 377-385.
- S. Mahamud, M. Hebert, Y. Omori and J. Ponce, Provably-Convergent Iterative Methods for Projective Structure from Motion, CVPR 2001.
- Pollefeys, Koch and van Gool, Self-calibration and metric reconstruction in spite of varying and unknown intrinsic camera parameters, IJCV 1999.
- Tomasi and Kanade, Shape and motion from image streams under orthography: A factorization approach, IJCV, Vol. 9 (No. 2), 1992, pp.137-154.